



Common Fixed Point Theorems For Weakly Compatible Mappings In Complex Valued \mathcal{M} – Fuzzy Metric Spaces

M. Jeyaraman^{1*}, M. Pandiselvi²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Govt. Arts College, Sivagangai, Affiliated to Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India.

² Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Govt. Arts College, Sivagangai, Affiliated to Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamil Nadu, India.

Emails: jeya.math@gmail.com; mpandiselvi2612@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of complex valued \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric spaces. We are proving a common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings satisfying common E.A. Like property in complex valued \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space. Our results improve and extend the results of Singh et al.¹¹

Keywords: Metric Space; Complex Valued; Common E.A. Like Property; Compatible Maps; Weakly Compatible Maps.

1 introduction

In 1965, Zadeh¹⁶ introduced the concept of fuzzy set. Following the concept of fuzzy sets Kramosil and Michalek¹⁰ introduced the concept of fuzzy metric space in 1975. George and Veeramani⁵ modified the notion of fuzzy metric spaces with the help of continuous t-norm, which shows a new way for further development of analysis in such spaces. It has been seen that the study of Kramosil and Michalek⁸ of fuzzy metric space covered almost all the points in the way in developing this theory in the field of fixed point theorem, in particular for the study of contractive type maps. They have also shown that every metric induces a fuzzy metric. In 2006, Sedghi and Shobe¹³ defined \mathcal{M} – fuzzy metric spaces and proved a common fixed point theorem for four weakly compatible mappings in this space. Fuzzy complex numbers and fuzzy complex analysis were first introduced by Buckley.³ Acknowledging the Buckley's work some authors continued research in fuzzy complex numbers. In this series Ramot et al.¹¹ extended fuzzy sets to complex fuzzy sets. According to Ramot et al.,¹¹ the complex fuzzy set is characterized by a membership function, whose range is not limited to $[0, 1]$ but extended to the unit circle in the complex plane. Membership in a complex fuzzy set remains "as fuzzy" as membership in a traditional fuzzy set. In 2011 Azam et al.¹ defined the notion of a complex valued metric space which is more general than the well known metric spaces and obtained some fixed point results for a pair of mappings satisfying a rational inequality. Ahmad et al.² investigated some common fixed point results for a mapping satisfying the rational expression on a closed ball in such space.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of complex valued \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric spaces. We are proving a common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings satisfying common E.A. Like property in complex valued \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1]e^{i\theta} \times [0, 1]e^{i\theta} \rightarrow [0, 1]e^{i\theta}$, where $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ is fixed, is called a complex valued continuous t-norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. $*$ is associative and commutative,
2. $*$ is continuous,
3. $a * e^{i\theta} = a$, for all $a \in [0, 1]e^{i\theta}$ (existence of identity element $e^{i\theta} = 1. e^{i\theta}$),
4. $a * b \preceq c * d$, whenever $a \preceq c$ and $b \preceq d$, for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]e^{i\theta}$.

Example 2.2. (i) $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]e^{i\theta}$.
(ii) $a * b = \max\{a + b - e^{i\theta}, 0\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]e^{i\theta}$ and fixed $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

Definition 2.3. A 3-tuple $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is called complex valued \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary non empty set, $*$ is a complex valued continuous t-norm and $\mathcal{M} : X^3 \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]e^{i\theta}$ is a complex valued fuzzy set on, satisfying the following conditions: for each $x, y, z, a \in X$ and $t, s \succ 0$.

1. $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) \succ 0$,
2. $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = e^{i\theta}$, if and only if $x = y = z$,
3. $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \mathcal{M}(p\{x, y, z\}, t)$, where p is a permutation function,
4. $\mathcal{M}(x, y, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(a, z, z, s) \preceq \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t + s)$,
5. $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]e^{i\theta}$ is continuous,
6. $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = e^{i\theta}$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Remark 2.4. If $\theta = 0$, then Complex fuzzy metric space becomes ordinary fuzzy metric space in sense of George and Veeramani.

