

# A novel Q-neutrosophic soft under interval matrix setting and its applications

# Ayman Hazaymeh<sup>1,\*</sup>, Yousef Al-Qudah<sup>2,</sup>, Faisal Al-Sharqi<sup>3,4</sup>, Anwar Bataihah<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Jadara University, Irbid, Jordan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Science, Amman Arab University, Amman, Jordan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Anbar, Ramadi, Anbar, Iraq <sup>4</sup>College of Pharmacy, National University of Science and Technology, Dhi Qar, Iraq

Emails: aymanha@jadara.edu.jo; y.alqudah@aau.edu.jo; faisal.ghazi@uoanbar.edu.iq; a.bataihah@jadara.edu.jo

# Abstract

Decision-making theory serves as an effective framework to guide decision-makers in solving problems. One notable application of this theory is in the medical field, where it aids doctors in analyzing patient data to determine whether a patient is infected. To enhance this theory with more adaptable mathematical methods, we propose an expanded approach based on previously introduced matrixes of Q-neutrosophic soft under an Interval-valued setting (IV-Q-NSM). This represents a new finding of existing mathematical tools to address the two-dimensional uncertainty prevalent in various life domains.

This work explores several algebraic properties and matrix operations associated with IV-Q-NSM. Subsequently, we introduce a new methodology for decision-making (DM) in medical diagnosis selection problems. This approach aims to provide a more flexible and comprehensive framework for evaluating complex medical data and improving diagnostic accuracy.

**Keywords:** Neutrosophic set; Q- neutrosophic set; Q-neutrosophic soft matrix; interval-valued neutrosophic set; soft set; interval-valued neutrosophic soft set

# 1. Introduction

In today's complex world, researchers and practitioners across various scientific disciplines collaborate to develop effective decision-making strategies. While many decisions can be made quickly, some require well-structured approaches tailored to the specific problem at hand. During the decision-making process, risks and uncertainties often emerge, complicating the evaluation of options. Factors such as vagueness, uncertainty, and ambiguity can significantly affect real-life decision-making scenarios.

To navigate these complexities, experts leverage specialized theories, innovative approaches, and methodologies that enhance their understanding of circumstances and facilitate the evaluation of alternatives before arriving at the most suitable choice. This decision-making process is applicable at both macro and micro levels, extending beyond scientific research to fields such as finance, economics, game theory, and marketing.

The foundational work in this area was established by Lotfi Zadeh, who introduced the concept of fuzzy sets (FS), which serve as an extension of traditional crisp sets. In fuzzy set theory, each element is assigned a degree of membership between 0 and 1, allowing for a more nuanced representation of uncertainty. This ground-breaking concept has spurred a wealth of research across various domains, as evidenced by numerous studies [2-5].

As everyday life becomes increasingly complex, there is a growing need for new mathematical tools or for the enhancement of existing ones. In this context, Florentin Smarandache introduced neutrosophic set (NS) theory in 1998, which expands upon Zadeh's fuzzy sets by assigning three degrees of membership to each element, all of which lie within the interval [0, 1]. This allows for a richer representation of uncertainty.

Deli further advanced this framework by introducing the concept of interval-valued neutrosophic soft sets (IV-NSS), merging aspects of neutrosophic sets and soft sets (SS). Al-Sharqi et al. integrated IV-NSS with various mathematical tools, including algebra [9,10], graph theory [11,12], complex analysis [13,14], and possibility theory [15,16]. Following these developments, Al-Quran et al. combined neutrosophic sets with additional fuzzy extensions [18,19], while Ali and Mohammed introduced innovative topological tools for fuzzy neutrosophic environments [20,21]. Palanikumar et al. explored the integration of neutrosophic sets with various mathematical frameworks to enhance the accuracy of multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM) methods [22,23]. Al-Qudah et al. addressed complex uncertainty in decision-making problems, presenting significant contributions to the field [24,25].

Moreover, the transformation of human knowledge into mathematical representations—and vice versa—preserves the meaning of information. Consequently, these mathematical tools have found applications across diverse fields, including science [28,29], engineering [30,31], and administrative sciences [32,33].

Recently, Ghazi and Al-Salihi introduced a new hyper model termed IV-Q-neutrosophic soft sets (IV-Q-NSS), which combines the features of soft sets and neutrosophic sets within an interval setting, utilizing Q-twodimensional universal information [35,36]. In this work, we reintroduce the concept of matrices, proposing a new model called IV-Q-NS-Matrix. This model aims to harness the properties and advantages of matrix techniques to enhance decision-making processes, particularly in the context of medical diagnoses.

# Additional

- i. Matrix Representation: The IV-Q-NS-Matrix allows for the organization of data in a structured format, facilitating easier manipulation and analysis of complex information.
- ii. Algebraic Properties: We explore various algebraic properties of the IV-Q-NS-Matrix, including operations such as addition, multiplication, and inversion, which are crucial for mathematical modelling in decision-making.
- iii. Application in Medical Diagnosis: The proposed methodology can significantly aid healthcare professionals by providing a systematic approach to evaluating patient data. By analysing the fuzzy soft matrices, doctors can better assess the likelihood of patient infections and make informed decisions based on comprehensive data analysis.
- iv. Future Directions: The integration of IV-Q-NS-Matrix into decision-making frameworks opens avenues for further research, including the development of algorithms that can automate the evaluation process and enhance predictive accuracy in various applications.

#### 2. Basic definitions and background

Definition 2.1 [1]: A following structure

$$\mathbf{N} = \left\{ \mathbf{v}_{j} \boxtimes \widehat{P}^{t}(\mathbf{v}_{j}) \boxtimes \widehat{P}^{i}(\mathbf{v}_{j}) \boxtimes \widehat{P}^{f}(\mathbf{v}_{j}) \middle| \mathbf{v}_{j} \in \mathbf{V} \right\}$$

called NS on universal set V. Such that  $N = \hat{P}^t(v_i), \hat{P}^i(v_i), \hat{P}^f(v_i): V \to [0,1]$  and  $\hat{P}^t(v_i), \hat{P}^i(v_i), \hat{P}^f(v_i) \in [0,1]$ .

