



Characterization of bipolar neutrosophic sets to novel concept of complex Q bipolar neutrosophic sets using bisemirings

R. Balaji¹, Murugan Palanikumar², Aiyared Iampan^{3,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai-602105, India

²Department of Mathematics, SRM Valliammai Engineering College, Kattankulathur, Chennai-603203, India

³Department of Mathematics, School of Science, University of Phayao, 19 Moo 2, Tambon Mae Ka, Amphur Mueang, Phayao 56000, Thailand

E-mails: balaji_2410@yahoo.co.in; palanimaths86@gmail.com; aiyared.ia@up.ac.th

Abstract

The notion of the complex Q bipolar neutrosophic subbisemiring (CQBNSBS) is a fundamental notion to be considered for tackling tricky and intricate information. Here, in this study, we want to expand the notion of CQBNSBS by giving a general algebraic structure for tackling bipolar complex fuzzy (BCF) data by fusing the conception of CQBNSBS and subbisemiring. Keeping in view the importance of fuzzy algebraic structures, in this manuscript, we develop the concept of CQBNSBS. We analyze the important properties and homomorphic aspects of CQBNSBS. For bisemirings, we propose the CQBNSBS level sets. We also develop the notions of homomorphic images of all CQBNSBSs is also CQBNSBS and homomorphic pre-images of all CQBNSBSs is also CQBNSBS. Examples are provided to demonstrate our findings.

Keywords: CQBNSBS; SBS; Homomorphism

1 Introduction

Every day, systems become more complex, which makes it harder for decision-makers to select the best option. A single goal is hard to achieve, but you can do it. For many businesses, it was challenging to inspire people, create objectives, and form attitudes. Therefore, many goals must be taken into account at the same time when making decisions, whether they are made by an individual or a group. After giving it some thought, it appears that the criteria are solved flexibly, which makes it challenging for any decision-maker to come up with the best answer possible for each of the relevant criteria. Reliable and adequate methodologies should be developed by decision-makers in order to identify the optimal solution. Generally, when dealing with ambiguity and uncertainty in decision-making, crisp methods don't work. Human life is becoming more and more ambiguous and uncertain as time goes on, and an expert or decision-analyst cannot handle these kinds of ambiguities and uncertainties by using the theory of crisp set. Fuzzy set (FS) theory was developed by Zadeh,¹ and it is the best at dealing with ambiguity and uncertainty. If an element in an FS has a single value inside the interval, it is regarded as a member. The degree of non-membership does not always equal one minus the degree of membership, though, as resistance can occur in real-world circumstances. An increasing number of hybrid fuzzy models are being created as FS theory develops swiftly. The uncertainties have contributed to the development of a number of uncertain theories, such as FS,¹ intuitionistic FS (IFS),² Pythagorean FS (PFS),³ and spherical FS (SFS).⁴ MG sets, or sets with grades between 0 and 1, make up an FS. Although an assertion made by Atanassov² that non-membership grades (NMG) can only have a value of 1, IFS is categorized as MG. The total of MGs and NMGs may occasionally exceed 1 throughout a decision-making process. Yager³

used PFS to develop the generalized MG and NMG, which has a value not exceeding 1 and is determined by the square of the MGs and NMGs. As the neutral state is neither positive nor negative, these theories are unable to describe it. Cuong⁵ talked to colleagues about the picture. FS used three grading points: positive, neutral, and negative. The sum of these grades could not be greater than 1. It also outperforms PFS and IFS in several situations. It addresses the truth, indeterminacy, and falsity of FS and IFS and is an autonomous generalization of three models. The conception of bipolar fuzzy set (BFS) is one of the generalizations of FS, as FS is unable to cover the negative opinion or negative supportive grade of human beings. Thus, Zhang⁶ initiated the BFS to cover both positive and negative opinions of human beings by enlarging the range of FS $[0, 1]$ to the BFS $[0, 1], [-1, 0]$. The BFS holds a positive supportive grade (PSG) which contains in $[0, 1]$ and negative supportive grade (NSG) which contains in $[-1, 0]$.