Definition 2.5. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complex valued \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space. For $t > 0$, the open ball $B(x, r, t)$ with center $x \in X$ and radius $r \in C$, $0 \prec r \prec e^{i\theta}$ as

$$B_{\mathcal{M}}(x, r, t) = \{y \in X : \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t) \succ e^{i\theta} - r\} \text{ where } \theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}].$$

A point $x \in X$ is called an interior point of set $A \subset X$, whenever there exists $r \in C$, $0 \prec r \prec e^{i\theta}$ such that $B_{\mathcal{M}}(x, r, t) = \{y \in X : \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t) \succ e^{i\theta} - r\} \subset A$, where $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

The subset A of X is called open whenever each element of A is an interior point of A .

Theorem 2.6. Every complex valued \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space is a Hausdorff space.

Proof. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complex valued \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space and x, y be two distinct point of X . Then $0 \prec \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t) \prec e^{i\theta}$. Put $\mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t) = e^{i\theta} - r$ for some $r \in C$, $0 \prec r \prec e^{i\theta}$. Then for each r with $r \prec r_0 \prec e^{i\theta}$, there exists r_1 such that $r_1 * r_1 \geq r_0$. Now consider the open ball $B_{\mathcal{M}}(x, e^{i\theta} - r_2, \frac{t}{2})$ and $B_{\mathcal{M}}(y, e^{i\theta} - r_2, \frac{t}{2})$. Clearly, we have $B_{\mathcal{M}}(x, e^{i\theta} - r_2, \frac{t}{2}) \cap B_{\mathcal{M}}(y, e^{i\theta} - r_2, \frac{t}{2}) = \varphi$. In fact if there exists $z \in B_{\mathcal{M}}(x, e^{i\theta} - r_2, \frac{t}{2}) \cap B_{\mathcal{M}}(y, e^{i\theta} - r_2, \frac{t}{2})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \mathcal{M}(x, y, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) \succ \mathcal{M}(x, x, z, \frac{t}{2}) * \mathcal{M}(z, y, y, \frac{t}{2}) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(x, z, z, \frac{t}{2}) * \mathcal{M}(y, z, z, \frac{t}{2}) \\ &\succ r_1 * r_1 \succ r_0 \succ r. \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is a Hausdorff space.

Example 2.7. Let $X = R$ and $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \frac{t}{t+|x-y|+|y-z|+|z-x|}$, for every x, y, z and $t > 0$, let A and B be defined as $Ax = 2x + 1, Bx = x + 2$, Consider the sequence $x_n = \frac{1}{n} + 1, n = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(Ax_n, 3, 3, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(Bx_n, 3, 3, t) = e^{i\theta}$ and for every $t > 0$. Then A and B said to satisfy the property (E.A.).

Definition 2.8. A sequence x_n in a complex valued \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric spaces $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only in $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_{n+p}, x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = e^{i\theta}$, for all $t > 0$ and $p > 0$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathcal{M}(x_{n+p}, x_{n+p}, x_n, t)| = 1$, for all $t > 0$ and $p > 0$.

Definition 2.9. A Complex valued \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

Definition 2.10. A function is complex valued continuous in complex valued \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space if and only if whenever $x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y$ and $z_n \rightarrow z$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, y_n, z_n, t) = \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)$ for all $t > 0$.

Definition 2.11. Let A and B be mappings from complex valued \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ into itself. The mappings A and B are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, i.e. $Ax = Bx \implies ABx = BAx$.

Definition 2.12. Suppose A and S be two maps from a complex valued \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ into itself. Then they are said to be semi-compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = Sx$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = x \in X$.

Lemma 2.13. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complex valued \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space. Then $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)$ is non-decreasing with respect to t , for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Proof. By Definition 2.2. for each $x, y, z, a \in X$ and $t, s > 0$ we have

$\mathcal{M}(x, y, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(a, z, z, s) \preceq \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t + s)$. If we get $a = z$, we get

$\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, z, z, s) \preceq \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t+s)$ that is $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t+s) \succ \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)$

for each $x, y, z, a \in X$ and $t, s > 0$ by definition of $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$.

Lemma 2.14. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a complex valued \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = e^{i\theta}$, $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, ht) \succ \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)$ for all $x, y, z \in X, 0 < h < 1, t \in (0, \infty)$ then $x \stackrel{t \rightarrow \infty}{=} y = z$.