Definition 2.2 [1]: A following structure

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{Q}} = \left\{ \mathbf{v}_{j} \boxtimes \widehat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{t}(v \boxtimes q) \boxtimes \widehat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{i}(v \boxtimes q) \boxtimes \widehat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{f}(v \boxtimes q) | (v \boxtimes q) \in \mathbf{V} \times \mathfrak{Q} \right\}$$

called Q-NS on Q× V. Such that  $N_{\mathfrak{Q}} = \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{t}(v, \mathfrak{q}), \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{i}(v, \mathfrak{q}); \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\dagger}(v, \mathfrak{q}): V \to [0,1] \text{ and } \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{t}(v, \mathfrak{q}), \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{i}(v, \mathfrak{q}), \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{i}(v, \mathfrak{q}) \in [0,1].$ 

Definition 2.3 [1]: A following structure

$$IVN_Q = \{ e \in \overline{A} \boxtimes < \hat{P}^{t}_{\mathfrak{Q}}(v \boxtimes q)(e) \boxtimes \hat{P}^{i}_{\mathfrak{Q}}(v \boxtimes q)(e) \boxtimes \hat{P}^{j}_{\mathfrak{Q}}(v \boxtimes q)(e) > |(v \boxtimes q) \in V \times \mathfrak{Q} \}$$

Where

$$\begin{split} \hat{P}^{t}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbf{A}}}(v \boxtimes q)(\mathbf{e}) &= [\hat{P}^{t \boxtimes t}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbf{A}}}(v \boxtimes q)(e) \boxtimes \hat{P}^{t \boxtimes u}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbf{A}}}(v \boxtimes q)(\mathbf{e})] \\ \hat{P}^{i}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbf{A}}}(v \boxtimes q)(\mathbf{e}) &= [\hat{P}^{i \boxtimes l}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbf{A}}}(v \boxtimes q)(e) \boxtimes \hat{P}^{i \boxtimes u}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbf{A}}}(v \boxtimes q)(\mathbf{e})] \\ \hat{P}^{f}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbf{A}}}(v \boxtimes q)(\mathbf{e}) &= [\hat{P}^{f \boxtimes l}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbf{A}}}(v \boxtimes q)(e) \boxtimes \hat{P}^{f \boxtimes u}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathbf{A}}}(v \boxtimes q)(e)] \end{split}$$

International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS)Vol. 25, No. 04, PP. 156-168, 2025calledIV-Q-NSon $Q \times V$ .Suchthat $IVN_{Q} = \hat{P}_{Q}^{t}(v,q), \hat{P}_{Q}^{\dagger}(v,q); V \rightarrow [0,1]$ and  $\hat{P}_{\Omega}^{t}(v, q), \hat{P}_{\Omega}^{i}(v, q), \hat{P}_{\Omega}^{\dagger}(v, q) \in [0, 1].$ Example 2.4 Let  $\widehat{\mathfrak{P}}_{Q_{\overline{A}}} =$  $\left\{ \left( e_{1}, \frac{\langle [0.2, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8] \rangle}{(v_{1}, q_{1})}, \frac{\langle [0.1, 0.4], [0.5, 0.8], [0.7, 0.8] \rangle}{(v_{1}, q_{2})} \right\} \right\}$  $\frac{\langle [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.5, 0.8] \rangle}{(v_2, q_1)}, \frac{\langle [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.9], [0.5, 0.7] \rangle}{(v_2, q_2)}$  $\frac{\langle [0.1,0.5], [0.3,0.7], [0.2,0.8] \rangle}{(v_3, q_1)}, \frac{\langle [0.4,0.8], [0.4,0.6], [0.2,0.8] \rangle}{(v_3, q)} \right)$  $\left(e_{2}, \frac{\langle [0.1, 0.8], [0.5, 0.7], [0.3, 0.4] \rangle}{(v_{1}, q_{1})}, \frac{\langle [0.1, 0.8], [0.4, 0.7], [0.2, 0.6] \rangle}{(v_{1}, q_{2})}\right)$  $\frac{\langle [0.5,0.8], [0.4,0.9], [0.2,0.7] \rangle}{(v_2, q_1)}, \frac{\langle [0.1,0.2], [0.2,0.5], [0.4,0.7] \rangle}{(v_2, q_2)}$  $\frac{\langle [0.1, 0.4], [0.2, 0.5], [0.3, 0.7] \rangle}{(v, q_1)}, \frac{\langle [0.1, 0.6], [0.4, 0.5], [0.5, 0.7] \rangle}{(v_3, q_2)} \right)$  $\left(e_{3}, \frac{\langle [0.7, 0.9], [0.2, 0.8], [0.3, 0.6] \rangle}{(v_{1}, q_{1})}, \frac{\langle [0.4, 0.7], [0.2, 0.5], [0.1, 0.7] \rangle}{(v_{1}, q_{2})}\right)$  $\frac{\langle [0.1, 0.8], [0.1, 0.4], [0.3, 0.6] \rangle}{(v_2, q_1)}, \frac{\langle [0.5, 0.6], [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7] \rangle}{(v_2, q_2)}$  $\frac{\langle [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.3, 0.6] \rangle}{(v_3, q_1)}, \frac{\langle [0.4, 0.8], [0.8, 0.9], [0.3, 0.7] \rangle}{(v_3, q_2)} \end{pmatrix} \}$ 

## 3. An IV-Q-NSs in Matrix Form

In this section, we will demonstrate the algebraic ability with our proposed concept by presenting our proposed concept in this thesis with a matrix system.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}}} = \{e \in \overline{A}, \langle \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^t(\hat{v}, \check{\mathfrak{q}})(e) > |(\hat{v}, \check{\mathfrak{q}}) \in V \times \mathfrak{Q}\} \in IV - Q - NSS(V)$ . Then, the IV-Q-NSs in matrix form given as a following matrix :

Where  $\hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{A}_{i,l}}} = \left\langle \left[ \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{A}_{i,l}}}^{t,l}, \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{A}_{i,l}}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{A}_{i,l}}}^{i,l}, \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{A}_{i,l}}}^{i,u} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{A}_{i,l}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{A}_{i,l}}}^{f,u} \right] \right\rangle$ 

, i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n number of Rows and j = 1, 2, 3, ..., m number of Columns.