Lee⁷ was presented the idea of bipolar fuzzy sets. The membership degree range in conventional fuzzy sets is $[0, 1]$. Fuzzy sets that have their membership degree range expanded from the interval $[0, 1]$ to the interval $[-1, 1]$ are called BFSs. The membership degrees on $(0, 1]$ indicate that elements somewhat satisfy the property, the membership degrees on $[-1, 0]$ indicate that elements somewhat satisfy the implicit counter property, and the membership degree 0 in a bipolar fuzzy set indicates that elements are irrelevant to the corresponding property. To handle conflicting and unclear data, Smarandache⁸ developed the neutrosophic set (NS). The degree to which a proposition is true, ambiguous, or false is established using this logic. Ramot et al.⁹ introduce the concept of the complex fuzzy set (CFS). The membership functions of CFS's transactions can have a very broad range of values. While the unit circle of a fuzzy membership function remains fixed, the unit circle of the complex plane is expanded to $[0, 1]$. Instead of extending purely to $[0, 1]$, the membership function $\mu_X(x)$ of the CFS X extends to the unit circle in the complex plane. Hence, $\mu_X(x)$ is a complex-valued function that, for any element x in the discourse universe, provides a grade of membership of the type $\eta_X(x) \cdot e^{i\tau_X(x)}$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. The two real-valued variables, $\eta_X(x)$ and $\tau_X(x)$, where $\eta_X(x) \in [0, 1]$, define the value of $\mu_X(x)$. Golan¹⁰ established the concept of semiring logic and its applications. Hussian et al.¹¹ discussed the concept and use of bisemirings. Lee⁷ discusses bipolar-valued FSs and associated techniques. Fuzzy semirings were studied by Ahsan et al.¹² Sen et al.¹³ introduced the concept of bisemirings. Palanikumar et al. (2019) introduced an intuitionistic fuzzy normal SBS of bisemiring.¹⁴ Palanikumar et al.¹⁵ introduced the concept of bisemiring by using bipolar valued neutrosophic normal sets. Numerous writers have recently written on novel ideas such the fuzzy extension set, neutrosopic set, and SFS^{16,27}. The study has benefited from the following contributions:

1. The intersection of every CQBNSBSs is again a CQBNSBS of bisemiring \mathcal{S} .
2. Let Γ be a CQBNSBS of \mathcal{S} and Υ be a strongest complex cubic anti neutrosophic relation of \mathcal{S} . Then Γ is a CQBNSBS of bisemiring \mathcal{S} if and only if Υ is a CQBNSBS of $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$.
3. The homomorphic image of every CQBNSBS is a CQBNSBS and homomorphic preimage of every CQBNSBS is a CQBNSBS.

Aspects of the SBS and CQBNSBS concepts will be examined, and conclusions drawn. The following five sections make up the article. We introduce semirings and SBS in Section 1. Information on semiring and SBS preparation is provided in Section 2. The properties of CQBNSBS are listed in Section 3. It is advised that numerical examples be used for evaluating CQBNSBS. The conclusion and future course are indicated in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A bipolar fuzzy set A in a universe U is an object having the form $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A^p(x), \mu_A^n(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$, where $\mu_A^p : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\mu_A^n : X \rightarrow [-1, 0]$. Here $\mu_A^p(x)$ represents the degree of satisfaction of the element x to the property of A and $\mu_A^n(x)$ represents the degree of satisfaction of x to some implicit counter property of A . For simplicity the symbol $\langle \mu_A^p, \mu_A^n \rangle$ is used for the bipolar fuzzy set $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A^p(x), \mu_A^n(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$.

Definition 2.2. For two bipolar fuzzy subsets $\mu = (\mu^p, \mu^n)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda^p, \lambda^n)$ of S , the product of μ and λ is denoted by $\mu \circ \lambda$ and is defined as

$$(\mu^p \circ \lambda^p)(x) = \begin{cases} \sup_{(s,t) \in A_x} \{\mu^p(s) \wedge \lambda^p(t)\} & \text{if } A_x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } A_x = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(\mu^n \circ \lambda^n)(x) = \begin{cases} \inf_{(s,t) \in A_x} \{\lambda^n(s) \vee \lambda^n(t)\} & \text{if } A_x \neq 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } A_x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.3.⁸ A NS v in the universe \mathcal{U} is $v = \{x, u_v^T(x), u_v^I(x), u_v^F(x) | x \in \mathcal{U}\}$, where $u_v^T(x), u_v^I(x), u_v^F(x)$ represents the TD, ID and FD of v respectively. Consider the mapping $u_v^T : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow [0, 1], u_v^I : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow [0, 1], u_v^F : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $0 \leq u_v^T(x) + u_v^I(x) + u_v^F(x) \leq 3$.

