

A Study of AH-Substructures in n-Refined Neutrosophic Vector Spaces

Mohammad Abobala

Faculty of Science, Tishreen University, Lattakia, Syria

e-mail: mohammadabobala777@gmail.com

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to define and study for the first time AH-substructures in n-refined neutrosophic vector spaces such as weak/strong AH-subspaces, and weak/strong AH-linear transformations between two n-refined neutrosophic vector spaces. Also, this paper introduces some elementary properties of these concepts.

Keywords: n-Refined Neutrosophic vector space, AH-subspace, AH-subspace, AH-linear transformation.

1. Introduction

Neutrosophy as a new kind of logic, concerns with nature, origin, and scope of neutralities became a rich material in algebra. Many algebraic structures have been defined and handled such as neutrosophic rings, neutrosophic modules, and neutrosophic vector spaces. See [8,9,10,11,12,14]. More generalizations came to light such as refined neutrosophic rings, n-refined neutrosophic rings, and n-refined neutrosophic vector spaces. See [3,5,6,7,15,16].

AH-substructures were defined for the first time in neutrosophic rings [1]. Then they were defined in n-refined neutrosophic rings, and neutrosophic vector spaces in [2,4]. AH-structures consist of similar objects, each object has the same structure. For example in a neutrosophic vector space V(I) = V + VI, AH-subspace is a non empty subset with form T = M + NI, where M, N are two classical subspaces in V. Also, AHS-linear transformations were defined by similar aspect [4]. AH-substructures illustrate a bridge between neutrosophic structures and classical algebraic structures and help us to use classical methods in neutrosophical studies.

This article defines some AH-substructures in n-refined neutrosophic vector spaces. Concepts such as AH-subspaces, and AH-linear transformations. Also, it presents some interesting properties and theorems concerning these concepts.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1: [15]

Let $(R, +, \times)$ be a ring and I_k ; $1 \le k \le n$ be n indeterminacies. We define $R_n(I) = \{a_0 + a_1I + \dots + a_nI_n : a_i \in R\}$ to be n-refined neutrosophic ring.

Definition 2.2: [15]

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3958086

74 Received: April 23, 2020 Accepted: July 19, 2020

- (a) Let $R_n(I)$ be an n-refined neutrosophic ring and $P = \sum_{i=0}^n P_i I_i = \{ a_0 + a_1 I + \dots + a_n I_n : a_i \in P_i \}$, where P_i is a subset of R, we define P to be an AH-subring if P_i is a subring of R for all i. AHS-subring is defined by the condition $P_i = P_i$ for all i, j.
- (b) P is an AH-ideal if P_i are two sided ideals of R for all i, the AHS-ideal is defined by the condition $P_i = P_j$ for all i, j.

Definition 2.3:[8]

Let (V, +, .) be a vector space over the field K then (V(I), +, .) is called a weak neutrosophic vector space over the field K, and it is called a strong neutrosophic vector space if it is a vector space over the neutrosophic field K(I).

Definition 2.4: [8]

Let V(I) be a strong neutrosophic vector space over the neutrosophic field K(I) and W(I) be a non empty set of V(I), then W(I) is called a strong neutrosophic subspace if W(I) is itself a strong neutrosophic vector space.

Definition 2.5: [14]

Let (K, +, .) be a field, we say that $K_n(I) = K + KI_1 + \cdots + KI_n = \{a_0 + a_1I_1 + \cdots + a_nI_n; a_i \in K\}$ is an n-refined neutrosophic field.

It is clear that $K_n(I)$ is an n-refined neutrosophic ring but not a field in classical meaning.

Definition 2.6: [14]

Let (V, +, .) be a vector space over the field K. Then we say that $V_n(I) = V + VI_1 + \cdots + VI_n = \{x_0 + x_1I_1 + \cdots + x_nI_n; x_i \in V\}$ is a weak n-refined neutrosophic vector space over the field K. Elements of $V_n(I)$ are called n-refined neutrosophic vectors, elements of K are called scalars.

If we take scalars from the n-refined neutrosophic field $K_n(I)$, we say that $V_n(I)$ is a strong n-refined neutrosophic vector space over the n-refined neutrosophic field $K_n(I)$. Elements of $K_n(I)$ are called n-refined neutrosophic scalars.

Definition 2.7: [14]

Let $V_n(I)$ be a weak n-refined neutrosophic vector space over the field K, a nonempty subset $W_n(I)$ is called a weak n-refined neutrosophic subspace of $V_n(I)$ if $W_n(I)$ is a subspace of $V_n(I)$ itself.

