



On The Two-Fold Neutrosophic Groups and Their Algebra Properties

Ahmed Hatip^{1,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep, Turkey

Kollnaar5@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper is dedicated to define and study for the first time the concept of two-fold neutrosophic group by combining two-fold algebras with the classical concept of neutrosophic group. We study the elementary properties of this novel concept by many related theorems and illustrated examples.

Keywords: Two-fold algebra; Group; Neutrosophic group

1. Introduction

Two fold algebraic structures were proposed by Smarandache in [1] as a novel generalization of classical structures. In [4-8], we find many related studies that used this novel approach to generate generalized structures in both algebra and analysis. Also, two-fold structures were used in number theory and Diophantine equations theory [2-3].

In this work, we are motivated to extend previous studies on two-fold algebras to neutrosophic groups to form a new algebraic structure called two-fold neutrosophic group by combining two-fold algebras with the classical concept of neutrosophic group where we study the elementary properties of this novel concept by many related theorems and illustrated examples.

2. Main Discussion

Definition:

Let (G, \cdot) be a group, with: $t, i, f: G \rightarrow [0,1]$ such that:

$$\begin{cases} t(xy) \leq \min(t(x), t(y)) \\ i(xy) \geq \max(i(x), i(y)) \\ f(xy) \geq \max(f(x), f(y)) \end{cases} ; x, y \in G.$$

Then (G, \cdot, t, i, f) is called two-fold neutrosophic group.

Remark:

The elements of $G_N = (G, \cdot, t, i, f)$ has the formula:

$$(x)_{(t,i,f)} ; x \in G, t = t(x), i = i(x), f = f(x).$$

Definition:

Let $A = (x)_{(t_1, i_1, f_1)}, B = (y)_{(t_2, i_2, f_2)} \in G_N$, we define:

$$A * B = (xy)_{(t(xy), i(xy), f(xy))}$$

Theorem (1):

Let $G_N = (G, \cdot, t, i, f)$ be two-fold neutrosophic group, then:

- 1] $(*)$ is associative.
- 2] $(*)$ has an identity $(e)_{(t(e),i(e),f(e))}$
- 3] $A = (x)_{(t(x),i(x),f(x))}$ has an inverse $(x^{-1})_{(t(x^{-1}),i(x^{-1}),f(x^{-1}))}$.
- 4] If (G, \cdot) is abelian, then $(G_N, *)$ is abelian.
- 5] $t(x) \leq t(e), i(x) \geq i(e), f(x) \geq f(e)$ for all $x \in G$.

Definition:

Let H be a subgroup of G , then:

$H_N = \{(x)_{(t(x),i(x),f(x))} ; x \in H\}$ is called a two-fold neutrosophic subgroup of G_N .

Theorem (2):

Let $(G_N, *)$ be a two-fold neutrosophic group, then:

- 1] If H is abelian subgroup of G , then H_N is abelian two-fold neutrosophic subgroup of G_N .
- 2] If H is normal, then H_N is two-fold normal subgroup of G_N .

Definition:

Let H be a normal subgroup of G , and G/H be the corresponding factor group, we define:

$t', i', f': G/H \rightarrow [0,1]$ such that:

$$t'(xH) = \begin{cases} t(x) ; x \notin H \\ t(e) ; x \in H \end{cases}, i'(xH) = \begin{cases} i(x) ; x \notin H \\ i(e) ; x \in H \end{cases}, f'(xH) = \begin{cases} f(x) ; x \in H \\ f(e) ; x \notin H \end{cases}$$

Theorem (3):

Let H_N be a two-fold normal neutrosophic subgroup of G_N , then:

$G_N/H_N = \{(xH)_{(t'(xH),i'(xH),f'(xH))} ; x \in G\}$ is a two-fold neutrosophic group. We call it the two-fold neutrosophic factor.

Definition:

Let $g: G \rightarrow K$ be a group homomorphism, and $G_N = (G, \cdot, t, i, f), K_N = (K, \cdot, t_1, i_1, f_1)$ be the corresponding two-fold neutrosophic groups, then: $g_N: G_N \rightarrow K_N ; g_N \left[(x)_{(t(x),i(x),f(x))} \right] = (g(x))_{(t_1(g(x)),i_1(g(x)),f_1(g(x)))}$ is called a two-fold neutrosophic homomorphism.

If $G \cong K$, then g_N is called two-fold neutrosophic isomorphism.