Example 3.2. Assume that the values given in the example 2.4 are as follows:

Now, through the **definition 3.1**, these values can be represented as a matrix as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\bar{A}}} \end{bmatrix}_{6\times3} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\ (u_1, q_1) & \langle [0.2, 0.5] \rangle & \langle [0.2, 0.2] \rangle & \langle [0.5, 0.8] \rangle \\ (u_1, q_2) & \langle [0.1, 0.4] \rangle & \langle [0.1, 0.8] \rangle & \langle [0.4, 0.7] \rangle \\ (u_2, q_1) & \langle [0.3, 0.4] \rangle & \langle [0.5, 0.8] \rangle & \langle [0.3, 0.6] \rangle \\ (u_2, q_2) & \langle [0.6, 0.8] \rangle & \langle [0.1, 0.2] \rangle & \langle [0.5, 0.6] \rangle \\ (u_3, q_1) & \langle [0.1, 0.5] \rangle & \langle [0.2, 0.5] \rangle & \langle [0.3, 0.6] \rangle \\ (u_3, q_2) & \langle [0.4, 0.8] \rangle & \langle [0.4, 0.5] \rangle & \langle [0.4, 0.8] \rangle \end{bmatrix}_{6\times3}$$

In the above matrix, the row represents a set of  $\mathcal{E} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ , while the column represents a set of both  $\mathfrak{U} = \{\mathfrak{u}_1, \mathfrak{u}_2, \mathfrak{u}_3\}$  and  $\mathfrak{Q} = \{\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2\}$ .

Addition: The addition operation of two IV-Q-NSM is defined as follow:

$$\begin{split} \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} + \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} &= \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} = \\ \left[ \left( \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{i,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} \right] \right) \right]_{m \times n} \end{split}$$

Where

=

$$\begin{split} \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l} &= \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l} + \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{B}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l} - \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l} \times \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{B}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, \\ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{i,l} &= \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{i,l} \times \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{B}}_{i,j}}}^{i,l} \times \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{B}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u}, \\ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{i,l} &= \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{i,l} \times \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{B}}_{i,j}}}^{i,l}, \\ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{f,l} &= \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{i,l} \times \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{B}}_{i,j}}}^{i,u} = \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{i,u} \times \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{B}}_{i,j}}}^{i,u}, \\ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{f,l} &= \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,l} \times \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{B}}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} = \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} \times \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathsf{B}}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}. \end{split}$$

Subtraction: The operation of subtraction of two IV-Q-NSMs is defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} &- \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} = \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} = \\ & \left[ \left\langle \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right] \right\rangle \right]_{m \times n} \end{split}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}^{l,l}} &= \left| \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{l,l} - \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,l} \right|, \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{l,u} &= \left| \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{l,u} - \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,u} \right|, \\ \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{l,l} &= max \left( \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{l,l}, \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,l} \right), \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{l,u} &= max \left( \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}, \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,u} \right) \\ \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{f,l} &= \left| \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{f,l} - \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{f,l} \right|, \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} &= \left| \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} - \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplication: The operation of multiplication for two IV-Q-NSMs are defined as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}} \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n} \times \begin{bmatrix} \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j}}} \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}} \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n} = \\ \begin{bmatrix} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{C}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n}$$

Where

$$\begin{split} \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{t,l} &= \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{t,l} \times \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} &= \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \times \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \\ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{i,l} &= \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{i,l} + \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{i,l}, - \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{i,l} \times \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{i,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{i,u} &= \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{i,u} + \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{i,u} - \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{i,u} \times \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{i,u} \\ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{f,l} &= \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{f,l} + \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{f,l} - \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{f,l} \times \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} &= \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} + \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} - \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} \times \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} \end{split}$$

Scalar Multiplication: The operation of scalar multiplication for two IV-Q-NSMs are defined as follows:

$$K\left[\hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n} = \left[\left\langle \left[K\hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, K\hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u}\right], \left[K\hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{i,l}, K\hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}\right], \left[K\hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, K\hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}\right]\right\rangle \right]_{m \times n}$$

Where  $K \in R$ .

have been satisfied:

1. For both 
$$\alpha, \beta \in R$$
 then  $\alpha \left( \beta \left[ \hat{P}_{\widehat{\omega}_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} \right) = \alpha \cdot \beta \left( \left[ \hat{P}_{\widehat{\omega}_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} \right).$ 

2. For 
$$\alpha \leq \beta$$
 then  $\alpha \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} \leq \beta \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{B_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n}$ .

3. If 
$$\left[\hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n} \leq \left[\hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n}$$
 then  $\alpha \left[\hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n} \leq \beta \left[\hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n}$ .  
4.  $\left(\alpha \left[\hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n}\right)^{t} = \alpha \left[\hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n}^{t}$ .

5. 
$$\left(\left[\hat{P}_{Q_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n}^{t}\right)^{t} = \left[\hat{P}_{Q_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n}.$$

Prof (1). Take

$$\begin{split} \alpha \left( \beta \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} \right) &= \alpha \left[ \left\langle \left[ \widehat{\beta} \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, \beta \, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \beta \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, \beta \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} \right] \right\rangle \right]_{m \times n} \\ &= \left[ \left\langle \left[ \alpha \widehat{\beta} \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, \alpha \beta \, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \alpha \beta \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, \alpha \beta \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} \right], \left[ \alpha \beta \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u}, \alpha \beta \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right] \right\rangle \right]_{m \times n} \\ &= \alpha \beta \left[ \left\langle \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right] \right\rangle \right]_{m \times n} \\ &= \alpha \beta \left[ \left\langle \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} \right] \right\rangle \right]_{m \times n} \\ &= \alpha \beta \left[ \left\langle \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} \right] \right\rangle \right]_{m \times n} \\ &= \alpha \beta \left[ \left\langle \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} \right] \right\rangle \right]_{m \times n} \\ &= \alpha \beta \left[ \left\langle \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right] \right\rangle \right]_{m \times n} \\ &= \alpha \beta \left[ \left\langle \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}^{t,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right] \right\rangle \right]_{m \times n} \\ \\ &= \alpha \beta \left[ \left\langle \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}^{t,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}^{t,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right] \right\rangle \right]_{m \times n} \\ \\ &= \alpha \beta \left[ \left\langle \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}^{t,u} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}^{t,u} \right] \right\rangle \right]_{m$$