Definition 2.8: [14]

Let $V_n(I)$ be a strong n-refined neutrosophic vector space over the n-refined neutrosophic field $K_n(I)$, a nonempty subset $W_n(I)$ is called a strong n-refined neutrosophic subspace of $V_n(I)$ if $W_n(I)$ is a submodule of $V_n(I)$ itself.

Definition 2.9: [4]

Let V(I) = V+VI be a strong/weak neutrosophic vector space, the set

 $S = P + QI = \{x + yI; x \in P, y \in Q\}$, where P and Q are subspaces of V is called an AH-subspace of V(I).

If P = Q then S is called an AHS-subspace of V(I).

Definition 2.10: [4]

(a) Let V and W be two vector spaces, $L_V: V \to W$ be a linear transformation. The AHS-linear transformation can be defined as follows:

$$L:V(I) \rightarrow W(I); L(a+bI) = L_V(a) + L_V(b)I.$$

(b) If
$$S = P + QI$$
 is an AH-subspace of V(I), $L(S) = L_V(P) + L_V(Q)I$.

3. Main discussion

Definition 3.1:

Let (V,+,.) be a vector space over a field K, $V_n(I)$ be the corresponding weak n-refined neutrosophic vector space over K. Consider the set $\{M_i; 0 \le i \le n\}$, where M_i is a subspace of V. We say:

 $M_n(I) = M_0 + M_1I_1 + \dots + M_nI_n = \{m_0 + m_1I_1 + \dots + m_nI_n; m_i \in M_i\}$ is a weak n-refined AH-subspace of the weak n-refined vector space $V_n(I)$.

We say that $M_n(I)$ is a weak n-refined AH-subspace if $M_j = M_i$ for all i, j.

Definition 3.2:

Let (V, +, .) be a vector space over a field $K, V_n(I)$ be the corresponding strong n-refined neutrosophic vector space over the n-refined neutrosophic field $K_n(I)$. Consider the set $\{M_i; 0 \le i \le n\}$, where M_i is a subspace of V. We say:

 $M_n(I) = M_0 + M_1I_1 + \dots + M_nI_n = \{m_0 + m_1I_1 + \dots + m_nI_n; m_i \in M_i\}$ is a strong n-refined AH-subspace of the strong n-refined vector space $V_n(I)$.

We say that $M_n(I)$ is a strong n-refined AH-subspace if $M_i = M_i$ for all i, j.

Theorem 3.3:

Let (V, +, .) be a vector space over a field $K, V_n(I)$ be the corresponding weak n-refined neutrosophic vector space over $K, M_n(I) = M_0 + M_1I_1 + \cdots + M_nI_n$ be a weak n-refined AH-subspace. Then

- (a) $M_n(I)$ is a vector subspace of $V_n(I)$.
- (b) If X_i is a bases of M_i , $X = \bigcup_{i=0}^n X_i I_i$ is a bases of $M_n(I)$.
- (c) $\dim(M_n(I)) = \sum_{i=0}^n \dim(M_i)$.

Proof:

(a) Let $x = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i I_i$, $y = \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i I_i$; $b_i, a_i \in M_i$ be two arbitrary elements in $M_n(I)$, r be an arbitrary element in K, we have:

 $x + y = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (a_i + b_i) I_i \in M_n(I)$, since $a_i + b_i \in M_i$ because M_i is a subspace of V.

 $r.x = \sum_{i=0}^{n} ra_i I_i \in M_n(I)$, since $ra_i \in M_i$ because M_i is a subspace of V. Thus $M_n(I)$ is a vector subspace of $V_n(I)$.

(b) Suppose that $X_0 = \{x_1^{(0)}, \dots, x_{s_0}^{(0)}\}, X_1 = \{x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_{s_1}^{(1)}\}, \dots, X_n = \{x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_{s_n}^{(n)}\}, \text{ let } x = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i I_i \text{ be an arbitrary element of } M_n(I), \text{ since } X_i \text{ is a basis of } M_i \text{ for all i. We can write:}$

 $a_i = \sum_{j=0}^{s_i} t_j^{(i)} x_j^{(i)}; t_j \in K$, so $x = \sum_{j=0}^{s_0} t_j^{(0)} x_j^{(0)} + \sum_{j=0}^{s_1} t_j^{(1)} x_j^{(1)} I_1 + \dots + \sum_{j=0}^{s_n} t_j^{(n)} x_j^{(n)} I_n$. This implies that X is a generating set of $M_n(I)$.

