



## On The Algebraic Properties of $l$ -Congruencies in Groups

Lee Xu

University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, CAS, Mathematics Department, Beijing, China

Email: [Leexu1244@yahoo.com](mailto:Leexu1244@yahoo.com)

### Abstract

This paper is dedicated to defining and studying the concept of congruencies in  $l$ -groups, where we prove the following main results:

- 1) If  $\theta$  is a congruence relation on  $l$ -group  $G$ , then  $\frac{G}{\theta}$  is  $l$ -group.
- 2) If  $\frac{G}{\theta}$  is  $l$ -group, then for  $\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta}$  belongs to  $\frac{G}{\theta}$  holds:  
 $x, y$  are equivalent (mod  $\theta$ ) if and only if  $\frac{x\wedge y}{\theta}, \frac{x\vee y}{\theta}$  are equivalent.

Also, we illustrate many examples to clarify the validity of our work.

**Keywords:** Group;  $l$ -Congruence; order relation; Lattice-ordered group.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The concept of lattice-ordered group is an interesting algebraic concept that concerns groups and lattices.

Lattice-ordered group was studied by Dedekind in [1], and then it was studied by Levi [2].

Birkhoff dealt with  $l$ -groups,  $l$ -subgroups, and  $l$ -ideals [3].

In the literature, many researchers have studied the congruencies lattices on  $l$ -abelian groups, see [5-6].

In [7], we find the following definition: If  $G \neq \emptyset$  is a non-empty set, with  $+$ :  $G \times G \rightarrow G$ , and an order relation ( $\leq$ ),  $G$  is called a partially ordered group (PO-group) if:

- 1-  $(G, +)$  is a group.
- 2-  $(G, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set.
- 3-  $\forall x, y, z \in G$ , then:

$$\{x \leq y \Rightarrow x + z \leq y + z \quad (M_1)$$

$$\{x \leq y \Rightarrow z + x \leq z + y \quad (M_2)$$

**Definition: [7]:**

Let  $G$  be a PO-group, then if  $(G, \leq)$  is a lattice, then  $G$  is called a lattice-ordered group or ( $l$ -group). We denote it by  $(G, +, \leq, \vee, \wedge)$ .

**Definition: [8]:**

Let  $A, B$  be two lattices, with  $f: A \rightarrow B$ , then:

1]  $f$  is called ordering mapping if:

$$\forall x, y \in A; x \leq y \Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(y).$$

2]  $f$  is called anti-ordering mapping if:

$$\forall x, y \in A; x \leq y \Rightarrow f(y) \geq f(x).$$

**Definition: [8]:**

Let  $G, H$  be two  $l$ -groups,  $f: G \rightarrow H$  is a mapping, then:

1]  $f$  is called  $l$ -ordering homomorphism if it is a group homomorphism and a lattice ordering homomorphism, i.e.

$$(H_1) f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b)$$

$$(H_2) f(a \vee b) = f(a) \vee f(b)$$

$$(H_3) f(a \wedge b) = f(a) \wedge f(b) \quad \forall a, b \in G$$

2]  $f$  is called  $l$ -anti ordering homomorphism if:

$$(H_1)' f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b)$$

$$(H_2)' f(a \vee b) = f(a) \wedge f(b)$$

$$(H_3)' f(a \wedge b) = f(a) \vee f(b) \quad \forall a, b \in G$$

## 2. Main discussion:

### Definition:

Let  $f: G \rightarrow H$  be  $l$ -homomorphism, then:

1] If  $f$  is a bijective mapping, and  $f, f^{-1}$  are ordering mappings, then  $G, H$  are called ordered isomorphic groups  $G \cong H$ .

2] If  $f$  is a bijection, and  $f, f^{-1}$  are anti-ordering mappings, then  $G, H$  are called anti-ordered isomorphic groups  $G \cong H$ .

### Definition:

Let  $G$  be  $l$ -group,  $\theta$  is called a congruence relation on  $G$  if:

1]  $\theta$  is an equivalence relation on  $G$ .

2] If  $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \in G; \begin{cases} a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{\theta} \\ a_2 \equiv b_2 \pmod{\theta} \end{cases}$ , then

$$\begin{cases} a_1 + a_2 = b_1 + b_2 \pmod{\theta} \\ a_1 \vee a_2 = b_1 \vee b_2 \pmod{\theta} \\ a_1 \wedge a_2 = b_1 \wedge b_2 \pmod{\theta} \end{cases}$$

For  $a \in G$ , we define:  $\frac{a}{\theta} = \{x \in G; x \equiv a \pmod{\theta}\}$ .