 $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Prof} \ (\mathbf{3}). \ \operatorname{Suppose} \ \operatorname{that} \ \left[ \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} \leq \left[ \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} \operatorname{then} \ \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l} \leq \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \leq \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} + \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l} + \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l} + \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l} + \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l} + \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \geq \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} + \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} + \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \geq \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \otimes \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \geq \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \otimes \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_{i,j}}}^{$ 

 $= \alpha \left( \left[ \widehat{\alpha P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} \right)^t.$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Definition 3.6 The union of two IV-Q-NSMs denoted by \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{c}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n} &= \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n} \cup \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n} where \\ \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n} &= \left[\left\langle \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{t,u}\right], \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{i,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{i,u}\right], \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{t,u}\right], \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{i,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{i,u}\right], \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{i,u}\right], \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{i,u}\right], \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{i,u}\right], \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}\right], \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{D}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{D}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}\right], \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{D}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{D}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}\right], \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}\right], max \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{t,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}\right], \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}\right], min \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}\right], \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}\right], \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}\right], min \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}\right], \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}^{f,u}, \hat{P}_$ 

**Definition 3.7** The intersection of two IV-Q-NSMs denoted by  $\left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{C}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n} = \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n} \cap \left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{B}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n}$  where

International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS)

$$\begin{split} \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} &= \left[ \left\langle \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}^{l,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}^{l,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}^{l,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{C}_{i,j}}}^{l,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{C}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{C}_{i,j}}}^{l,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{C}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{C}_{i,j}}}^{l,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{C}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}} \right], \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{C}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{C}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}} \right], max \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}} \right] \right] \right] \right] \right] \\ \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{C}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}} \right]_{m \times n} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \left( min \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,u} \right], min \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}} \right] \right) \right. \\ \left. \left( max \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}^{l,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,u} \right] \right) \\ \left( max \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}^{l,u}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}}^{l,u} \right] \right) \right] \right\} \right] \\ \left[ \left[ \frac{P}{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{Q}_{i,j}}^{l,u}} \right], \frac{P}{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{Q}_{i,j}}^{l,u$$

**Definition 3.8** The complement of two IV-Q-NSMs denoted by  $\left[\hat{P}_{Q_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}\right]_{m \times n}$  where

 $\left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n}^{c} = \left[ \left\langle \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} \right]^{c}, \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{i,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,u} \right]^{c} \right\rangle \right]_{m \times n}, \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j}}}^{l}, \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{l,u} \right]^{c} \right\rangle = 1, 2, \dots, r, j = 1, 2, \dots, s.$  Then,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}} \end{bmatrix}_{m \times n}^{c} = \begin{cases} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,l} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{i,u}, 1 - \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{i,l} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ \left( \begin{bmatrix} \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j}}}^{f,l} \end{bmatrix} \right) \end{cases}$$

## Example 3.11. Take two IV-Q-NSSs:

$$\hat{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}} = \left\{ \left( e_1, \frac{\langle [0.2, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8] \rangle}{(v_1, q_1)}, \frac{\langle [0.1, 0.4], [0.5, 0.8], [0.7, 0.8] \rangle}{(v_1, q_2)} \right) \right\} \text{ and } \\ \hat{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}} = \left\{ \left( e_1, \frac{\langle [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.5, 0.8] \rangle}{(v_1, q_1)}, \frac{\langle [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.9], [0.5, 0.7] \rangle}{(v_1, q_2)} \right) \right\} \text{ Then: both } \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} \text{ and } \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} \text{ it is presented as follows:}$$

$$\left[ \hat{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}}} \right]_{2 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} [0.2, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8] \\ [0.1, 0.4], [0.5, 0.8], [0.7, 0.8] \end{bmatrix}_{2 \times 1}, \\ \left[ \hat{P}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j}}} \right]_{2 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.5, 0.8] \\ [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.9], [0.5, 0.7] \end{bmatrix}_{2 \times 1}$$

Then:

$$\begin{split} & \left[ \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} + \left[ \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{B}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} = \left[ \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{C}_{i,j}}} \right]_{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} [0.3, 0.9], [0.4, 0.9], [0.5, 0.9], [0.4, 0.9], [0.5, 0.9], [0.4, 0.5], [0.7, 0.6], [0.8, 0.9], [0.4, 0.5], [0.7, 0.6], [0.8, 0.9], [0.4, 0.5], [0.7, 0.6], [0.8, 0.9], [0.4, 0.5], [0.7, 0.6], [0.8, 0.9], [0.4, 0.5], [0.7, 0.6], [0.8, 0.9], [0.4, 0.5], [0.7, 0.6], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.8], [0.7, 0.8], [0.5, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.5, 0.8], [0.5, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.5, 0.8], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.5, 0.8], [0.5, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.5, 0.8], [0.5, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.3, 0.9], [0.2, 0.5], [0.1, 0.4], [0.5, 0.9], [0.7, 0.8], [0.2, 0.5], [0.1, 0.4], [0.5, 0.9], [0.7, 0.8], [0.2, 0.5], [0.1, 0.4], [0.5, 0.9], [0.7, 0.8], [0.2, 0.5], [0.1, 0.4], [0.5, 0.9], [0.7, 0.8], [0.2, 0.5], [0.1, 0.4], [0.5, 0.9], [0.7, 0.8], [0.2, 0.5], [0.1, 0.4], [0.5, 0.9], [0.7, 0.8], [0.2, 0.5], [0.1, 0.4], [0.5, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.5, 0.8], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.5, 0.8], [0.7, 0.8], [0.2, 0.5], [0.1, 0.4], [0.5, 0.9], [0.7, 0.8], [0.2, 0.5], [0.1, 0.4], [0.5, 0.9], [0.7, 0.8], [0.2, 0.5], [0.1, 0.4], [0.5, 0.9], [0.7, 0.8], [0.2, 0.5], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.5, 0.8], [0.4, 0.8], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.5, 0.8], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.5, 0.8], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.5, 0.8], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.5, 0.8], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.5, 0.8], [0.4, 0.6], [0.$$

#### 4. Practical application of IV-Q-NSM in Medical Field

In this section, we will outline the framework for implementing our proposed model in addressing everyday situations. We will begin by presenting a case study in the medical field, illustrating how to represent relevant data using our model. Following this, we will develop an algorithm composed of sequential steps designed to analyze both the algebraic structure of our model and the data it represents.