Definition 2.4.⁸ Let $\psi_1 = \langle u_{\psi_1}^T, u_{\psi_1}^I, u_{\psi_1}^F \rangle, \psi_2 = \langle u_{\psi_2}^T, u_{\psi_2}^I, u_{\psi_2}^F \rangle$ and $\psi_3 = \langle u_{\psi_3}^T, u_{\psi_3}^I, u_{\psi_3}^F \rangle$ be the three neutrosophic numbers over \mathcal{U} . Then

1. $\psi_2 \odot \psi_3 = \langle \max(\chi_{\psi_2}^T, u_{\psi_3}^T), \min(\chi_{\psi_2}^I, u_{\psi_3}^I), \min(\chi_{\psi_2}^F, u_{\psi_3}^F) \rangle,$
2. $\psi_2 \dot{\cup} \psi_3 = \langle \min(\chi_{\psi_2}^T, u_{\psi_3}^T), \max(\chi_{\psi_2}^I, u_{\psi_3}^I), \max(\chi_{\psi_2}^F, u_{\psi_3}^F) \rangle,$
3. $\psi_2 \geq \psi_3$ iff $u_{\psi_2}^T \geq u_{\psi_3}^T$ and $u_{\psi_2}^I \leq u_{\psi_3}^I$ and $u_{\psi_2}^F \leq u_{\psi_3}^F$,
4. $\psi_2 = \psi_3$ iff $u_{\psi_2}^T = u_{\psi_3}^T$ and $u_{\psi_2}^I = u_{\psi_3}^I$ and $u_{\psi_2}^F = u_{\psi_3}^F$.

Definition 2.5.⁸ For any NS $\psi = \{x, \chi_v^T(x), \chi_v^I(x), \chi_v^F(x)\}$ of \mathcal{U} . Then (τ, β) -cut is defined as $\{x \in \mathcal{U} | \chi_v^T(x) \geq \tau, \chi_v^I(x) \geq \tau, \chi_v^F(x) \leq \beta\}$.

Definition 2.6.⁸ Let V and Y be two NSs of S . Then Cartesian product of V and Y is defined as $V \times Y = \{\chi_{V \times Y}^T(\chi, \psi), \chi_{V \times Y}^I(\chi, \psi), \chi_{V \times Y}^F(\chi, \psi) | \text{for all } \chi, \psi \in S\}$, where $\chi_{V \times Y}^T(\chi, \psi) = \min\{\chi_V^T(\chi), \chi_Y^T(\psi)\}, \chi_{V \times Y}^I(\chi, \psi) = \frac{\chi_V^I(\chi) + \chi_Y^I(\psi)}{2}, \chi_{V \times Y}^F(\chi, \psi) = \max\{\chi_V^F(\chi), \chi_Y^F(\psi)\}$.

Definition 2.7. A fuzzy subset v of a bisemiring $(\mathcal{S}, \odot_1, \odot_2, \odot_3)$ represents a fuzzy SBS of \mathcal{S} if $\chi_v(\chi \odot_1 \varepsilon) \geq \min\{\chi_v(x), \chi_v(\varepsilon)\}, \chi_v(\chi \odot_2 \varepsilon) \geq \min\{\chi_v(x), \chi_v(\varepsilon)\}, \chi_v(\chi \odot_3 \varepsilon) \geq \min\{\chi_v(x), \chi_v(\varepsilon)\}$, for all $\chi, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}$.

3 Complex Q bipolar neutrosophic subbisemiring

Here \mathcal{S} denotes bisemiring unless other stated, \Re stands for real part and \Im stands for imaginary part and $i2\pi = x$.