Theorem (4):

Let $g_N: G_N \rightarrow K_N$ be a two-fold neutrosophic homomorphism, then:

- 1] $g_N \left[(e)_{(t(e),i(e),f(e))} \right] = (e_k)_{(t_1(e),i_1(e),f_1(e))}$
- 2] $g_N \left[(x^{-1})_{(t(x^{-1}),i(x^{-1}),f(x^{-1}))} \right] = (g(x))^{-1}_{(t_1((g(x))^{-1}),i_1((g(x))^{-1}),f_1((g(x))^{-1}))}$
- 3] If H_N is a two-fold neutrosophic subgroup of G_N , then $g_N(H_N)$ is a two-fold neutrosophic subgroup of K_N .
- 4] If H_N is abelian, then $g_N(H_N)$ is abelian.
- 5] If H_N is normal in G_N , then $g_N(H_N)$ is normal in $g_N(G_N)$.
- 6] $k_{er}(g_N)$ is normal subgroup of G_N .
- 7] $G_N/k_{er}(g_N) \cong g_N(G_N)$.

Theorem (5):

Let $g_N: G_N \rightarrow K_N, h_N: K_N \rightarrow S_N$ be a two-fold neutrosophic homomorphisms, then:

- 1] $h_N \circ g_N$ is a two-fold neutrosophic homomorphism.

2] If h_N, g_N are isomorphisms, then $h_N \circ g_N$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem (6):

Let H_N, K_N be two-fold neutrosophic subgroups of G_N , then:

1] $H_N \cap K_N$ is a two-fold neutrosophic subgroup of G_N .

2] If H_N is normal, then $H_N K_N$ is a two-fold neutrosophic subgroup of G_N .

Example:

Take $G = (Z_7^*, \cdot) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ the abelian group of integers modulo 7 under multiplication.

We define:

$$t: G \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ such that: } t(x) = 1 \quad ; x \in G$$

$$i: G \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ such that: } i(x) = 0 \quad ; x \in G$$

$$f: G \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ such that: } f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \quad ; x \in G$$

$$G_N = \{(1)_{(1,0,\frac{1}{2})}, (2)_{(1,0,\frac{1}{2})}, (3)_{(1,0,\frac{1}{2})}, (4)_{(1,0,\frac{1}{2})}, (5)_{(1,0,\frac{1}{2})}, (6)_{(1,0,\frac{1}{2})}\}.$$

$H = \{1, 6\}$ is a subgroup of G , then:

$$H_N = \{(1)_{(1,0,\frac{1}{2})}, (6)_{(1,0,\frac{1}{2})}\} \text{ is a two-fold neutrosophic subgroup of } G_N.$$

$G/H \cong Z_3$, with $G/H = \{H, 2H, 4H\}$, hence:

$$G_N/H_N = \{(1)_{(1,0,\frac{1}{2})}H, (2)_{(1,0,\frac{1}{2})}H, (4)_{(1,0,\frac{1}{2})}H\}.$$

It is clear that: $|G_N| = |G| = 6, |G_N/H_N| = |G/H| = 3$.

Proof of theorem (1):

1] Let $A = (x)_{(t(x),i(x),f(x))}, B = (y)_{(t(y),i(y),f(y))}, C = (z)_{(t(z),i(z),f(z))} ; x, y, z \in G$.

$$(A * B) * C = (xy)_{(t(xy),i(xy),f(xy))} * C = (xyz)_{(t(xyz),i(xyz),f(xyz))} = A * (B * C).$$

2] $A * (e)_{(t(e),i(e),f(e))} = (xe)_{(t(xe),i(xe),f(xe))} = (x)_{(t(x),i(x),f(x))} = A = (e)_{(t(e),i(e),f(e))} * A$.

3] Put $D = (x^{-1})_{(t(x^{-1}),i(x^{-1}),f(x^{-1}))}$, then:

$$A * D = (e)_{(t(e),i(e),f(e))} = D * A.$$

4] $(A * B) = (xy)_{(t(xy),i(xy),f(xy))} = (yx)_{(t(yx),i(yx),f(yx))} = B * A$, thus if G is abelian, then G_N is abelian.

5] $t(ex) = t(x) \leq \min(t(x), t(e))$, thus: $t(x) \leq t(e)$.

$i(ex) = i(x) \geq \max(i(x), i(e))$, thus: $i(x) \geq i(e)$.

$f(ex) = f(x) \geq \max(f(x), f(e))$, thus: $f(x) \geq f(e)$.