Now we prove that X is linearly independent. For our purpose we assume

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s_0} t_i^{(0)} x_i^{(0)} + \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} t_i^{(1)} x_i^{(1)} I_1 + \dots + \sum_{i=0}^{s_n} t_i^{(n)} x_i^{(n)} I_n = 0, \text{ by definition of n-refined vector space we find}$$

 $\sum_{j=0}^{s_i} t_j^{(i)} x_j^{(i)}$ for all i, hence $t_j^{(i)} = 0$ for all i,j, since each X_i is linearly independent itself. Thus our proof is complete.

(c) It holds directly from (b).

Example 3.4:

Let $V = R^2$ be a vector space over the field R, $V_2(I) = R_2^2(I) = \{(a_0, b_0) + (a_1, b_1)I_1 + (a_2, b_2)I_2; a_i, b_i \in R\}$ be the corresponding weak 2-refined neutrosophic vector space over the field R, we have:

(a)
$$M = <(1,0) > = \{(m,0); m \in R\}, N = <(0,1) > = \{(0,n); n \in R\}$$
 are two subspaces of $V = R^2$.

(b)
$$T = M + NI_1 + MI_2 = \{(m, 0) + (0, n)I_1 + (s, 0)I_2; m, n, s \in R\}$$
 is a weak AH-subspace of $V_2(I)$.

(c) The set
$$X = \{(1,0), (0,1)I_1, (1,0)I_2\}$$
 is a bases of T, $\dim(T) = \dim(M) + \dim(N) + \dim(M) = 3$.

(d)
$$D = N + NI_1 + NI_2 = \{(0, \alpha) + (0, b)I_1 + (0, c)I_2; \alpha, b, c \in R\}$$
 is a weak AHS-subspace.

Theorem 3.5:

Let V be a vector space with $\dim(V) = n + 1$. Then V is isomorphic to a weak AHS-subspace of the corresponding weak n-refined neutrosophic vector space.

Proof:

Let M be any one dimensional subspace of V, $T = M + MI_1 + \cdots + MI_n$ is a weak AHS-subspace of the weak nrefined neutrosophic vector space $V_n(I)$. As a result of Theorem 3.3, we find $\dim(T) = n + 1 = \dim(V)$, thus V is isomorphic to T.

Example 3.6:

Let $V = R^3$ be a vector space over the field R, $V_3(I) = \{a + bI_1 + cI_2 + dI_3; a, b, c, d \in V\}$ is the corresponding weak 3-refined neutrosophic vector space, M = <(1,0,0) > is a subspace of V.

 $T = M + MI_1 + MI_2 = \{(a, 0, 0) + (b, 0, 0)I_1 + (c, 0, 0)I_2; a, b, c \in R\}$ is a weak AHS-subspace of $V_3(I)$ with $\dim(T) = 3$, this implies $T \cong V$.

Theorem 3.7:

Let (V, +, .) be a vector space over a field K, $V_n(I)$ be the corresponding strong n-refined neutrosophic vector space over the n-refined neutrosophic field $K_n(I)$, $M_n(I) = M + MI_1 + \cdots + MI_n$ be a strong n-refined AHS-subspace. Then:

(a) $M_n(I)$ is a submodule of $V_n(I)$.

(b) If Y is a bases of $M, X = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} YI_i$ is a bases of $M_n(I)$.

(c)
$$\dim(M_n(I)) = \sum_{i=0}^n \dim(M) = n \cdot \dim(M)$$
.

Proof:

(a) Let $x = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i I_i$, $y = \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i I_i$; $b_i, a_i \in M_i$ be two arbitrary elements in $M_n(I)$, $r = \sum_{i=0}^{n} r_i I_i$ be an arbitrary element in $K_n(I)$, we have:

$$x + y = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (a_i + b_i) I_i \in M_n(I)$$
, since $a_i + b_i \in M_i$ because M_i is a subspace of V.

 $r.x = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} r_i a_j I_i I_j \in M_n(I)$, since $r_i a_j \in M$ because M is a subspace of V. Thus $M_n(I)$ is a vector subspace of $V_n(I)$.

(b),(c) They are similar to that of Theorem 3.5.

Remark 3.8:

If $V_n(I)$ is a strong n-refined neutrosophic vector space over the n-refined neutrosophic field $K_n(I)$, and

 $M_n(I) = M_0 + M_1 I_1 + \dots + M_n I_n$ is a strong n-refined AH-subspace, then it is not supposed to be a submodule.

We clarify it by the following example.

Example 3.9:

Let $V = R^2$ be a vector space over R, $V_2(I) = R_2^2(I) = \{(a,b) + (c,d)I_1 + (e,f)I_2; a,b,c,d,e,f \in R\}$ be the corresponding strong 2-refined neutrosophic vector space over the neutrosophic field $R_2(I)$.

