



Neutrosophic Ideal layers & Some Generalizations for GIS Topological Rules

A. A. Salama¹, Rafif Alhabib²

¹Dept. of Math and Computer Sci., Faculty of Science, Port Said Univ., Egypt
drsalama44@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematical Statistics, Faculty of Science, Albaath University, Homs, Syria.
rafif.alhabib85@gmail.com

ABSTRACT.

This paper aims to introduce and study some new neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise notions via neutrosophic fuzzy ideals. We, also generalize the notion of FPL-open sets. In addition to generalizing the concept of FPL-closed sets and NPL-open function, the relationship between the above new neutrosophic Fuzzy pairwise notions and there other relevant classes are investigated. In Geographical information systems (GIS) there is a need to statistically model spatial regions with indeterminate boundary and under indeterminacy. Possible applications to GIS rules are touched upon.

Keywords: Neutrosophic set; Neutrosophic topology; Neutrosophic ideal open set; Neutrosophic closed set; GIS Neutrosophic Rules; Neutrosophic Statistical Model

Introduction

Since the world is full of indeterminacy, the neutrosophic found their place into contemporary research. The neutrosophic set was introduced by Smarandache in [3,4,7] and Salama et al. [5, 6, 8,10, 11, 12, 13, 14] introduced the neutrosophic crisp set, neutrosophic topological spaces and many applications in statistics, computer science and information systems. Neutrosophy has laid the foundation for a whole family of new mathematical theories generalizing both their classical and fuzzy counterparts, such as neutrosophic set theory, in this paper is to introduce and study some new neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise notion via neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise ideals. We, also generalize the notion of FPL-open sets due to Abd El-Monsef, et. al [1, 2]. In addition to generalizing the concept of FPL-closed sets. In Geographical information systems (GIS) there is a need to statistically model spatial regions with indeterminate boundary and under indeterminacy. Possible applications to GIS rules are touched upon.

PRELIMINARIES

We recollect some relevant basic preliminaries, and in particular, the work of Smarandache in [3, 4, 7], and Salama et al. [8-13].

2. Neutrosophic Fuzzy Pairwise Set and its Neutrosophic Fuzzy Pairwise Local Function.

Definition 2.1. Given $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1,2\}$ be an NFTS with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X , $\mu, \sigma, u \in I^X$. Then $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is said to be :

- (i) Neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise τ_i^* -closed, $i \in \{1,2\}$ may have two types

Type 1: if $\mu^* \leq \mu, u^* \geq u, \sigma^* \leq \sigma$.

Type 2: if $\mu^* \leq \mu, u^* \geq u, \sigma^* \geq \sigma$

(or PN*-closed) if $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^* \leq \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$

(ii) Fuzzy neutrosophic pairwise NPL-dense – in – itself may have two types

Type 1: if $\mu^* \leq \mu, u^* \geq u, \sigma^* \leq \sigma$.

Type 2: if $\mu^* \leq \mu, u^* \geq u, \sigma^* \geq \sigma$

(or PN*-dense- in – itself) if $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \subseteq \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*$.

(iii) Neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise*-perfect if $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is PN*-closed and PN*- dense – in itself.

Theorem 2.1: Given $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ be a nfbts with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X , $\mu, \sigma, u \in I^X$ then $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is

(i) PN*- closed iff $Ncl^*(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle) = \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$.

(ii) PN*- dense – in – itself iff $Ncl^*(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle) = \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*$.

(iii) PN*- perfect iff $Ncl^*(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle) = \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^* = \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$.

Proof: Follows directly from the neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise closure operator Ncl^* for a neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise $\tau_i(L), i \in \{1, 2\}$ in and Definition 2.1.

Remark 2.1: One can deduce that

(i) Every PN*-dense- in – itself is a neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise dense set.

(ii) Every neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise closed (resp. neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise open) set is PN*-closed (resp. $PN\tau_i^*$ – open, $i \in \{1, 2\}$).

Corollary 2.1: Given $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ be a nfbts with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X , $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \in \tau_i$ then we have :

(i) If $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is PN*-closed then $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^* \leq Nint(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle) \leq Ncl(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle)$.