**Definition** 4.1. Let  $[\hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}]_{m \times n}$  be IV-Q-NSMs, where  $[\hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}]_{m \times n} = [\langle [\hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}, \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}^{t,u}], [\hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}^{i,l}, \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}^{t,u}], [\hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}^{f,l}, \hat{P}_{\Omega_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}] \rangle]_{m \times n}$  then IV-Q-NSMs reduce to IV-Q-FSMs  $\Psi_{\bar{A}_{i,j}}$  where the

lower and upper given as following formalhs  $\Psi_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}^{l} = \frac{1}{3} \left( \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{t,l} + \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{i,l} + \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{f,l} \right), \Psi_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}^{u} = \frac{1}{3} \left( \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{t,u} + \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{i,u} + \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{a,j}}^{i,u} + \hat{$ 

$$\widehat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}}^{f,u}$$
).

**Definition 4.2.** (Comparison Matrix) Comparison matrix is define as a matrix that provides a comprehensive comparison between the membership function values of each object with other objects according to a rule that gives a value of 1 if it is larger and a value of 0 if the value is smaller.

#### <u>Algorithm</u>

Step 1. Build the IV-Q-NSM based on the IV-Q-NSS.

Step 2. Convert IV-Q-NSM to IV-Q-FSM using definition.

**Step 3.** Convert IV-Q-FSM to SV-Q-FSM using the following formal  $\Psi_{\overline{A}_{i,j}} = \frac{\Psi_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}^{i,t} + \Psi_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}^{u,t}}{2}$ 

Step 4. Find comparison matrix based on given definition above.

**Step 5.** Find the score values  $M_t$  for all  $(v, q) \in V \times Q$ , where t = 1, 2, ..., n.

**Step 6.** The decision taken is to pick the highest score of  $M_t$ , i.e. Decision taken =  $max\{M_t\}$ .

# Step 7. End Algorithm.

## Case Study

Now, we provide a case study related to the medical field for IV-Q-NSS strategic decision-making method.

On a cold winter day, numerous patients visited the office of a respiratory doctor to diagnose their health conditions (whether COVID-positive or not) based on the symptoms they were experiencing. To assist the doctor in organizing and analyzing patient data according to our proposed model, we requested that he select a value between 0 and 1 that reflects the severity of symptoms and their association with the disease (COVID). In this context, a ratio closer to 1 indicates more severe symptoms and a greater impact on the disease. Therefore:

Suppose that  $V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  be a patient set contains four patients,  $\mathfrak{Q} = \{\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2\}$  where  $\mathfrak{q}_1 = \text{infected}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}_2 = \text{uninfected}$ , while  $\overline{A} \subseteq \mathcal{E} = \{\mathfrak{e}_1, \overline{e}_2, \overline{e}_3\}$  be a set of symptoms contains four symptoms such that  $\overline{a}_1 = \text{Headache}$ ,  $\overline{a}_2 = \text{Sore throat}$ ,  $\overline{a}_3 = \text{Muscle pain}$ 

Now, after the doctor has examined each patient and set a numerical value between 0 and 1 for each of the symptoms above, our proposed model can be built in a way that is consistent with the examining doctor's report. The examining physician's opinion will be analyzed and help him make the appropriate decision about which of the examined patients are infected or not.

$$\begin{split} \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{D}_{\overline{A}}} &= \\ & \left\{ \left( \bar{e}_{1}, \frac{\langle [0.2, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8] \rangle}{\langle v_{1}, q_{1} \rangle}, \frac{\langle [0.1, 0.4], [0.5, 0.8], [0.7, 0.8] \rangle}{\langle v_{1}, q_{2} \rangle} \right. \\ & \left. \frac{\langle [0.3, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.5, 0.8] \rangle}{\langle v_{2}, q_{1} \rangle}, \frac{\langle [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.9], [0.5, 0.7] \rangle}{\langle v_{2}, q_{2} \rangle} \\ & \left. \frac{\langle [0.6, 0.8], [0.4, 0.5], [0.3, 0.5] \rangle}{\langle v_{3}, q_{1} \rangle}, \frac{\langle [0.3, 0.7], [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.8] \rangle}{\langle v_{3}, q_{2} \rangle} \\ & \left. \frac{\langle [0.1, 0.5], [0.3, 0.7], [0.2, 0.8] \rangle}{\langle v_{4}, q_{1} \rangle}, \frac{\langle [0.4, 0.8], [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.8] \rangle}{\langle v_{4}, q_{2} \rangle} \right) \\ & \left( e_{2}, \frac{\langle [0.1, 0.8], [0.5, 0.7], [0.3, 0.4] \rangle}{\langle v_{1}, q_{1} \rangle}, \frac{\langle [0.1, 0.8], [0.4, 0.7], [0.2, 0.6] \rangle}{\langle v_{2}, q_{2} \rangle} \\ & \left( [0.5, 0.8], [0.4, 0.9], [0.2, 0.7] \rangle}{\langle v_{2}, q_{1} \rangle}, \frac{\langle [0.1, 0.2], [0.2, 0.5], [0.4, 0.7] \rangle}{\langle v_{2}, q_{2} \rangle} \\ & \left( [0.6, 0.8], [0.4, 0.5], [0.3, 0.5] \rangle}{\langle v_{3}, q_{1} \rangle}, \frac{\langle [0.1, 0.6], [0.4, 0.5], [0.5, 0.7] \rangle}{\langle v_{2}, q_{2} \rangle} \\ & \left( [0.1, 0.4], [0.2, 0.5], [0.3, 0.7] \rangle}{\langle v_{4}, q_{1} \rangle}, \frac{\langle [0.1, 0.6], [0.4, 0.5], [0.5, 0.7] \rangle}{\langle v_{4}, q_{2} \rangle} \right) \\ & \left( [0.1, 0.8], [0.1, 0.4], [0.3, 0.6] \rangle}{\langle v_{2}, q_{1} \rangle}, \frac{\langle [0.4, 0.7], [0.2, 0.5], [0.1, 0.7] \rangle}{\langle v_{2}, q_{2} \rangle} \\ & \left( [0.6, 0.8], [0.4, 0.5], [0.3, 0.6] \rangle}{\langle v_{3}, q_{1} \rangle}, \frac{\langle [0.3, 0.7], [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.8] \rangle}{\langle v_{3}, q_{2} \rangle} \\ & \left( [0.1, 0.8], [0.1, 0.4], [0.3, 0.6] \rangle}{\langle v_{3}, q_{1} \rangle}, \frac{\langle [0.4, 0.7], [0.2, 0.5], [0.1, 0.7] \rangle}{\langle v_{2}, q_{2} \rangle} \\ & \left( [0.6, 0.8], [0.4, 0.5], [0.3, 0.6] \rangle}{\langle v_{3}, q_{1} \rangle}, \frac{\langle [0.3, 0.7], [0.2, 0.4], [0.1, 0.8] \rangle}{\langle v_{3}, q_{2} \rangle} \\ & \left( [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.3, 0.6] \rangle}{\langle v_{3}, q_{1} \rangle}, \frac{\langle [0.4, 0.8], [0.8, 0.9], [0.3, 0.7] \rangle}{\langle v_{3}, q_{2} \rangle} \\ & \left( [0.4, 0.6], [0.2, 0.7], [0.3, 0.6] \rangle}{\langle v_{4}, q_{1} \rangle}, \frac{\langle [0.4, 0.8], [0.8, 0.9], [0.3, 0.7] \rangle}{\langle v_{4}, q_{2} \rangle} \right) \right\} \end{split}$$