M = <0,1>, N = <(1,0)> are two subspaces of V, $T = M + NI_1 + NI_2$ is a strong AH-subspace of $V_2(I)$.

$$x = (0,1) + (2,0)I_1 + (1,0)I_2 \in T, r = 1 + 1.I_1 + 1.I_2 \in R_2(I),$$

$$r.x = 1.(0,1) + 1.(0,1)I_1 + 1.(0,1)I_2 + 1.(2,0)I_1I_1 + 1.(2,0)I_1 + 1.(1,0)I_1I_2 + 1.(0,1)I_2 + 1.(2,0)I_1I_2 + 1.(2,0)I_2I_2 = (0,1) + [(0,1) + (2,0) + (1,0) + (2,0)]I_1 + [(0,1) + (0,1) + (2,0)]I_2 = (0,1) + (0,1)$$

 $(0,1) + (5,1)I_1 + (2,2)I_2$, r.x does not belong to T, thus T is not a submodule.

Definition 3.10:

Let $V_n(I)$ be a weak/strong n-refined neutrosophic vector space, $M_n(I) = M_0 + M_1 I_1 + \cdots + M_n I_n$.

 $W_n(I) = W_0 + W_1 I_1 + \cdots + W_n I_n$ be two weak/strong AH-subspaces of $V_n(I)$, we define:

(a)
$$M_n(I) \cap W_n(I) = (M_0 \cap W_0) + (M_1 \cap W_1)I_1 + \dots + (M_n \cap W_n)I_n$$
.

(b)
$$M_n(I) + W_n(I) = (M_0 + W_0) + (M_1 + W_1)I_1 + \dots + (M_n + W_n)I_n$$
.

Theorem 3.11:

Let $V_n(I)$ be a weak n-refined neutrosophic vector space, $M_n(I) = M_0 + M_1 I_1 + \cdots + M_n I_n$,

$$W_n(I) = W_0 + W_1I_1 + \dots + W_nI_n$$
 be two weak AH-subspaces of $V_n(I)$. Then:

 $M_n(I) \cap W_n(I), M_n(I) + W_n(I)$ are two weak AH-subspaces of $V_n(I)$.

Proof:

Since $M_i \cap W_i$, $M_i + W_i$ are subspaces of V for all i, we obtain the proof.

Theorem 3.12:

Let $V_n(I)$ be a strong n-refined neutrosophic vector space, $M_n(I) = M_0 + M_1 I_1 + \cdots + M_n I_n$

 $W_n(I) = W_0 + W_1 I_1 + \dots + W_n I_n$ be two strong AH-subspaces of $V_n(I)$. Then:

- (a) $M_n(I) \cap W_n(I)$ is a strong AH-subspaces of $V_n(I)$.
- (b) $M_n(I) + W_n(I)$ is not supposed to be a strong AH-subspace of $V_n(I)$.

Proof:

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.11.

Definition 3.13:

Let V,W be two vector spaces over the field K, $f_i: V \to W$; $0 \le i \le n+1$ be n+1 linear transformations, $V_n(I)$, $W_n(I)$ be the corresponding weak n-refined neutrosophic vector spaces over the field K respectively. We say:

(a)
$$f: V_n(I) \to W_n(I); f(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i I_i) = f_0(a_0) + f_1(a_1)I_1 + \dots + f_n(a_n)I_n = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(a_i)I_i$$
 is a weak AH-linear transformation.

(b) If $f_i = f_i$ for all i, j, we call f a weak AHS-linear transformation.

Example 3.14:

(a) Let
$$V = R^3$$
, $W = R^2$ be two vector spaces over the field R, $V_2(I) = R_2^3(I) = \{(x_0, y_0 z_0) + (x_1, y_1, z_1)I_1 + (x_2, y_2, z_2)I_2; x_i, y_i, z_i \in R\}$,

 $W_2(I) = \{(x_0, y_0) + (x_1, y_1)I_1 + (x_2, y_2)I_2; x_i, y_i \in R\}$ be the corresponding weak 2-refined neutrosophic vector spaces. We have $g: V \to W$; $g(a, b, c) = (b, c), h: V \to W$; h(a, b, c) = (2a, 0)

 $s: V \to W$; s(a, b, c) = (2b, 3c) are three linear transformations.

(b)
$$f: V_2(I) \to W_2(I); f(m+nI_1+qI_2) = g(m)+h(n)I_1+s(q)I_2; m,n,q \in V$$
 is a weak AH-linear transformation.