Proof of theorem (2):

1] Let $A = (x)_{(t(x),i(x),f(x))}, B = (y)_{(t(y),i(y),f(y))} \in H_N$, then $x, y \in H$ and H is abelian. This means that $xy = yx$ and $A * B = B * A$, thus H_N is abelian.

2] Assume that H is normal, and: $\begin{cases} A = (x)_{(t(x),i(x),f(x))} \in H_N \\ B = (y)_{(t(y),i(y),f(y))} \in G_N \end{cases}$ then: $y^{-1}xy \in H$ and $B^{-1}AB = (y^{-1}xy)_{(t(y^{-1}xy),i(y^{-1}xy),f(y^{-1}xy))} \in H_N$, and H_N is normal.

Proof of theorem (3):

Define $\circ: G_N/H_N \times G_N/H_N \rightarrow G_N/H_N$ such that:

$$(xH)_{(t'(xH),i'(xH),f'(xH))} \circ (yH)_{(t'(yH),i'(yH),f'(yH))} = (xyH)_{(t'(xyH),i'(xyH),f'(xyH))}$$

Since G_N is a group (factor group), then G_N/H_N will be a two-fold neutrosophic group under (\circ) .

Proof of theorem (4):

1] Since (g) is a group homomorphism, we get:

$$\begin{cases} g(e) = e_k \\ t_1(g(e)) = t_1(e_k) \\ i_1(g(e)) = i_1(e_k) \\ f_1(g(e)) = f_1(e_k) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Hence } g_N \left[(e)_{(t(e), i(e), f(e))} \right] = (e_k)_{(t_1(e), i_1(e), f_1(e))}.$$

2] Since $g(x^{-1}) = (g(x))^{-1}$ we get the proof.

3] $g_N(H_N) = (g(H))_N$, $g(H)$ is a subgroup of K , thus $(g(H))_N$ is a subgroup of K_N .

4] It holds directly from (3).

5] It holds directly from (3).

6] $k_{er}(g_N) = (k_{er}(g))_N$, $k_{er}(g)$ is a normal subgroup of G , therefore $(k_{er}(g))_N$ is normal in G_N .

7] $G/k_{er}(g) \cong g(G)$, thus $G_N/(k_{er}(g))_N \cong (g(G))_N$ and $G_N/k_{er}(g_N) \cong g_N(G_N)$.

Proof of theorem (5):

The proof is similar to the classical case.

Proof of theorem (6):

It holds directly by a similar argument of the classical case in group theory.

3. Conclusion

In this paper we defined and studied for the first time the concept of two-fold neutrosophic group by combining two-fold algebras with the classical concept of neutrosophic group. We studied the elementary properties of this novel concept by many related theorems and illustrated examples.

References

- [1] Florentine Smarandache. "Neutrosophic Two-Fold Algebra", Plithogenic Logic and Computation, Vol.1, No.1 2024. PP.11-15.
- [2] Mohammad Abobala. "On The Foundations of Fuzzy Number Theory and Fuzzy Diophantine Equations." Galoitica: Journal of Mathematical Structures and Applications, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2023, PP. 17-25 (Doi: <https://doi.org/10.54216/GJMSA.0100102>).
- [3] Mohammad Abobala. (2023). On a Two-Fold Algebra Based on the Standard Fuzzy Number Theoretical System. Journal of Neutrosophic and Fuzzy Systems, 7 (2), 24-29 (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/JNFS.070202>).
- [4] Ahmed Hatip, Necati Olgun. (2023). On the Concepts of Two-Fold Fuzzy Vector Spaces and Algebraic Modules. Journal of Neutrosophic and Fuzzy Systems, 7 (2), 46-52 (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/JNFS.070205>).
- [5] Abdallah Shihadeh, Khaled Ahmad Mohammad Matarneh, Raed Hatamleh, Randa Bashir Yousef Hijazeen, Mowafaq Omar Al-Qadri, Abdallah Al-Husban. (2024). An Example of Two-Fold Fuzzy Algebras Based On Neutrosophic Real Numbers, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 67.
- [6] Raed Hatamleh, Ayman Hazaymeh, Finding Minimal Units in Several Two-Fold Fuzzy Finite Neutrosophic Rings, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 70, 2024, pp. 1-16. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.13160839.
- [7] Nawar Hazim Mohammed, On The Solutions of Some Different Types of Two-Fold Fuzzy and Neutrosophic Differential Equations, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 70, 2024, pp. 359-368. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.13177544.
- [8] Nabil Khuder Salman, On the Special Gamma Function Over the Complex Two-Fold Algebras, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 68, 2024, pp. 26-38. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.11406461