3 Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complex valued complete \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space where $*$ is a complex valued continuous t - norm and satisfies $t * t \succ t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let A, B, S and T be self-mappings of a complex valued \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric space satisfying the following conditions:

(3.1) For all $x, y, z \in X, t > 0$ and $h > 1$.

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, By, Bz, ht) \succ \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ax, Ay, t), \mathcal{M}(Ty, By, Bz, t), \frac{r\mathcal{M}(Sx, By, Bz, t) + s\mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Tz, t)}{r\mathcal{M}(By, Ty, Bz, t) + s} \right\},$$

where $r, s \succ 0$ with r and s cannot be simultaneously 0.

(3.2) Pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy common E.A. Like property.

(3.3) Pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Since (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy common E.A. Like property, therefore there exist two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\} \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} By_n = w$, where $w \in S(X) \cap T(X)$

or $w \in A(X) \cap B(X)$. Suppose $z \in S(X) \cap T(X)$. Now, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = w \in S(X)$ then $w = Su$, for some $u \in X$. Now, we claim that $Au = Su$.

Form (3.1) we have,

$$\mathcal{M}(Au, By_n, By_n, ht) \succcurlyeq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}(Su, Au, Ay_n, t), \mathcal{M}(Ty_n, By_n, By_n, t), \frac{r\mathcal{M}(Su, By_n, By_n, t) + s\mathcal{M}(Su, Ty_n, Ty_n, t)}{r\mathcal{M}(By_n, Ty_n, By_n, t) + s} \right\}.$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(Au, By_n, By_n, ht) &\succcurlyeq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}(w, Au, w, t), \mathcal{M}(w, w, w, t), \frac{r\mathcal{M}(w, w, w, t) + s\mathcal{M}(w, w, w, t)}{r\mathcal{M}(w, w, w, t) + s} \right\} \\ \mathcal{M}(Au, w, w, ht) &\succcurlyeq \min \{ \mathcal{M}(w, Au, w, t), e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta} \} \\ \mathcal{M}(Au, w, w, ht) &\succcurlyeq \mathcal{M}(w, Au, w, t) \\ \mathcal{M}(Au, w, w, ht) &\succcurlyeq \mathcal{M}(Au, w, w, t). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. implies that $Au = w = Su$.

Since the pair (A, S) is weakly compatible, therefore $Aw = ASu = SAu = Sw$.

Again, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} By_n = w \in T(X)$ then $w = Tv$ for some $v \in X$.

Now, we claim that $Tv = Bv$, from (3.1) we have,

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax_n, Bv, Bv, ht) \succcurlyeq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}(Sx_n, Ax_n, Av, t), \mathcal{M}(Tv, Bv, Bv, t), \frac{r\mathcal{M}(Sx_n, Bv, Bv, t) + s\mathcal{M}(Sx_n, Tv, Tv, t)}{r\mathcal{M}(Bv, Tv, Bv, t) + s} \right\}.$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(w, Bv, Bv, ht) &\succcurlyeq \min \mathcal{M}(w, w, Av, t), \mathcal{M}(w, Bv, Bv, t), \frac{\mathcal{M}(w, Bv, Bv, t) + \mathcal{M}(w, w, w, t)}{\mathcal{M}(Bv, w, Bv, t) + s}, \\ \mathcal{M}(w, Bv, Bv, ht) &\succcurlyeq \min \{ e^{i\theta}, \mathcal{M}(w, Bv, Bv, t), e^{i\theta} \}, \\ \mathcal{M}(w, Bv, Bv, ht) &\succcurlyeq \mathcal{M}(w, Bv, Bv, t), \\ \mathcal{M}(Bv, Bv, w, ht) &\succcurlyeq \mathcal{M}(Bv, Bv, w, t). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. implies that $Bv = w = Tv = Av$.

Since the pair (B, T) is weakly compatible, therefore $Tw = TBv = BTv = Bw$.