(c) We clarify f as follows:

$$x = (1,2,2) + (1,0,1)I_1 + (3,-1,0)I_2 \in V_2(I),$$

$$f(x) = g(1,2,2) + [h(1,0,1)]I_1 + [s(3,-1,0)]I_2 = (2,2) + (2,0)I_1 + (-2,0)I_2.$$

(d) $k: V_2(I) \rightarrow W_2(I); k(m+nI_1+qI_2) = g(m)+g(n)I_1+g(q)I_2; m,n,q \in V$ is a weak AHS-linear transformation.

Definition 3.15:

Let V,W be two vector spaces over the field K, $f_i: V \to W$; $0 \le i \le n+1$ be n+1 linear transformations, $V_n(I)$, $W_n(I)$ be the corresponding strong n-refined neutrosophic vector spaces over the n-refined neutrosophic field $K_n(I)$ respectively. We say:

- (a) $f: V_n(I) \to W_n(I); f(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i I_i) = f_0(a_0) + f_1(a_1)I_1 + \dots + f_n(a_n)I_n = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(a_i)I_i$ is a strong AH-linear transformation.
- (b) If $f_i = f_i$ for all i, j, we call f a strong AHS-linear transformation.

Example 3.16:

(a) Let $V = R^3$, $W = R^2$ be two vector spaces over the field R, $V_2(I) = R_2^3(I) = \{(x_0, y_0 z_0) + (x_1, y_1, z_1)I_1 + (x_2, y_2, z_2)I_2; x_i, y_i, z_i \in R\}$,

 $W_2(I) = \{(x_0, y_0) + (x_1, y_1)I_1 + (x_2, y_2)I_2; x_i, y_i \in R\}$ be the corresponding strong 2-refined neutrosophic vector spaces over the 2-refined neutrosophic field $R_2(I)$. We have $g: V \to W$; $g(a, b, c) = (b, c), h: V \to W$; h(a, b, c) = (2a, 0),

 $s: V \to W$; s(a, b, c) = (2b, 3c) are three linear transformations.

(b) $f: V_2(I) \to W_2(I)$; $f(m+nI_1+qI_2) = g(m) + h(n)I_1 + s(q)I_2$; $m, n, q \in V$ is a strong AH-linear transformation.

(c) We clarify f as follows:

$$x = (1,2,2) + (1,0,1)I_1 + (3,-1,0)I_2 \in V_2(I),$$

$$f(x) = g(1,2,2) + [h(1,0,1)]I_1 + [s(3,-1,0)]I_2 = (2,2) + (2,0)I_1 + (-2,0)I_2.$$

(d) $k: V_2(I) \rightarrow W_2(I); k(m+nI_1+qI_2) = g(m)+g(n)I_1+g(q)I_2; m, n, q \in V$ is a strong AHS-linear transformation.

Definition 3.17:

Let $V_n(I)$, $W_n(I)$ be two weak/strong n-refined neutrosophic vector spaces,

 $f: V_n(I) \to W_n(I); f(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i I_i) = f_0(a_0) + f_1(a_1)I_1 + \dots + f_n(a_n)I_n = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(a_i)I_i$ be a weak/strong AH-linear transformation. We define:

(a)
$$AH - Ker(f) = Ker(f_0) + Ker(f_1)I_1 + \dots + Ker(f_n)I_n$$
.

(b)
$$AH - Im(f) = Im(f_0) + Im(f_1)I_1 + \dots + Im(f_n)I_n$$
.

Theorem 3.18:

Let $V_n(I)$, $W_n(I)$ be two weak n-refined neutrosophic vector spaces,

 $f: V_n(I) \to W_n(I); f(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i I_i) = f_0(a_0) + f_1(a_1)I_1 + \dots + f_n(a_n)I_n = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(a_i)I_i$ be a weak AH-linear transformation. Then:

- (a) AH Ker(f) is a weak AH-subspace of $V_n(I)$.
- (b) AH Im(f) is a weak AH-subspace of $W_n(I)$.

(c) If $M_n(I) = M_0 + M_1I_1 + \dots + M_nI_n$ is a weak AH-subspace of $V_n(I)$, $f(M_n(I))$ is a weak AH-subspace of $W_n(I)$.

Proof:

(a) Since $Ker(f_i)$ is a subspace of V, we find that

$$AH - Ker(f) = Ker(f_0) + Ker(f_1)I_1 + \dots + Ker(f_n)I_n$$
 is a weak AH-subspace of $V_n(I)$.