$\frac{G}{\theta}$  denotes to the all classes  $\frac{a}{\theta}$  for  $a \in G$ .

### Theorem:

Let  $G$  be  $l$ -group,  $\theta$  be a congruence relation on  $G$ , we define  $(\lesssim)$  on  $(\frac{G}{\theta})$  by:

$$\frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta} \Leftrightarrow \exists a \in \frac{x}{\theta}, b \in \frac{y}{\theta}; a \leq b; x, y \in G \quad (1)$$

Then  $(\lesssim)$  is an order relation on  $\frac{G}{\theta}$ .

Proof:

$$\text{For } a, b \in G, \text{ then: } a \leq b \Rightarrow \frac{a}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{b}{\theta} \quad (2)$$

$\forall \frac{x}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$ , then  $x \in G$  and  $x \leq x$ , hence  $\frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{x}{\theta}$ .

Let  $\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta}, \frac{z}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}; \frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{z}{\theta}$ , hence:

$$\frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \exists a \in \frac{x}{\theta}, b \in \frac{y}{\theta}; a \leq b \Rightarrow a \equiv x \pmod{\theta}, b \equiv y \pmod{\theta} \Rightarrow x \wedge y \equiv a \wedge b \equiv a \pmod{\theta}.$$

$$\text{Also, } \frac{y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{z}{\theta} \Rightarrow \exists c \in \frac{y}{\theta}, d \in \frac{z}{\theta}; c \leq d \Rightarrow c \equiv y \pmod{\theta}, d \equiv z \pmod{\theta} \Rightarrow y \wedge z \equiv c \wedge d \equiv d \pmod{\theta}$$

Thus,  $x \wedge y \leq y \wedge z \Rightarrow \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y \wedge z}{\theta}$ , thus:

$$\frac{a}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{d}{\theta} \Rightarrow \frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{z}{\theta}.$$

Let  $\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta}$ , we have:

$$\frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \exists a \in \frac{x}{\theta}, b \in \frac{y}{\theta}; a \leq b \Rightarrow a \equiv x \pmod{\theta}, b \equiv y \pmod{\theta} \Rightarrow x \wedge y \equiv a \wedge b = a \equiv x \pmod{\theta}.$$

$$\frac{y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{x}{\theta} \Rightarrow \exists c \in \frac{y}{\theta}, d \in \frac{x}{\theta}; c \leq d \Rightarrow c \equiv y \pmod{\theta}, d \equiv x \pmod{\theta} \Rightarrow x \wedge y \equiv c \wedge d = c \equiv y \pmod{\theta}.$$

Hence,  $x \equiv x \wedge y \equiv y \pmod{\theta}$ , thus  $\frac{x}{\theta} = \frac{y}{\theta}$ .

### Theorem:

Let  $G$  be an  $l$ -group,  $\theta$  be a congruence relation on  $G$ .

We define  $\tilde{+}, \tilde{\vee}, \tilde{\wedge}$  on  $\frac{G}{\theta}$  as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{a}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{b}{\theta} = \frac{a+b}{\theta} \\ \frac{a}{\theta} \tilde{\vee} \frac{b}{\theta} = \frac{a \vee b}{\theta} \\ \frac{a}{\theta} \tilde{\wedge} \frac{b}{\theta} = \frac{a \wedge b}{\theta} \end{cases}$$

$(\frac{G}{\theta}, \lesssim, \tilde{+}, \tilde{\vee}, \tilde{\wedge})$  is  $l$ -group.

Proof:

Assume that  $\frac{x_1}{\theta}, \frac{x_2}{\theta}, \frac{y_1}{\theta}, \frac{y_2}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$ :

$$\left(\frac{x_1}{\theta}, \frac{x_2}{\theta}\right) = \left(\frac{y_1}{\theta}, \frac{y_2}{\theta}\right) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{x_1}{\theta} = \frac{y_1}{\theta} \\ \frac{x_2}{\theta} = \frac{y_2}{\theta} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 \equiv y_1 \pmod{\theta} \\ x_2 \equiv y_2 \pmod{\theta} \\ x_1 + x_2 \equiv y_1 + y_2 \pmod{\theta} \\ \frac{x_1 + x_2}{\theta} \equiv \frac{y_1 + y_2}{\theta} \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow \frac{x_1}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{x_2}{\theta} = \frac{y_1}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{y_2}{\theta}$ , this means that  $\tilde{+}$  is well defined.