Now, we show that $Aw = w$, from (3.1) we have,

$$\mathcal{M}(Aw, By_n, By_n, ht) \succcurlyeq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}(Sw, Aw, Ay_n, t), \mathcal{M}(Ty_n, By_n, By_n, t), \frac{r\mathcal{M}(Sw, By_n, By_n, t) + s\mathcal{M}(Sz, Ty_n, Ty_n, t)}{r\mathcal{M}(By_n, Ty_n, By_n, t) + s} \right\}.$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\mathcal{M}(Aw, w, w, ht) \succcurlyeq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}(Aw, Aw, Aw, t), \mathcal{M}(w, w, w, t), \frac{r\mathcal{M}(Aw, w, w, t) + s\mathcal{M}(Aw, w, w, t)}{r\mathcal{M}(w, w, w, t) + s} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(Aw, w, w, ht) \succcurlyeq \min \left\{ e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta}, \frac{r\mathcal{M}(Aw, w, w, t)}{r\mathcal{M}(w, w, w, t) + s} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(Aw, w, w, ht) \succcurlyeq \mathcal{M}(Aw, w, w, t).$$

Lemma 2.2. implies that $Aw = w$.

Now, we show that $Bw = w$, from (3.1) we have,

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax_n, Bw, Bw, ht) \succcurlyeq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}(Sx_n, Ax_n, Aw, t), \mathcal{M}(Tw, Bw, Bw, t), \frac{r\mathcal{M}(Sx_n, Bw, Bw, t) + s\mathcal{M}(Sx_n, Tw, Tw, t)}{r\mathcal{M}(By, Tw, Bw, t) + s} \right\}$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\mathcal{M}(w, Bw, Bw, ht) \succcurlyeq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}(w, w, w, t), \mathcal{M}(Bw, Bw, Bw, t), \frac{r\mathcal{M}(w, Bw, Bw, t) + s\mathcal{M}(w, Bw, Tw, t)}{r\mathcal{M}(Bw, Bw, Bw, t) + s} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(w, Bw, Bw, ht) \succcurlyeq \min \left\{ e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta}, \mathcal{M}(w, Bw, Bw, t) \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{M}(w, Bw, Bw, ht) \succcurlyeq \mathcal{M}(w, Bw, Bw, t),$$

$$\mathcal{M}(Bw, Bw, w, ht) \succcurlyeq \mathcal{M}(Bw, Bw, w, t),$$

Lemma 2.2. implies that $Bw = w$.

Hence, $Aw = Sw = Bw = Tw = w$.

Thus w is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

To prove uniqueness, we suppose that p and q are two common fixed points of A, B, S and T such that $p \neq q$, then from (3.1) we have,

$$\mathcal{M}(Ap, Bq, Bq, ht) \succcurlyeq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}(Sp, Ap, Aq, t), \mathcal{M}(Tq, Bq, Bq, t), \frac{r\mathcal{M}(Sp, Bq, Bq, t) + s\mathcal{M}(Sp, Tq, Tq, t)}{r\mathcal{M}(Bq, Tq, Bq, t) + s} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(p, q, q, ht) \succcurlyeq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}(p, p, q, t), \mathcal{M}(q, q, q, t), \frac{r\mathcal{M}(p, q, q, t) + s\mathcal{M}(p, q, q, t)}{r\mathcal{M}(q, q, q, t) + s} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{M}(p, q, q, ht) \succcurlyeq \min \left\{ e^{i\theta}, e^{i\theta}, \mathcal{M}(p, q, q, t) \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(p, q, q, ht) \succcurlyeq \mathcal{M}(p, q, q, t). \text{ Lemma 2.2. implies that } p = q.$$

Corollary 3.2. Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complex valued complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space where $*$ is a complex valued continuous t -norm and satisfies $t * t \succcurlyeq t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let A, B, S and T be self-mappings of a complex valued \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space satisfying the following conditions:

(3.4) For all $x, y, z \in X, t \succ 0$ and $h \succ 1$.

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, By, Bz, ht) \succcurlyeq \min \left\{ \mathcal{M}(Sx, Ax, Ay, t), \mathcal{M}(Ty, By, Bz, t), \frac{r\mathcal{M}(Sx, By, Bz, t) + s\mathcal{M}(Sx, Ty, Tz, t)}{r\mathcal{M}(By, Ty, Bz, t) + s} \right\},$$

where $r, s \succcurlyeq 0$ with r and s cannot be simultaneously 0.