Definition 3.1. The non-empty set Q is a complex Q bipolar neutrosophic set(CQBNS) ℓ in universal set U , $\ell = \{\tau, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}(\tau^q)} : \tau \in U\}$, where $\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau^q)} : U \rightarrow [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$ and $\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}(\tau^q)} : U \rightarrow [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$ represents the truth degree, indeterminacy degree and false degree respectively.

For simplicity the symbol $\Re_\ell^{T^n}, \Re_\ell^{I^n}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}$ is CQBNS $\ell = \{\tau, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}(\tau^q)} : \tau \in U\}$ and $q \in Q$.

Definition 3.2. Let $\ell = \{\tau, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}(\tau^q)}\}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \{\tau, \Re_\mathcal{D}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\mathcal{D}^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\mathcal{D}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\mathcal{D}^{I^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\mathcal{D}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\mathcal{D}^{F^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\mathcal{D}^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\mathcal{D}^{T^p}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\mathcal{D}^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\mathcal{D}^{I^p}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\mathcal{D}^{F^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\mathcal{D}^{F^p}(\tau^q)}\}$ be two CQBNSs of U .

Then we define the intersection and union operation is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i) } \ell \cap \bar{\ell} &= \left\{ \left(\tau, \max\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^n(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{T^n(\tau^q)}}\}, \max\{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^n(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{I^n(\tau^q)}}\}, \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^n(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{F^n(\tau^q)}}\}, \\
 &\quad \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^p(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{T^p(\tau^q)}}\}, \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^p(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{I^p(\tau^q)}}\}, \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \max\{\Re_{\ell}^{F^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^p(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{F^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{F^p(\tau^q)}}\} \right| \tau \in U \right\}. \\
 \text{(ii) } \ell \cup \bar{\ell} &= \left\{ \left(\tau, \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^n(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{T^n(\tau^q)}}\}, \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^n(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{I^n(\tau^q)}}\}, \right. \right. \\
 &\quad e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{I^n(\tau^q)}}, \max\{\Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^n(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{F^n(\tau^q)}}\}, \\
 &\quad \max\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^p(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{T^p(\tau^q)}}\}, \max\{\Re_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^p(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{I^p(\tau^q)}}\}, \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{F^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^p(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{F^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{F^p(\tau^q)}}\} \right| \tau \in U \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.3. For any CQBSN $\ell = \left\{ \tau, \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^n(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^n(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^n(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^p(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^p(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\ell}^{F^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^p(\tau^q)}} \right\}$ of a universal set U . Then (\wp_1, \wp_2) -cut is defined as $\left\{ \tau \in U \mid \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^n(\tau^q)}} \leq \wp_1, \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^n(\tau^q)}} \leq \wp_1, \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^n(\tau^q)}} \geq \wp_2, \Re_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^p(\tau^q)}} \geq \wp_1, \Re_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^p(\tau^q)}} \geq \wp_1, \Re_{\ell}^{F^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^p(\tau^q)}} \leq \wp_2 \right\}$.

Definition 3.4. The Cartesian product of ℓ and $\bar{\ell}$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ell \times \bar{\ell} &= \left\{ \Re_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{T^n}((\tau, \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{T^n((\tau, \lambda)^q)}}, \Re_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{I^n}((\tau, \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{I^n((\tau, \lambda)^q)}}, \Re_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{F^n}((\tau, \lambda) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{F^n((\tau, \lambda)^q)}}, \right. \\
 &\quad \Re_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{T^p}((\tau, \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{T^p((\tau, \lambda)^q)}}, \Re_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{I^p}((\tau, \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{I^p((\tau, \lambda)^q)}}, \Re_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{F^p}((\tau, \lambda) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{F^p((\tau, \lambda)^q)}} \mid \text{for all } \tau, \lambda \in S \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where ℓ and $\bar{\ell}$ be the CQBSN of U