- (b) Since $Im(f_i)$ is a subspace of W, we find that $AH Im(f) = Im(f_0) + Im(f_1)I_1 + \cdots + Im(f_n)I_n$ is a weak AH-subspace of $W_n(I)$.
- (c) It is known that $f_i(M_i)$ is a subspace of W, hence

$$f(M_n(I)) = f_0(M_0) + f_1(M_1)I_1 + \dots + f_n(M_n)I_n$$
 is a weak AH-subspace of $W_n(I)$.

Theorem 3.19:

Let $V_n(I)$, $W_n(I)$ be two strong n-refined neutrosophic vector spaces over the n-refined neutrosophic field $K_n(I)$,

$$f: V_n(I) \to W_n(I); f(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i I_i) = f_0(a_0) + f_1(a_1)I_1 + \dots + f_n(a_n)I_n = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(a_i)I_i$$
 be a strong AH-linear transformation. Then:

- (a) AH Ker(f) is a strong AH-subspace of $V_n(I)$.
- (b) AH Im(f) is a strong AH-subspace of $W_n(I)$.
- (c) If $M_n(I) = M_0 + M_1I_1 + \dots + M_nI_n$ is a strong AH-subspace of $V_n(I)$, $f(M_n(I))$ is a strong AH-subspace of $W_n(I)$.

Proof:

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.18.

Example 3.20:

Let $V_2(I)$, $W_2(I)$ be the two weak 2-refined neutrosophic vector spaces defined in Example 3.16.

(a)
$$M = <(1,0,0) > N = <(0,1,0) > L = <(0,0,1) >$$
are three subspaces of V,

$$T = M + NI_1 + LI_2 = \{(a, 0, 0) + (0, b, 0)I_1 + (0, 0, c)I_2; a, b, c \in R\}$$
 is a weak AH-subspace of $V_2(I)$.

Consider $f: V_2(I) \to W_2(I)$ the weak AH-linear transformation defined in Example 3.16.

(b)
$$AH - Ker(f) = Ker(g) + Ker(h)I_1 + Ker(s)I_2 = \{(a, 0, 0) + (0, b, c)I_1 + (d, 0, 0)I_2; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(c)
$$AH - Im(f) = Im(g) + Im(h)I_1 + Im(s)I_2 = R^2 + < (1,0) > I_1 + R^2I_2$$
.

(d)
$$f(T) = g(M) + h(N)I_1 + s(L)I_2 = <(0,0) > + <(0,0) > I_1 + <(0,1)I_2 =$$

 $\{(0,0) + (0,0), I_1 + (0,a)I_2; a \in R\}$, which is a weak AH-subspace of $W_2(I)$.

Theorem 3.21:

Let $V_n(I)$, $W_n(I)$ be two weak n-refined neutrosophic vector spaces over the field K,

 $f: V_n(I) \to W_n(I); f(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i I_i) = f_0(a_0) + f_1(a_1)I_1 + \dots + f_n(a_n)I_n = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(a_i)I_i$ be a weak AH-linear transformation. Then:

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y), f(r, x) = r, f(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in V_n(I), r \in K.$$

Proof:

Let $x = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i I_i$, $y = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i I_i$ be two arbitrary elements in $V_n(I)$, $r \in K$ be any element in the field K, we have:

$$f(x+y) = f(\sum_{i=0}^{n} (a_i + b_i)I_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_i(a_i + b_i)I_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_i(a_i)I_i + \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_i(b_i)I_i = f(x) + f(y).$$

$$f(r,x) = f(\sum_{i=0}^{n} ra_{i}I_{i}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i}(ra_{i})I_{i} = r \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i}(a_{i})I_{i} = r \cdot f(x).$$

Theorem 3.22:

Let $V_n(I)$, $W_n(I)$ be two strong n-refined neutrosophic vector spaces over the n-refined neutrosophic field $K_n(I)$,

 $f: V_n(I) \to W_n(I); f(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i I_i) = f_0(a_0) + f_1(a_1)I_1 + \dots + f_n(a_n)I_n = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(a_i)I_i$ be a strong AH-linear transformation. Then:

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y), f(r, x) = r. f(x)$$
 for all $x, y \in V_n(I), r \in K_n(I)$.

Proof:

Let $x = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i I_i$, $y = \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i I_i$ be two arbitrary elements in $V_n(I)$, $r = \sum_{i=0}^{n} r_i I_i \in K_n(I)$ be any element in the nrefined neutrosophic field $K_n(I)$, we have:

$$f(x+y) = f(\sum_{i=0}^{n} (a_i + b_i)I_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_i(a_i + b_i)I_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_i(a_i)I_i + \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_i(b_i)I_i = f(x) + f(y).$$

For the proof of the second proposition we use induction on n. If n=0, the theorem is true clearly.