(3.5) Pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy common E.A. Like property.

(3.6) Pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are semi-compatible.

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Example 3.3. Let $X = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with the metric D defined by

$$D(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

For all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$, we define $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = e^{i\theta} \frac{t}{t + D(x, y, z)}$.

Clearly $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is complex valued complete \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space with t -norm $*$ is defined as $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ where $a, b \in [0, 1]e^{i\theta}$, for a fixed $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

Here, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = e^{i\theta}$, for all $x, y, z \in X, t \in (0, \infty)$.

Define self-maps A, B, S and T as follows.

$$Ax = Bx = \frac{x}{6} \quad Sx = Tx = \frac{x}{3}. \text{ Then conditions (3.1) and (3.3) hold good.}$$

Also, the condition (3.1) holds for $h = \frac{2}{5}$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.1. the self-maps A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X . Here 0 is the unique common fixed point.

4 Conclusion

We make common fixed point theorems for two pairs of weakly compatible mappings in a complex-valued \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric space fulfilling common E.A. property. Utilizing this thought we will make a common fixed point theorems for two two pairs of weakly compatible mappings in a complex valued fuzzy metric spaces.

5 Funding

This research received no external funding.

6 Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments. We are very much thankful to the Editor and Reviewer of the paper for their kind suggestions to bring the paper in the present form.

References

- [1] A. Azam, B. Fisher and M. Khan, Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric space, *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, **32**(2011), 243-253, doi: 10.1080/01630563.2011.533046.
- [2] J. Ahmad, A. Azam, and S.Saejung, Common fixed point results for contractive mappings in complex valued metric spaces, *Fixed point theory Appl.*, **67**(2014), doi: 10.1186/1687-1812-2014-67.
- [3] J.J. Buckley, Fuzzy complex numbers, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **33**(1989), 333-345, doi: 10.110.1016/0165-0114(89)90122.
- [4] Uday Dolas, A common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces using common E.A. Like property, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and computation*, **2**(6)(2018), 245 - 250.

- [5] A. George and P. Veeramani , On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **64**(1994), 395 - 399.
- [6] M. Jeyaraman and R. Dhanalakshmi , Common fixed point theorems in \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric spaces, *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, **4**(5)(2013), 343-348.
- [7] M. Jeyaraman, S. Sowndrarajan and D. Poovaragavan , Some Fixed Point Theorem for Generalized (ψ, φ) - Contractive Mappings in Strong \mathcal{M} - Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **119**(12)(2018), 3119 - 3131.
- [8] M. Jeyaraman and S. Sowndrarajan , Common tripled fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in \mathcal{M} - fuzzy metric spaces, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **14**(3)(2017), 427-436, doi:10.22457/apam.114n3a9.
- [9] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Internat. Math. J. Maths. Sci.*, **9**(1986), 771 - 779.
- [10] I. Kramosil and J. Michalek , Fuzzy metric and statistical spaces, *Kybernetika*, **11**(1975), 336 - 344.
- [11] D. Ramot , R. Milo , M. Friedman and A. Kandel , Complex fuzzy sets, *IEEE Transactions of Fuzzy System*, **10**(2002), 171 - 186, doi: 10.1109/91.995119.
- [12] D. Singh , V. Joshi , M. Imdad , P. Kumar , A novel framework of complex valued fuzzy metric spaces fixed point theorems, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy systems*, **30**(6)(2016), 3227-3238.
- [13] S. Sedghi and N. Shobe , Fixed point theorem in \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric spaces with property (E), *Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics*, **1**(1)(2006), 55 - 65.
- [14] T. Veerapandi, M. Jeyaraman and Paul Raj Joseph, Common fixed point theorem in generalized \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric spaces, *International Review of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **4**(2)(2008), 203 - 210.
- [15] V.Vinoba, M.Jeyaraman, V.Pazhani, Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in \mathcal{M} -fuzzy metric spaces, *Int. journal of pure and applied mathematics*, **199**(12)(2018), 3133-3141.
- [16] L. A. Zadeh , Fuzzy sets, *Inform. and Control*, **8**(1965), 338 - 353.