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Re_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{T^n}((\tau, \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{T^n((\tau, \lambda)^q)}} = \max \left\{ \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^n(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{T^n(\lambda^q)}} \right\} \\ \Re_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{I^n}((\tau, \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{I^n((\tau, \lambda)^q)}} = \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^n(\tau^q)}} + \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{I^n(\lambda^q)}}}{2} \\ \Re_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{F^n}((\tau, \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{F^n((\tau, \lambda)^q)}} = \min \left\{ \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^n(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{F^n(\lambda^q)}} \right\} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Re_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{T^p}((\tau, \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{T^p((\tau, \lambda)^q)}} = \min \left\{ \Re_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^p(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{T^p}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{T^p(\lambda^q)}} \right\} \\ \Re_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{I^p}((\tau, \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{I^p((\tau, \lambda)^q)}} = \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^p(\tau^q)}} + \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{I^p}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{I^p(\lambda^q)}}}{2} \\ \Re_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{F^p}((\tau, \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell \times \bar{\ell}}^{F^p((\tau, \lambda)^q)}} = \max \left\{ \Re_{\ell}^{F^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^p(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\bar{\ell}}^{F^p}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\bar{\ell}}^{F^p(\lambda^q)}} \right\} \end{array} \right\}$$

Definition 3.5. For any CQBSN ℓ of \mathcal{S} is said to be a Q -complex bipolar neutrosophic SBS (CQBSNS) of \mathcal{S} if

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^n((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)}} \leq \max \left\{ \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^n(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^n((\lambda^q))}} \right\} \\ \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^n((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q)}} \leq \max \left\{ \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^n((\tau^q))}}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^n((\lambda^q))}} \right\} \\ \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^n((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q)}} \leq \max \left\{ \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^n((\tau^q))}}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{T^n((\lambda^q))}} \right\} \end{array} \right. \\
 \left. \begin{array}{l} \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^n((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)}} \leq \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^n(\tau^q)}} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^n(\lambda^q)}}}{2} \\ \text{OR} \\ \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^n((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q)}} \leq \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^n(\tau^q)}} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^n(\lambda^q)}}}{2} \\ \text{OR} \\ \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^n((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q)}} \leq \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^n(\tau^q)}} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{I^n(\lambda^q)}}}{2} \end{array} \right\} \\
 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^n((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)}} \geq \min \left\{ \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^n(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^n((\lambda^q))}} \right\} \\ \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^n((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q)}} \geq \min \left\{ \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^n((\tau^q))}}, \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^n((\lambda^q))}} \right\} \\ \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^n((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q)}} \geq \min \left\{ \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^n(\tau^q)}}, \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_{\ell}^{F^n((\lambda^q))}} \right\} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Re_\ell^{T^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_\ell^{T^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^P}((\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{T^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^P}((\lambda^q)}\} \\ \Re_\ell^{T^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_\ell^{T^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^P}((\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{T^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^P}((\lambda^q)}\} \\ \Re_\ell^{T^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_\ell^{T^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^P}((\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{T^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^P}((\lambda^q)}\} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Re_\ell^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)} \geq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\tau^q)} + \Re_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\lambda^q)}}{2} \\ \text{OR} \\ \Re_\ell^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q)} \geq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\tau^q)} + \Re_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\lambda^q)}}{2} \\ \text{OR} \\ \Re_\ell^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q)} \geq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\tau^q)} + \Re_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\lambda^q)}}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\lambda^q)}\} \\ \Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\lambda^q)}\} \\ \Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\lambda^q)}\} \end{array} \right\}$$

for all $\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}$.

Example 3.6. Consider the bisemiring $\mathcal{S} = \{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4\}$ with the Cayley table:

\diamondsuit_1	η_1	η_2	η_3	η_4
η_1	η_1	η_1	η_1	η_1
η_2	η_1	η_2	η_1	η_2
η_3	η_1	η_1	η_3	η_3
η_4	η_1	η_2	η_3	η_4

\diamondsuit_2	η_1	η_2	η_3	η_4
η_1	η_1	η_2	η_3	η_4
η_2	η_2	η_2	η_4	η_4
η_3	η_3	η_4	η_3	η_4
η_4	η_4	η_4	η_4	η_4

\diamondsuit_3	η_1	η_2	η_3	η_4
η_1	η_1	η_1	η_1	η_1
η_2	η_1	η_2	η_3	η_4
η_3	η_4	η_4	η_4	η_4
η_4	η_4	η_4	η_4	η_4