(ii) If $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is PN*-dense- itself then $Nint(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle) \leq \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*$.

(iii) If $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is PN*- perfect then $Nint(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle) = Ncl(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle) = \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*$.

Proof: Obvious.

Theorem 2.2: Given $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ be a nfbts with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L_n on X , $\mu, \sigma, u \in I^X$ then we have the following: $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise α - closed iff $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is PN*- closed.

Proof: It's clear.

Corollary 2.2: For a nfbts $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X , $\mu, \sigma, u \in I^X$, the following holds:

(i) If $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \in PNC(X)$ then $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is PN*- closed.

(ii) If $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \in \text{PN}\beta\text{C}(X)$ then $\text{Nint}(\text{Nint}(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)) \subseteq \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$.

(iii) If $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \in \text{PN}\text{SC}(X)$ then $\text{Nint}(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*) \subseteq \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$.

Proof: Obvious.

3. Neutrosophic Fuzzy Pairwise L-Open and Neutrosophic Fuzzy Pairwise L- Closed Sets.

Definition 3.1. Given $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ be a NFBTS with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X , $\mu, \sigma, u \in I^X$ and $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is called a neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise NL -open set iff there exists $\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle \in \tau_i, i \in \{1, 2\}, P = \langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle$ such that $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \supseteq \langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle \subseteq P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)(L, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$.

We will denote the family of all neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise NL -open $(X, \tau_i) = \mu, \sigma, u \in I^X: \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \supseteq \tau_i - \text{Nint}[P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)(L, \tau_i)]$ and $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \supseteq \tau_2 - \text{Nint}[P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)(L, \tau_2)], i \in \{1, 2\}$ (simplify NPLO(X)) when there is no chance for confusion.

Theorem 3.1: Let $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ be a nfbts with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L , then $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \in \text{NPOL}(X)$

iff $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \supseteq \tau_i - \text{int}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)(L, \tau_i))$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}, P = \langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle$.

Proof: Assume that $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \in \text{NPOL}(X)$ then Definition 3.1.1. there exists $\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle \in \tau_i$

such that $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \supseteq \langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle \subseteq P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)(L, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$. But $\text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)) \subseteq P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)$,

put $\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle = \text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*))$. Hence $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \supseteq \text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*))$.

Conversely $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \supseteq \text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)) \subseteq P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)$.

Then there exists $\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle = \text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)) \in \tau_i$. Hence $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \in \text{NPLO}(X)$.

Definition 3.2. The largest $\tau_i - \text{NPL} - \text{open}$ (simply $\tau_i - \text{NPLO}(X)$) set contained in $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is called a $\tau_i - \text{NPL} - \text{neutrosophic interior}$ of $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$. The complement of the neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise NL -open subset of X is a neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise NL -closed subset of X (simply $\text{NPLC}(X)$).

We denoted by $\text{NPL-Nint}(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ be a nfbts with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal $L, \mu, \sigma, u \in I^X$ and j is an arbitrary set then

i- The union of neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise NL -open subsets may be neutrosophic fuzzy neutrosophic pairwise NL -open.

ii- If $v = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ is neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise open and $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ may be neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise NL -open subset of X . Then $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \cap v$ may be pairwise NL -open subset.

Proof. (i) Let $\{\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle_j: j \in J\}$ be a family of $\text{NPLO}(X)$. Then for each

$j \in J, \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle_j \supseteq \tau_i - \text{Nint}(p \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle_j^*)$

and so $\bigcup_j \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle_j \supseteq \bigcup_j \tau_i - \text{Nint}(p \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle_j^*) \supseteq \tau_i - \text{Nint}(\bigcup_j p \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle_j^*)$.

(ii) Assume that $v = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ is neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise open and $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ may be neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise NL-open subsets of X .

Then $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \cap v \subseteq v \cap (\tau_i - \text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*))) \subseteq \tau_i - \text{Nint}(v \cap P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)) \subseteq \tau_i - \text{Nint}(P(v \cap \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*))$.