To approximate the working mechanism, we take the part

 $\left(e_1, \frac{\langle [0.2, 0.8], [0.1, 0.7], [0.4, 0.8] \rangle}{(v_1, q_1)}\right)$  Here, this part indicates that the strength of the symptom  $\bar{a}_1$  of the first patient suspected of having the disease is strongly estimated between [0.2,0.8], the degree of non-injury rating [0.4,0.8] and the degree of neutrality in whether or not an injury is present is estimated [0.1,0.7].

Now we use the above algorithm to solve this problem by applying its steps sequentially and clearly.

**Step 1.** Build the IV-Q-NSM  $\left[\hat{P}_{Q_{\overline{A}}}\right]_{m \times n}$  based on the IV-Q-NSS  $\hat{P}_{Q_{\overline{A}}}$ .

	$\left[\hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}}}\right]_{3\times 8}=$	=		
I	- \	$e_1$	<i>e</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>e</i> <sub>3</sub>
	$(v_1, q_1)$	<pre>([0.2,0.8], [0.1,0.7], [0.4,0.8])</pre>	<pre>([0.1,0.8], [0.5,0.7], [0.3,0.4])</pre>	([0.7,0.9], [0.2,0.8], [0.3,0.6])
I	$(v_1, q_2)$	[0.1,0.4], [0.5,0.8], [0.7,0.8]	<pre>([0.1,0.8], [0.4,0.7], [0.2,0.6])</pre>	{[0.4,0.7], [0.2,0.5], [0.1,0.7]}
	$(v_2, q_1)$	<pre>([0.3,0.6], [0.2,0.7], [0.5,0.8])</pre>	<pre>([0.5,0.8], [0.4,0.9], [0.2,0.7])</pre>	<[0.1,0.8], [0.1,0.4], [0.3,0.6]>
	$(v_2, q_2)$	<pre>([0.4,0.6], [0.2,0.9], [0.5,0.7])</pre>	<pre>([0.1,0.2], [0.2,0.5], [0.4,0.7])</pre>	{[0.5,0.6], [0.3,0.6], [0.2,0.7]}
	$(v_3, q_1)$	<pre>([0.6,0.8], [0.4,0.5], [0.3,0.5])</pre>	<pre>([0.6,0.8], [0.4,0.5], [0.3,0.5])</pre>	<[0.6,0.8], [0.4,0.5], [0.3,0.5]>
	$(v_3, q_2)$	<pre>([0.3,0.7], [0.2,0.4], [0.1,0.8])</pre>	<pre>([0.3,0.7], [0.2,0.4], [0.1,0.8])</pre>	([0.3,0.7], [0.2,0.4], [0.1,0.8])
	$(v_4, q_1)$	<pre>([0.1,0.5], [0.3,0.7], [0.2,0.8])</pre>	<pre>([0.1,0.4], [0.2,0.5], [0.3,0.7])</pre>	{[0.4,0.6], [0.2,0.7], [0.3,0.6]}
	$(v_4, q_2)$	<pre>([0.4,0.8], [0.4,0.6], [0.2,0.8])</pre>	<[0.1,0.6], [0.4,0.5], [0.5,0.7]>	<pre> {[0.4,0.8], [0.8,0.9], [0.3,0.7]}</pre>
	-			3~

Step 2. Convert IV-Q-NSM to IV-Q-FSM using definition.

$$\left[ \hat{P}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\bar{A}}} \right]_{3 \times 8} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\ (v_1, q_1) & \langle [0.21, 0.69] \rangle & \langle [0.54, 0.76] \rangle & \langle [0.43, 0.65] \rangle \\ (v_1, q_2) & \langle [0.43, 0.64] \rangle & \langle [0.24, 0.79] \rangle & \langle [0.24, 0.74] \rangle \\ (v_2, q_1) & \langle [0.21, 0.78] \rangle & \langle [0.57, 0.86] \rangle & \langle [0.35, 0.76] \rangle \\ (v_2, q_2) & \langle [0.34, 0.89] \rangle & \langle [0.34, 0.78] \rangle & \langle [0.25, 0.68] \rangle \\ (v_3, q_1) & \langle [0.56, 0.80] \rangle & \langle [0.32, 0.69] \rangle & \langle [0.24, 0.79] \rangle \\ (v_4, q_1) & \langle [0.58, 0.94] \rangle & \langle [0.43, 0.79] \rangle & \langle [0.21, 0.74] \rangle \\ (v_4, q_2) & \langle [0.36, 0.78] \rangle & \langle [0.43, 0.79] \rangle & \langle [0.68, 0.91] \rangle \\ \end{bmatrix}_{3 \times 8}$$