By a similar argument, we prove the same for  $\tilde{\wedge}, \tilde{\vee}$ .

Now,  $\forall \frac{a}{\theta}, \frac{b}{\theta}, \frac{c}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$ , then:

$$\left(\frac{a}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{b}{\theta}\right) \tilde{+} \frac{c}{\theta} = \frac{a+b}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{c}{\theta} = \frac{a+b+c}{\theta} = \frac{a}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{b+c}{\theta} = \frac{a}{\theta} \tilde{+} \left(\frac{b}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{c}{\theta}\right)$$

$\forall \frac{a}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$ , then  $\frac{a}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{e}{\theta} = \frac{a+e}{\theta} = \frac{a}{\theta}$

On the other hand, we have:

For  $\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}, x, y \in G, x \leq x \vee y, y \leq x \vee y$ , hence

$$\begin{cases} \frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{x \vee y}{\theta} \\ \frac{y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{x \vee y}{\theta} \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $\frac{x \vee y}{\theta}$  is an upper bound of  $\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta}$  with respect to  $\lesssim$ .

Assume that  $\frac{z}{\theta}$  is another upper bound of  $\left(\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta}\right)$ , then

$$\begin{cases} \frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{z}{\theta} \\ \frac{y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{z}{\theta} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \exists a \in \frac{x}{\theta}, b \in \frac{y}{\theta}; a \leq b \\ \exists c \in \frac{y}{\theta}, d \in \frac{z}{\theta}; c \leq d \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a \equiv x \pmod{\theta}, b \equiv z \pmod{\theta}; a \leq b \\ c \equiv y \pmod{\theta}, d \equiv z \pmod{\theta}; c \leq d \\ x \vee y \equiv a \vee c \pmod{\theta}, b \vee d \equiv z \pmod{\theta}; a \vee c \leq b \vee d \\ a \vee c \in \frac{x \vee y}{\theta}, \frac{b \vee d}{\theta} \in \frac{z}{\theta}; a \vee c \leq b \vee d \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow \frac{x \vee y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{z}{\theta}$ , which means that  $\frac{x \vee y}{\theta}$  is the minimal upper bound of  $\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta}$ , so that:

$$\frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{\vee} \frac{y}{\theta} = \frac{x \vee y}{\theta} = \sup \left\{ \frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta} \right\}$$

By a similar method, we get:

$$\frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{\wedge} \frac{y}{\theta} = \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} = \inf \left\{ \frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta} \right\}$$

Finally, if  $\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$ , then:

$$\frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{z}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{z}{\theta} \quad \forall \frac{z}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$$

Since  $\frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \exists a \in \frac{x}{\theta}, b \in \frac{y}{\theta}; a \leq b$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a \equiv x \pmod{\theta} \\ b \equiv y \pmod{\theta}; a \leq b \end{cases}$$

For any  $c \in \frac{z}{\theta}, c \in G$ , and  $G$  is  $l$ -group, we get:

$$\begin{cases} a + c \leq b + c \\ \frac{a + c}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{b + c}{\theta} \\ \frac{a}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{c}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{b}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{c}{\theta} \\ \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{z}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{z}{\theta} \end{cases}$$

By a similar argument, we get:

$$\frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{-} \frac{z}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta} \tilde{-} \frac{z}{\theta}, \frac{z}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}, \text{ thus}$$

$\left(\frac{G}{\theta}, \lesssim, \tilde{+}, \tilde{\vee}, \tilde{\wedge}\right)$  is  $l$ -group.

**Theorem:**

Let  $G$  be  $l$ -group, and  $(\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta}) \in \frac{G}{\theta}$  with  $\frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta}$ , then  $x \equiv y(mod\theta)$  if and only if  $\frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} = \frac{x \vee y}{\theta}$

Proof:

Assume that  $x \equiv y(mod\theta)$ , then:

$$\begin{cases} x \wedge y \equiv x \wedge x \equiv x(mod\theta) \\ x \vee y \equiv x \vee x \equiv x(mod\theta) \end{cases}$$

Hence,  $x \wedge y \equiv x \vee y(mod\theta)$ , thus  $\frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} = \frac{x \vee y}{\theta}$ .