	$(\flat) = \eta_1$	$(\flat) = \eta_2$	$(\flat) = \eta_3$	$(\flat) = \eta_4$
$(\Re_\ell^{T^n}, \Im_\ell^{T^n})(\flat)$	$-0.75e^{i2\pi(-0.60)}$	$-0.7e^{i2\pi(-0.55)}$	$-0.6e^{i2\pi(-0.45)}$	$-0.65e^{i2\pi(0-.50)}$
$(\Re_\ell^{I^n}, \Im_\ell^{I^n})(\flat)$	$-0.85e^{i2\pi(-0.70)}$	$-0.8e^{i2\pi(-0.65)}$	$-0.7e^{i2\pi(-0.55)}$	$-0.75e^{i2\pi(-0.60)}$
$(\Re_\ell^{F^n}, \Im_\ell^{F^n})(\flat)$	$-0.65e^{i2\pi(-0.50)}$	$-0.75e^{i2\pi(-0.6)}$	$-0.85e^{i2\pi(-0.7)}$	$-0.8e^{i2\pi(-0.65)}$

	$(\flat) = \eta_1$	$(\flat) = \eta_2$	$(\flat) = \eta_3$	$(\flat) = \eta_4$
$(\Re_\ell^{T^P}, \Im_\ell^{T^P})(\flat)$	$0.65e^{i2\pi(0.55)}$	$0.55e^{i2\pi(0.45)}$	$0.35e^{i2\pi(0.25)}$	$0.45e^{i2\pi(0.35)}$
$(\Re_\ell^{I^P}, \Im_\ell^{I^P})(\flat)$	$0.85e^{i2\pi(0.75)}$	$0.75e^{i2\pi(0.65)}$	$0.45e^{i2\pi(0.35)}$	$0.55e^{i2\pi(0.45)}$
$(\Re_\ell^{F^P}, \Im_\ell^{F^P})(\flat)$	$0.55e^{i2\pi(0.45)}$	$0.65e^{i2\pi(0.55)}$	$0.85e^{i2\pi(0.75)}$	$0.75e^{i2\pi(0.65)}$

Hence, ℓ is a CQBSBS of \mathcal{S} .

Theorem 3.7. The intersection of every CQBSBSs is again a CQBSBS of \mathcal{S} .

Proof. Let $\{\varpi_i : i \in I\}$ be the family of CQBSBSs of \mathcal{S} and $\ell = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \varpi_i$. Let $\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)} &= \bigvee_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{T^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{T^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)} \\ &\leq \bigvee_{i \in I} \max\{\Re_{\varpi_i}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi_i}^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{T^n}(\lambda^q)}\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \bigvee_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \bigvee_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{T^n}(\lambda^q)} \right\} \\ &= \max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda^q)}\} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\Re_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau \diamond_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau \diamond_2 \lambda)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda^q)}\},$$

$$\Re_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau \diamond_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau \diamond_3 \lambda)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda^q)}\}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau \diamond_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau \diamond_1 \lambda)^q)} &= \bigvee_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{I^n}((\tau \diamond_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau \diamond_1 \lambda)^q)} \\ &\leq \bigvee_{i \in I} \frac{\Re_{\varpi_i}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{I^n}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\varpi_i}^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{I^n}(\lambda^q)}}{2} \\ &= \frac{\bigvee_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{I^n}(\tau^q)} + \bigvee_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{I^n}(\lambda^q)}}{2} \\ &= \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q)}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau \diamond_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau \diamond_2 \lambda)^q)} \leq \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q)}}{2} \text{ and}$$

$$\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau \diamond_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau \diamond_3 \lambda)^q)} \leq \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q)}}{2}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}((\tau \diamond_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}((\tau \diamond_1 \lambda)^q)} &= \bigwedge_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{F^n}((\tau \diamond_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}((\tau \diamond_1 \lambda)^q)} \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{i \in I} \min\{\Re_{\varpi_i}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{F^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi_i}^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{F^n}(\lambda^q)}\} \\ &= \min\left\{\bigwedge_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{F^n}(\tau^q)}, \bigwedge_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{F^n}(\lambda^q)}\right\} \\ &= \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q)}\} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\Re_{\ell}^{F^n}((\tau \diamond_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}((\tau \diamond_2 \lambda)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q)}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\Re_{\ell}^{F^n}((\tau \diamond_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}((\tau \diamond_3 \lambda)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q)}\}.$$