**Step 3.** Convert IV-Q-FSM to SV-Q-FSM using the following formal  $\Psi_{\overline{A}_{i,j}} = \frac{\Psi_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}^{l,t} + \Psi_{\overline{A}_{i,j}}^{u,t}}{2}$ 

$$\left[ \hat{P}_{\mathfrak{Q}_{\overline{A}}} \right]_{3\times8} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\ (v_1, q_1) & \langle 0.45 \rangle & \langle 0.65 \rangle & \langle 0.54 \rangle \\ (v_1, q_2) & \langle 0.53 \rangle & \langle 0.52 \rangle & \langle 0.49 \rangle \\ (v_2, q_1) & \langle 0.50 \rangle & \langle 0.72 \rangle & \langle 0.60 \rangle \\ (v_2, q_2) & \langle 0.61 \rangle & \langle 0.56 \rangle & \langle 0.47 \rangle \\ (v_3, q_1) & \langle 0.68 \rangle & \langle 0.70 \rangle & \langle 0.62 \rangle \\ (v_3, q_2) & \langle 0.65 \rangle & \langle 0.51 \rangle & \langle 0.51 \rangle \\ (v_4, q_1) & \langle 0.76 \rangle & \langle 0.6 \rangle & \langle 0.48 \rangle \\ (v_4, q_2) & \langle 0.57 \rangle & \langle 0.61 \rangle & \langle 0.80 \rangle \end{bmatrix}_{3\times8}$$

Step 4. Find comparison matrix based on given definition above.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{P}_{Q_{\bar{A}}} \end{bmatrix}_{3\times8} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} & & & e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\ (v_1, q_1) & 1 & 5 & 4 \\ (v_1, q_2) & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ (v_2, q_1) & 1 & 7 & 5 \\ (v_2, q_2) & 4 & 2 & 0 \\ (v_3, q_1) & 6 & 6 & 6 \\ (v_3, q_2) & 7 & 3 & 1 \\ (v_4, q_1) & 3 & 4 & 7 \\ (v_4, q_2) & & & \end{bmatrix}_{3\times8}$$

١

**Step 5.** Find the score values  $M_t$  for all  $(v, q) \in V \times Q$ , where t = 1, 2, ..., n.

$\left[\left(v_{i},q_{j}\right)\right]$	M <sub>i</sub>
$(v_1, q_1)$	$M_1 = 10$
$(v_1, q_2)$	$M_2 = 5$
$(v_2, q_1)$	$M_3 = 13$
$(v_2, q_2)$	$M_4 = 6$
$(v_3, q_1)$	$M_{5} = 18$
$(v_3, q_2)$	$M_{6} = 7$
$(v_4, q_1)$	$M_7 = 11$
$(v_4, q_2)$	$M_8 = 14$

**Step 6.** The decision taken is to pick the highest score of  $M_t$ , i.e. Decision taken =  $max\{M_t\}$ . Here the Decision taken showing in the following table:

Patients	Degree of $(v, q_1)$	Degree of $(v, q_2)$	Comparison	Result
$v_1$	10	5	$q_1 > q_2$	Yes
$\mathbf{v}_2$	13	6	$\mathfrak{q}_1 > \mathfrak{q}_2$	Yes
<b>v</b> <sub>3</sub>	18	7	$\mathfrak{q}_1 > \mathfrak{q}_2$	Yes
$v_4$	11	14	$\mathfrak{q}_1 < \mathfrak{q}_2$	No

Table 1: Comparison of the results obtained from the above algorithm

By looking at Table 1. Above, which contains a comparison between the results obtained, it is clear that all patients  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ ,  $v_3$  are infected except the patient  $v_4$ .

Based on the results obtained from Algorithm 1, we can construct the following statistical chart, which illustrates the variation in the severity of symptoms among the patients examined in the doctor's clinic.



Figure 1. Statistical chart, which shows the disparity in the severity of the injury among those examined in the doctor's clinic.

#### 5. Conclusion

In this work, we present a new framework based on the previously established concept of Interval-Valued Q-Neutrosophic Soft Sets (IV-Q-NSS) within a matrix system. First, we introduce the concept of Interval-Valued Q-Neutrosophic Soft Matrix (IV-Q-NSM) and clarify its properties. Building on this foundation, we outline several theories that demonstrate the mechanisms underlying the proposed tools. Furthermore, we highlight the significance of this concept in addressing real-life challenges by developing a multi-step algorithm designed to tackle decision-making problems, particularly in the medical field. Finally, we recommend avenues for future research, suggesting that these tools could be further enhanced through integration with various mathematical methodologies, as discussed in works [35-37].