For the converse, assume that  $\frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} = \frac{x \vee y}{\theta}$ , hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{\wedge} \frac{y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{x}{\theta} \leq \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{\vee} \frac{y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{x \vee y}{\theta} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} = \frac{x}{\theta} \Rightarrow x \wedge y \equiv x(mod\theta) \end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument, we find that  $x \wedge y \equiv y(mod\theta)$ , thus  $x \equiv y(mod\theta)$

**Theorem:**

Let  $G$  be  $l$ -group,  $\alpha: G \rightarrow \frac{G}{\theta}$ ;  $\alpha(x) = \frac{x}{\theta}$  is an ordering surjective homomorphism.

Proof:

$\forall x, y \in G$ , we have:

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha(x \vee y) = \frac{x \vee y}{\theta} = \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{\vee} \frac{y}{\theta} = \alpha(x) \vee \alpha(y) \\ \alpha(x \wedge y) = \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} = \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{\wedge} \frac{y}{\theta} = \alpha(x) \wedge \alpha(y) \\ \alpha(x + y) = \frac{x + y}{\theta} = \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{+} \frac{y}{\theta} = \alpha(x) + \alpha(y) \end{cases}$$

If  $x \leq y$ , then,  $x \leq y \Rightarrow x = x \wedge y \Rightarrow \alpha(x) = \alpha(x \wedge y) \Rightarrow \frac{x}{\theta} = \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta} = \frac{x}{\theta} \tilde{\wedge} \frac{y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \frac{x}{\theta} \lesssim \frac{y}{\theta} \Rightarrow \alpha(x) \lesssim \alpha(y)$

Also, for  $y = \frac{x}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$ , then  $\alpha(x) = \frac{x}{\theta} = y$ , hence  $\alpha$  is surjective.

**Theorem:**

Let  $G_1, G_2$  be two  $l$ -groups, then every anti-ordering homomorphism  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  defines a congruence relation  $\theta_f$  on  $G_1$  by the following:

$$x \equiv y(mod\theta_f) \Leftrightarrow f(x) = f(y); x, y \in G_1$$

Proof:

$\forall x \in G_1$ , then  $f(x) = f(y)$ , hence  $x \equiv x(mod\theta_f)$

For  $x, y \in G_1$ , then  $x \equiv y(mod\theta_f) \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow f(y) = f(x) \Rightarrow y \equiv x(mod\theta_f)$ .

For  $x, y, z \in G_1$ , if  $\begin{cases} x \equiv y(mod\theta_f) \\ y \equiv z(mod\theta_f) \end{cases}$

We get:  $\begin{cases} f(x) = f(y) \\ f(y) = f(z) \end{cases} \Rightarrow f(x) = f(z) \Rightarrow x \equiv z(mod\theta_f)$

Let  $x, x_1, y, y_1 \in G_1$ , then:  $\begin{cases} x \equiv x_1(mod\theta_f) \\ y \equiv y_1(mod\theta_f) \end{cases}$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} f(x) = f(x_1) \\ f(y) = f(y_1) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} f(x) \vee f(y) = f(x_1) \vee f(y_1) \\ f(x \vee y) = f(x_1 \vee y_1) \end{cases} \Rightarrow x \vee y \equiv x_1 \vee y_1(mod\theta_f)$$

By a similar argument, we get  $\begin{cases} x \wedge y \equiv x_1 \wedge y_1(mod\theta_f) \\ x + y \equiv x_1 + y_1(mod\theta_f) \end{cases}$

**Theorem:**

Let  $G_1, G_2$  be two  $l$ -groups,  $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  be an anti-ordering homomorphism, then  $\frac{G_1}{\theta_f} \cong f(G_1)$

Proof:

We define:  $\Psi: \frac{G_1}{\theta_f} \rightarrow f(G_1); \Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f}) = f(x)$ .

If  $\frac{x}{\theta_f} = \frac{y}{\theta_f} \Rightarrow x \equiv y(mod\theta_f) \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow \Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f}) = \Psi(\frac{y}{\theta_f})$ .

$\forall x, y \in G_1$ , then:

$\Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f} \tilde{\vee} \frac{y}{\theta_f}) = \Psi(\frac{x \vee y}{\theta_f}) = f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y) = \Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f}) \vee \Psi(\frac{y}{\theta_f})$ .