Let $\{\varpi_i : i \in I\}$ be the family of CQBNSBSs of \mathcal{S} and $\ell = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \varpi_i$. Let $\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \Re_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau \diamond_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau \diamond_1 \lambda)^q)} &= \bigwedge_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{T^P}((\tau \diamond_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau \diamond_1 \lambda)^q)} \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{i \in I} \min\{\Re_{\varpi_i}^{T^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{T^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi_i}^{T^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{T^P}(\lambda^q)}\} \\ &= \min\left\{\bigwedge_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{T^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{T^P}(\tau^q)}, \bigwedge_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{T^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{T^P}(\lambda^q)}\right\} \\ &= \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda^q)}\} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\Re_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau \diamond_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau \diamond_2 \lambda)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda^q)}\},$$

$$\Re_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau \diamond_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau \diamond_3 \lambda)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda^q)}\}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Re_\ell^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)} &= \bigwedge_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)} \\
&\geq \bigwedge_{i \in I} \frac{\Re_{\varpi_i}^{I^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{I^P}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\varpi_i}^{I^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{I^P}(\lambda^q)}}{2} \\
&= \frac{\bigwedge_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{I^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{I^P}(\tau^q)} + \bigwedge_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{I^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{I^P}(\lambda^q)}}{2} \\
&= \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\tau^q)} + \Re_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda^q)}}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\Re_\ell^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q)} \geq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\tau^q)} + \Re_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda^q)}}{2} \text{ and}$$

$$\Re_\ell^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q)} \geq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\tau^q)} + \Re_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda^q)}}{2}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)} &= \bigvee_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)} \\
&\leq \bigvee_{i \in I} \max\{\Re_{\varpi_i}^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{F^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi_i}^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{F^P}(\lambda^q)}\} \\
&= \max\left\{\bigvee_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{F^P}(\tau^q)}, \bigvee_{i \in I} \Re_{\varpi_i}^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi_i}^{F^P}(\lambda^q)}\right\} \\
&= \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda^q)}\}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda^q)}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda^q)}\}.$$

Thus, ℓ is a CQBNBSS of \mathcal{S} .

Theorem 3.8. If ℓ and \mathcal{D} be the CQBNBSSs of \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 respectively, then $\ell \times \mathcal{D}$ is a CQBNBSS of $\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2$.