Suppose that it is true for n-1, we must prove it for n.

$$f(r,x) = f(\sum_{i,j=0}^{n} r_i a_j I_i I_j) = f(\sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} r_i a_j I_i I_j + (\sum_{i=0}^{n} r_i I_i) a_n I_n)$$
, we can write

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} r_i a_i I_i I_i = m_0 + m_1 I_1 + \dots + m_{n-1} I_{n-1},$$

$$(\sum_{i=0}^{n} r_i I_i) a_n I_n = r_1 a_n I_1 + r_2 a_n I_2 + \dots + (r_0 a_n + r_n a_n) I_n,$$

$$r.x = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} r_i a_j I_i I_j + (\sum_{i=0}^n r_i I_i) a_n I_n = m_0 + (m_1 + r_1 a_n) I_1 + (m_2 + r_2 a_n) I_2 + \dots + (r_0 a_n + r_n a_n) I_n,$$

$$f(r,x) = f_0(m_0) + f_1(m_1 + r_1a_n)I_1 + f_2(m_2 + r_2a_n)I_2 + \cdots + f_n(r_0a_n + r_na_n)I_n = f_0(m_0) + f_1(m_1 + r_1a_n)I_1 + f_2(m_2 + r_2a_n)I_2 + \cdots + f_n(r_0a_n + r_na_n)I_n = f_0(m_0) + f_1(m_1 + r_1a_n)I_1 + f_2(m_2 + r_2a_n)I_2 + \cdots + f_n(r_0a_n + r_na_n)I_n = f_0(m_0) + f_1(m_1 + r_1a_n)I_1 + f_2(m_2 + r_2a_n)I_2 + \cdots + f_n(r_0a_n + r_na_n)I_n = f_0(m_0) + f_1(m_1 + r_1a_n)I_1 + f_2(m_2 + r_2a_n)I_2 + \cdots + f_n(r_0a_n + r_na_n)I_n = f_0(m_0) + f_1(m_0) + f_1(m$$

$$f_0(m_0) + [f_1(m_1) + r_1 f_1(a_n)]I_1 + \dots + [r_0 f_n(a_n) + r_n f_n(a_n)]I_n = r.f(x).$$

Theorem 3.23:

Let $V_n(I)$, $W_n(I)$, $U_n(I)$ be three weak n-refined neutrosophic vector spaces over the field K,

$$f: W_n(I) \to U_n(I); f(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i I_i) = f_0(a_0) + f_1(a_1)I_1 + \dots + f_n(a_n)I_n = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(a_i)I_i$$

$$g: V_n(I) \to W_n(I); g(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i I_i) = g_0(a_0) + g_1(a_1)I_1 + \dots + g_n(a_n)I_n = \sum_{i=0}^n g_i(a_i)I_i$$

be two weak AH-linear transformations. Then:

- (a) $f \circ g = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (f_i \circ g_i)$.
- (b) fog is a weak AH-linear transformation between $V_n(I)$, $U_n(I)$.

Proof:

(a) Let
$$x = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i I_i \in V_n(I)$$
, $f \circ g(x) = f(\sum_{i=0}^{n} g_i(a_i)I_i) = f(g_0(a_0) + g_1(a_1)I_1 + \dots + g_n(a_n)I_n) = f(g_0(a_0) + g_1(a_1)I_1 + \dots + g_n(a_n)I_n + g_1(a_n)I_n + g_1(a_n)I_n$

$$f_0(g_0(a_0)) + f_1(g_1(a_1))I_1 + \dots + f_n(g_n(a_n))I_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (f_i \circ g_i) (a_i)I_i$$

(b) Since $f_i \circ g_i$ is a linear transformation for all i, then we get the proof.

Theorem 3.24:

Let $V_n(I)$, $W_n(I)$, $U_n(I)$ be three strong n-refined neutrosophic vector spaces over the n-refined neutrosophic field K,

$$f: W_n(I) \to U_n(I); f(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i I_i) = f_0(a_0) + f_1(a_1)I_1 + \dots + f_n(a_n)I_n = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(a_i)I_i,$$

$$g: V_n(I) \to W_n(I); g(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i I_i) = g_0(a_0) + g_1(a_1)I_1 + \dots + g_n(a_n)I_n = \sum_{i=0}^n g_i(a_i)I_i,$$

be two strong AH-linear transformations. Then:

- (a) $f \circ g = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (f_i \circ g_i)$.
- (b) $f \circ g$ is a strong AH-linear transformation between $V_n(I)$, $U_n(I)$.