Definition 3.3. Let $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ be a nfbs with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on $X, \mu, \sigma, u \in I^X$. Then $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is said to be neutrosophic fuzzy.

i- τ_i^* - closed iff $\tau_i^* - \text{Ncl}^*(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle) = \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$.

ii- τ_i^* - dense - in - itself if $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \subseteq P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)(L, \tau_i)$.

iii- τ_i^* - perfect if $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is τ_i^* - closed and τ_i^* - dense - in itself.

Proof. Follows directly from the neutrosophic fuzzy closure operator for τ_i^* and Definition 3.1.

Theorem 3.3: Given $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ a nfbs with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on $X, \mu, \sigma, u \in I^X$, then the following holds:

(i) If $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is both neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise NL-open and τ_i^* -perfect then $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ may be neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise open.

(ii) If $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is both neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise open and τ_i^* dense - in - itself then $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ may be neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise NL-open.

Proof. Follows from the definitions.

Corollary 3.1. For a neutrosophic fuzzy subset $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ of a nfbs $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X , we have:

(i) If $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is τ_i^* - closed and NPL - open then $\text{Nint}(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle) = \text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*))$.

(ii) If $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ is τ_i^* - perfect and NPL - open then $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle = \text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*))$.

Theorem 3.4: If $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ a nfbs with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L and $\mu, \sigma, u \in I^X$ then

(i) $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \cap \text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*))$ may be a neutrosophic fuzzy NL-open set.

(ii) $\text{NPL } \tau_i - \text{Nint}(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle) = 0_N$ iff $\text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)) = 0_N$.

Proof. (i) Since $\text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)) = P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*) \cap \text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*))$, then

$\text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)) = P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*) \cap \text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)) \subseteq P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \cap (\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*))^*$. Thus

$\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \cap P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*) \subseteq (\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \cap (\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \cap \text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)))^* \subseteq \text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)) \cap \text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*))^*$

Hence $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \cap \text{Nint}(P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*))$ in $\text{NPLO}(X)$.

(ii) Let $NPL\tau_i - Nint(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle) = 0_N$, then $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \cap P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*) = 0_N$, implies

$Ncl(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \cap Nint P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)) = 0_N$ and so

$\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \cap Nint P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*) = 0_N$. Conversely assume that $Nint P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*) = 0_N$,

then $\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \cap Nint P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*) = 0_N$. Hence $NPL\tau_i - Nint(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle) = 0_N$.

Theorem 3.5: If $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ a nfbs with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X, $\mu, \sigma, u \in I^X$,

then $NPL\tau_i - Nint(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle) = \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \wedge Nint P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)$.

Proof. The first implication follows from Theorem 3.1.1 that is

$$\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \wedge P \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^* \leq NPL - Nint(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle) \tag{1}$$

For the reverse inclusion, if $\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle$ in $NPLO(X)$ and $\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle \leq \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle$ then $P(\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle^*) \leq P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)$ and hence $Nint P(\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle^*) \leq Nint P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)$. This implies $\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle = \langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle \wedge Nint P(\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle^*) \leq \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \wedge P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*)$.

$$\text{Thus } NPL\tau_i - Nint(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle) \leq \langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle \wedge P(\langle \mu, \sigma, u \rangle^*) \tag{2}$$

From (1) and (2) we have the result.

Definition 3.4: Given $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ a nfbs with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L and $\xi, \rho, \theta \in I^X$, $\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle$ is called neutrosophic fuzzy pairwise NL-closed set if its complement is neutrosophic fuzzy NL-open set. We will denote the family of neutrosophic fuzzy NL-closed sets by $NPLC(X)$.

Theorem 3.6: Given $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ a nfbs with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L and $\xi, \rho, \theta \in I^X$, $\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle \eta$ is neutrosophic fuzzy NL – closed, then $P((Nint \langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle)^*) \leq \langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle$.

Proof. It's clear.

Theorem 3.7: Given (X, τ) be a nfbs with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X and $\xi, \rho, \theta \in I^X$ such that

$$P((Nint \langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle)^{*c}) = Nint P(\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle^{*c}),$$

then $\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle$ in $NPLC(X)$ iff $P((Nint \langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle)^*) \leq \langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle$.