By a similar method, we get  $\Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f} \tilde{\wedge} \frac{y}{\theta_f}) = \Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f}) \wedge \Psi(\frac{y}{\theta_f})$ .

$$\Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f} \tilde{+} \frac{y}{\theta_f}) = \Psi(\frac{x+y}{\theta_f}) = f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) = \Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f}) + \Psi(\frac{y}{\theta_f}).$$

$$\text{If } \Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f}) = \Psi(\frac{y}{\theta_f}) \Rightarrow f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{\theta_f} \Rightarrow \frac{x}{\theta_f} = \frac{y}{\theta_f}.$$

For any  $y \in f(G_1)$ , there exists  $x \in G_1$ ;  $f(y) = y$ , thus  $\frac{x}{\theta_f} \in \frac{G_1}{\theta_f}$ , thus  $\Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f}) = f(x) = y$ .

$\Psi$  is ordering mapping: for  $x, y \in G_1$ , then:

$$\frac{x}{\theta_f} \leq \frac{y}{\theta_f} \Rightarrow \frac{x}{\theta_f} \tilde{\vee} \frac{y}{\theta_f} = \frac{y}{\theta_f} \Rightarrow \frac{x \vee y}{\theta_f} = \frac{y}{\theta_f} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x \vee y \equiv y \pmod{\theta_f} \\ f(x \vee y) = f(y) \\ f(x) \vee f(y) = f(y) \\ f(x) \leq f(y) \\ \Psi(\frac{x}{\theta_f}) \leq \Psi(\frac{y}{\theta_f}) \end{cases}$$

The mapping  $\Psi^{-1}$  is an ordering mapping, that is because:

If  $y_1, y_2 \in f(G_1)$ , there exists  $x_1, x_2 \in f(G_1)$  such that:

$$\begin{cases} f(x_1) = y_1 \\ f(x_2) = y_2 \end{cases}$$

If  $y_1 \leq y_2$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 \leq y_2 &\Rightarrow f(x_1) \leq f(x_2) \Rightarrow f(x_1) \vee f(x_2) \leq f(x_2) \Rightarrow f(x_1 \vee x_2) = f(x_2) \Rightarrow \Psi(\frac{x_1 \vee x_2}{\theta_f}) = \Psi(\frac{x_2}{\theta_f}) \Rightarrow \frac{x_1 \vee x_2}{\theta_f} \\ &= \frac{x_2}{\theta_f} \Rightarrow \frac{x_1}{\theta_f} \tilde{\vee} \frac{x_2}{\theta_f} = \frac{x_2}{\theta_f} \Rightarrow \frac{x_1}{\theta_f} \leq \frac{x_2}{\theta_f} \Rightarrow \Psi^{-1}(f(x_1)) \leq \Psi^{-1}(f(x_2)) \Rightarrow \Psi^{-1}(y_1) \leq \Psi^{-1}(y_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\frac{G_1}{\theta_f} \cong f(G_1)$ .

### 3. Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied the concept of congruencies in  $l$ -groups, where we proved the following main results:

- 1) If  $\theta$  is a congruence relation on  $l$ -group  $G$ , then  $\frac{G}{\theta}$  is  $l$ -group.
- 2) If  $\frac{G}{\theta}$  is  $l$ -group, then for  $\frac{x}{\theta}, \frac{y}{\theta} \in \frac{G}{\theta}$  holds:  
 $x \equiv y \pmod{\theta}$  if and only if  $\frac{x \vee y}{\theta} \equiv \frac{x \wedge y}{\theta}$ .

In the future, we aim that our results can be transmitted to rings, and semi-groups.

### References

- [1] Dedekind, R., (1897). Ojber Zerlegungen Von Zahlen durclihre gr 68sten gemein schaftlichen Teiler, Ges. Werke, Bd 2, XXVIII6.
- [2] Levi, F; (1913). Arithmetische Gesetze in Gebiete diskterter Gruppen, Rend, Palermo, 35, pp.225-236.
- [3] Birkhoff, G., (1942), lattice-ordered groups, Annals of Mathematics.
- [4] Birkhoff, G., (1937), Rings of sets, Duke Mathematical Journal, pp.443-454.
- [5] Jakubik, J., (2001), On the Congruence Lattice of an Abelian Lahice ordered Group. Mathematica Bohemica.
- [6] Ploscica, M; (2021). Congruence Lattices of Abelian l-Groups, Vol 33, pp.1651-1658.
- [7] Waaijers, L; (1968), on the structure of lttice ordered Groups. Doctoral thesis, Waltman-Delft, Holland.
- [8] Gerberding, J; (2020), Groups of Divisibility, Honors thesis, South Dakota, pp.15-17.
- [9] Gratzer, G; (2011), Ltlice theory Foundation, Springer Science and business Media, p 30.
- [10] Gratzer, G; (2006), the Congruence of a finite lattice, Boston, pp. 14-16.