Proof:

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.23.

Example 3.25:

(a) Let
$$V = R^3$$
 be a vector spaces over the field R , $V_2(I) = R_2^3(I) = \{(x_0, y_0 z_0) + (x_1, y_1, z_1)I_1 + (x_2, y_2, z_2)I_2; x_i, y_i, z_i \in R\}$,

be the corresponding weak 2-refined neutrosophic vector space. We have $g: V \to V$; g(a, b, c) = (2b, 2c, 0),

$$h:V\to V; h(a,b,c)=(2a,c,c),$$

 $s: V \to V$; s(a, b, c) = (2b, 3c, a) are three linear transformations.

- (b) $f: V_2(I) \to V_2(I); f(m+nI_1+qI_2) = g(m) + h(n)I_1 + s(q)I_2; m, n, q \in V$ is a weak AH-linear transformation, $j: V_2(I) \to V_2(I); j(m+nI_1+qI_2) = g(m) + g(n)I_1 + h(q)I_2; m, n, q \in V$ is a weak AH-linear transformation.
- (c) $foj(m + nI_1 + qI_2) = gog(m) + hog(n)I_1 + soh(q)I_2$.

(d) Put
$$m = (2,1,0)$$
, $n = (-1,0,0)$, $q = (3,2,2)$, we compute $f \circ j$ as follows:

$$foj(m + nI_1 + qI_2) = gog[(2,1,0)] + hog[(-1,0,0)]I_1 + soh[(3,2,2)]I_2 =$$

$$g(2,0,0) + h(0,0,0)I_1 + s(6,2,2)I_2 = (0,0,0) + (0,0,0)I_1 + (4,6,6)I_2.$$

5. Conclusion

In this paper we have defined and studied weak/strong AH-subspaces and weak/strong AH-linear transformations in n-refined neutrosophic vector spaces. Also, we have presented some elementary properties and theorems about these concepts.

Funding: "This research received no external funding"

Conflicts of Interest: "The authors declare no conflict of interest."

References

- [1] Abobala, M., "On Some Special Substructures of Neutrosophic Rings and Their Properties", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science", Vol. 4, pp. 72-81, 2020.
- [2] Abobala, M., "On Some Special Substructures of Refined Neutrosophic Rings", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 5, pp. 59-66, 2020.
- [3] Abobala, M,. "Classical Homomorphisms Between Refined Neutrosophic Rings and Neutrosophic Rings", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 5, pp. 72-75, 2020.
- [4] Abobala, M., " AH-subspaces in Neutrosophic Vector Spaces", International Journal of neytrosophic Science, Vol. 6, pp. 80-86, 2020.
- [5] Abobala, M., "Classical Homomorphisms Between n-Refined neutrosophic Rings", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 7, pp. 74-78, 2020.
- [6] Adeleke, E.O., Agboola, A.A.A., and Smarandache, F., "Refined Neutrosophic Rings II", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 2, pp. 89-94, 2020.
- [7] Adeleke, E.O., Agboola, A.A.A., and Smarandache, F., "Refined Neutrosophic Rings I", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 2, pp. 77-81, 2020.
- [8] Agboola, A.A.A, and Akinleye, S.A, "Neutrosophic Vector Spaces", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 4, pp. 9-17, 2014.
- [9] Agboola, A.A.A,. Akwu, A.D,. and Oyebo, Y.T,. "Neutrosophic Groups and Subgroups", International .J .Math.Combin, Vol. 3, pp. 1-9, 2012.
- [10] Agboola, A.A.A., Akinola, A.D., and Oyebola, O.Y.," NeutrosophicRings I ", International J.Mathcombin, Vol. 4, pp. 1-14, 2011.
- [11] T. Bera., and N. K. Mahapatra., On Neutrosophic Soft Field, IJMTT, 56(7), pp. 472-494, 2018.
- [12] T. Bera., and N. K. Mahapatra., On Neutrosophic Soft Linear Spaces, Fuzzy Information and Engineering., 9, 299-324, (2017).
- [13] Injrou, S., "Linear Algebra 2", Tishreen University Press, pp 180-230, 2015.

- [14] Kandasamy, V.W.B., and Smarandache, F., "Some Neutrosophic Algebraic Structures and Neutrosophic N-Algebraic Structures", Hexis, Phonex, Arizona 2006.
- [15] Smarandache, F., and Abobala, M., "n-Refined Neutrosophic Rings", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 6, pp. 83-90, 2020.
- [16] Smarandache F., and Abobala, M., "n-Refined Neutrosophic Vector Spaces", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 7, pp. 47-54, 2020.