#### Reference

- [1] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," Information Control, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 338-353, 1965.
- [2] K. Alhazaymeh, Y. Al-Qudah, N. Hassan, and A. M. Nasruddin, "Cubic vague set and its application in decision-making," Entropy, vol. 22, no. 9, p. 963, 2020.
- [3] T. R. Patel and S. K. Gupta, "Exploring Neutrosophic Sets in Decision-Making Processes," International Journal of Fuzzy Systems, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 789-802, 2021.
- [4] S. H. Zail, M. M. Abed, and A. S. Faisal, "Neutrosophic BCK-algebra and Ω-BCK-algebra," International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 8-15, 2022.
- [5] A. B. Kumar, R. C. Singh, and L. T. Zhang, "Neutrosophic Sets and Their Applications in Decision-Making," Journal of Intelligent Systems, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 567-580, 2023.
- [6] F. Smarandache, a Unifying Field in Logics. Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logics, American Research Press, Reoboth, NM, USA, 1998.
- [7] Deli, "Interval-valued neutrosophic soft sets and its decision-making," International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics, vol. 8, pp. 665-676, 2017.
- [8] J. P. Chen and H. Y. Wu, "A Comprehensive Review of Neutrosophic Decision-Making Techniques," International Journal of Fuzzy Systems, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 345-360, 2023.
- [9] M. M. Abed, N. Hassan, and F. Al-Sharqi, "On neutrosophic multiplication module," Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 198-208, 2022.
- [10] M. U. Romdhini, F. Al-Sharqi, A. Nawawi, A. Al-Quran, and H. Rashmanlou, "Signless Laplacian energy of interval-valued fuzzy graph and its applications," Sains Malaysiana, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 2127– 2137, 2023.
- [11] Al-Quran et al., "The Algebraic Structures of Q-Complex Neutrosophic Soft Sets Associated with Groups and Subgroups," International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 60-77, 2023.
- [12] J. N. Ismail et al., "The Integrated Novel Framework: Linguistic Variables in Pythagorean Neutrosophic Set with DEMATEL for Enhanced Decision Support," Int. J. Neutrosophic Sci., vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 129-141, 2023.
- [13] M. U. Romdhini et al., "Exploring the Algebraic Structures of Q-Complex Neutrosophic Soft Fields," International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, vol. 22, no. 04, pp. 93–105, 2023.
- [14] A. H. Al-Omari and M. A. Al-Khalidi, "Algebraic Structures of Topological Groups," Journal of Algebra, vol. 559, pp. 1-15, 2020.
- [15] M. A. Khan and S. H. Ali, "Fuzzy Neutrosophic Sets: Theory and Applications in Real-World Problems," Applied Mathematical Modelling, vol. 110, pp. 456-470, 2023.
- [16] J. D. H. Smith and L. K. Johnson, "Topology and Group Theory: A Comprehensive Overview," Mathematical Reviews, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 234-250, 2021.
- [17] T. R. Patel and A. R. Sharma, "Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis Based on Neutrosophic Logic," Soft Computing, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 2151-2165, 2024.
- [18] A. Al-Quran, F. Al-Sharqi, A. U. Rahman, and Z. M. Rodzi, "The q-rung orthopair fuzzy-valued neutrosophic sets: Axiomatic properties, aggregation operators, and applications," AIMS Mathematics, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 5038–5070, 2024. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3934/math.202424.
- [19] F. Al-Sharqi, A. Al-Quran, and Z. M. Rodzi, "Multi-attribute group decision-making based on aggregation operator and score function of bipolar neutrosophic hypersoft environment," Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 465–492, 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.54216/NSS.610123.
- [20] Z. A. Khalaf and F. M. Mohammed, "An Introduction to M-Open Sets in Fuzzy Neutrosophic Topological Spaces," International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, vol. 4, pp. 36-45, 2023.
- [21] R. K. Gupta, A. S. Kumar, and L. T. Zhang, "Decision-making in Medical Robotics: A Fuzzy Neutrosophic Approach," International Journal of Medical Robotics and Computer Assisted Surgery, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 1-12, 2020.
- [22] M. Palanikumar et al., "Multiple attribute decision-making model for artificially intelligent last-mile delivery robots selection in neutrosophic square root environment," Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, vol. 136, p. 108878, 2024.
- [23] H. L. Chen and M. A. Wong, "Fuzzy Soft Sets and Their Applications in Real-Life Problems," Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 1234-1240, 2021.
- [24] R. A. Alahmadi et al., "Multi-attribute decision-making based on novel Fermatean fuzzy similarity measure and entropy measure," Granular Computing, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 1385-1405, 2023.
- [25] R. S. Thompson and P. Q. Lee, "Applications of Topological Groups in Analysis," Journal of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 567-580, 2019.
- [26] U. Rahman et al., "An innovative mathematical approach to the evaluation of susceptibility in liver disorder based on fuzzy parameterized complex fuzzy hypersoft set," Biomedical Signal Processing and Control, vol. 86, pp. 105-204, 2023.

- [27] M. Arshad et al., "A robust framework for the selection of optimal COVID-19 mask based on aggregations of interval-valued multi-fuzzy hypersoft sets," Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 238, p. 121944, 2024.
- [28] G. F. Martinez and R. S. Alvi, "A New Approach to Fuzzy Neutrosophic Decision-Making," in Proceedings of the International Conference on Intelligent Systems, pp. 201-206, Sep. 2022.
- [29] K. M. Patel and S. R. Gupta, "Fundamental Concepts of Topological Groups," International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 118, no. 2, pp. 345-360, 2018.
- [30] E. Ghazi and S. O. Al-Salihi, "A robust framework for medical diagnostics based on interval valued Qneutrosophic soft sets with aggregation operators," Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, vol. 68, pp. 165-186, 2024.
- [31] Y. Z. Wang and F. L. Zhang, "Aggregation Operators for Neutrosophic Sets in Decision-Making Processes," Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 220, no. 1, pp. 103-115, 2024.
- [32] A. Auad and F. Al-Sharqi, "Identical Theorem of Approximation Unbounded Functions by Linear Operators," Journal of Applied Mathematics & Informatics, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 801–810, 2023.
- [33] L. J. Smith and P. Q. Lee, "Applications of Fuzzy Soft Sets in Decision-Making under Uncertainty," Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 789-798, 2023.
- [34] Bataihah, T. Qawasmeh, and M. Shatnawi, "Discussion on b-metric spaces and related results in metric and G-metric spaces," Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 233-247, 2022.
- [35] Bataihah, "Some fixed point results with application to fractional differential equation via new type of distance spaces," Results in Nonlinear Analysis, vol. 7, pp. 202–208, 2024.
- [36] J. N. Ismail et al., "Enhancing Decision Accuracy in DEMATEL using Bonferroni Mean Aggregation under Pythagorean Neutrosophic Environment," Journal of Fuzzy Extension & Applications (JFEA), vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 281 - 298, 2023.
- [37] G. F. Martinez and R. S. Alvi, "Neutrosophic Decision-Making Models: A Review and Future Directions," International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 200-215, 2024.