Proof. (Necessity) Follows immediately from the above theorem. (Sufficiency).

Let $P((Nint \langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle)^*) \leq \langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle$, then $\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle^c \leq (P(Nint \langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle)^{*c}) = Nint \langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle^{*c}$, from the hypothesis.

Hence $\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle^c$ in $NPLO(X)$. Thus $\langle \xi, \rho, \theta \rangle$ in $NPLC(X)$.

Corollary 3.2: For a nfbs $(X, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ with neutrosophic fuzzy ideal L on X the following holds:

(i) The union of neutrosophic fuzzy NPL-closed set and neutrosophic fuzzy NP-closed set may be a neutrosophic fuzzy NPL-closed set.

(ii) The union of neutrosophic fuzzy NPL-closed and neutrosophic fuzzy NPL-closed may be neutrosophic fuzzy NPL-closed.

Conclusions

The notions of the sets and functions in neutrosophic fuzzy bitopological spaces are highly developed and several characterizations have already been obtained. These are used extensively in many practical and engineering problems, the computational topology for geometric design, computer-aided geometric design, engineering design research, Geographic Information System (GIS) and mathematical sciences. We are in the process of preparing a statistical model for neutrosophic bitopological layers for geographic information systems.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, A.Kozae , A. A. Salama, H. M. Elagmy, "Fuzzy Pairwise PL-open Sets and Fuzzy Pairwise PL-continuous Function", International Journal of Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, 3(2), 69-72, 2013.
- [2] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, A.Kozae, A. A. Salama, H.M.Elagmy, "Fuzzy bitopological ideals spaces, Journal of Computer Engineering", 6(4), pp.01-05, 2012.
- [3] Florentin Smarandache, "Neutrosophy and Neutrosophic Logic", First International Conference on Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Logic , Set, Probability, and Statistics University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301, USA, 2002
- [4] F. Smarandache, "A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability", American Research Press, Rehoboth, NM, 1999.
- [5] A.A. Salama , S.A. Alblowi, "Neutrosophic Set and Neutrosophic Topological Space", ISOR J. mathematics (IOSR-JM), 3 (4), 31-35, 2012.
- [6] A. A Salama, Florentin Smarandache, Valeri Kroumov, " Neutrosophic Crisp Sets & Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 2, pp. 25-30, 2014.
- [7] Anjan Mukherjee, Mithun Datta, Florentin Smarandache "Interval Valued Neutrosophic Soft Topological Spaces", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 6, pp.18-27, 2014.
- [8] A. A. Salama, I. M. Hanafy, Hewayda Elghawalby, M. S. Dabash, "Neutrosophic Crisp α -Topological Spaces", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 12, 2016, pp. 92-96, 2016.
- [9] A.A. Salama, " Basic Structure of Some Classes of Neutrosophic Crisp Nearly Open Sets & Possible Application to GIS Topology", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 7, pp. 18-22., 2015
- [10] A.A. Salama, Florentin Smarandache, S. A. Alblowi, "New Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Concepts", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 4, pp. 50-54, 2014.
- [11] A. A. Salama, F.Smarandache, "Neutrosophic Crisp Set Theory", Educational. Education Publishing 1313 Chesapeake, Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43212, 2015.
- [12] A. A. Salama, Florentin Smarandache, "Neutrosophic Ideal Theory, Neutrosophic Local Function, and Generated Neutrosophic Topology", Neutrosophic Theory and Its Applications, Vol. I, pp 213-218, 2014.
- [13] A. A. Salama, "Neutrosophic Crisp Points & Neutrosophic Crisp Ideals", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 1, 50-53, 2013.
- [14] Rafif Alhabib, A. A. Salama, "Using Moving Averages To Pave The Neutrosophic Time Series", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science ,Vol.3, pp.14-20, 2020
- [15] R. Alhabib, M. Ranna, H. Farah, A. A. Salama, "Neutrosophic decision-making & neutrosophic decision tree" Albaath- University Journal, Vol (40), 2018.