Proof. Let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{S}_1$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Then (τ_1, λ_1) and (τ_2, λ_2) are in $\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Re_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}[((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_1 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}[(\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_1 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q]} \\
&= \Re_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2, \lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2, \lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)} \\
&= \max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)}, \Re_{\mathcal{D}}^{T^n}((\lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\mathcal{D}}^{T^n}((\lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}\} \\
&\leq \max\{\max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2)}\}, \\
&\quad \max\{\Re_{\mathcal{D}}^{T^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\mathcal{D}}^{T^n}(\lambda_1)}, \Re_{\mathcal{D}}^{T^n}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\mathcal{D}}^{T^n}(\lambda_2)}\}\} \\
&= \max\{\max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_{\mathcal{D}}^{T^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\mathcal{D}}^{T^n}(\lambda_1)}\}, \\
&\quad \max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2)}, \Re_{\mathcal{D}}^{T^n}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\mathcal{D}}^{T^n}(\lambda_2)}\}\} \\
&= \max\{\Re_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)}\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Also } \Re_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}[((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_2 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}[(\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_2 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q]} \\
&\leq \max\{\Re_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)}\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{and } \Re_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}[((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_3 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}[(\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_3 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q]} \\
&\leq \max\{\Re_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \mathcal{D}}^{T^n}((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_1 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_1 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)]} \\
 = & \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2, \lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2, \lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)} \\
 = & \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)} + \Re_{\partial}^{I^n} ((\lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} ((\lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}}{2} \\
 \leq & \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n} (\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n} (\tau_1)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^n} (\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n} (\tau_2)}}{2} + \frac{\Re_{\partial}^{I^n} (\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{I^n} (\lambda_1)} + \Re_{\partial}^{I^n} (\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{I^n} (\lambda_2)}}{2} \right] \\
 = & \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n} (\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n} (\tau_1)} + \Re_{\partial}^{I^n} (\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{I^n} (\lambda_1)}}{2} + \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n} (\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n} (\tau_2)} + \Re_{\partial}^{I^n} (\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{I^n} (\lambda_2)}}{2} \right] \\
 = & \frac{1}{2} \left[\Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)} + \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Also $\Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_2 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_2 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)]} \leq \frac{1}{2} [\Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)} + \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)}]$ and $\Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_3 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_3 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)]} \leq \frac{1}{2} [\Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)} + \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^n} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)}]$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^n} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_1 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^n} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_1 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)]} \\
 = & \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^n} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2, \lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^n} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2, \lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)} \\
 = & \min \{ \Re_{\ell}^{F^n} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)}, \Re_{\partial}^{F^n} ((\lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{F^n} ((\lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)} \} \\
 \geq & \min \{ \min \{ \Re_{\ell}^{F^n} (\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n} (\tau_1)}, \Re_{\ell}^{F^n} (\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n} (\tau_2)} \}, \\
 & \min \{ \Re_{\partial}^{F^n} (\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{F^n} (\lambda_1)}, \Re_{\partial}^{F^n} (\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{F^n} (\lambda_2)} \} \} \\
 = & \min \{ \min \{ \Re_{\ell}^{F^n} (\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n} (\tau_1)}, \Re_{\partial}^{F^n} (\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{F^n} (\lambda_1)} \}, \\
 & \min \{ \Re_{\ell}^{F^n} (\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n} (\tau_2)}, \Re_{\partial}^{F^n} (\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{F^n} (\lambda_2)} \} \} \\
 = & \min \{ \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^n} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^n} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^n} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^n} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)} \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Also $\Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^n} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_2 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^n} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_2 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)]} \geq \min \{ \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^n} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^n} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^n} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^n} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)} \}$, $\Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^n} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_3 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^n} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_3 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)]} \geq \min \{ \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^n} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^n} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^n} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^n} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)} \}$.

Let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{S}_1$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Then (τ_1, λ_1) and (τ_2, λ_2) are in $\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_1 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_1 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)]} \\
 = & \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2, \lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2, \lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)} \\
 = & \min \{ \Re_{\ell}^{T^p} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^p} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)}, \Re_{\partial}^{T^p} ((\lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{T^p} ((\lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)} \} \\
 \geq & \min \{ \min \{ \Re_{\ell}^{T^p} (\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^p} (\tau_1)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^p} (\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^p} (\tau_2)} \}, \min \{ \Re_{\partial}^{T^p} (\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{T^p} (\lambda_1)}, \Re_{\partial}^{T^p} (\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{T^p} (\lambda_2)} \} \} \\
 = & \min \{ \min \{ \Re_{\ell}^{T^p} (\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^p} (\tau_1)}, \Re_{\partial}^{T^p} (\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{T^p} (\lambda_1)} \}, \min \{ \Re_{\ell}^{T^p} (\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^p} (\tau_2)}, \Re_{\partial}^{T^p} (\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{T^p} (\lambda_2)} \} \} \\
 = & \min \{ \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)} \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Also $\Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_2 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_2 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)]} \geq \min \{ \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)} \}$

and $\Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_3 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_3 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)]} \geq \min \{ \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{T^p} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)} \}$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_1 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_1 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)]} \\
 = & \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2, \lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2, \lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)} \\
 = & \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^P} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)} + \Re_{\partial}^{I^P} ((\lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} ((\lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}}{2} \\
 \geq & \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^P} (\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P} (\tau_1)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^P} (\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P} (\tau_2)}}{2} + \frac{\Re_{\partial}^{I^P} (\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{I^P} (\lambda_1)} + \Re_{\partial}^{I^P} (\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{I^P} (\lambda_2)}}{2} \right] \\
 = & \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^P} (\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P} (\tau_1)} + \Re_{\partial}^{I^P} (\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{I^P} (\lambda_1)}}{2} + \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^P} (\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P} (\tau_2)} + \Re_{\partial}^{I^P} (\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{I^P} (\lambda_2)}}{2} \right] \\
 = & \frac{1}{2} \left[\Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)} + \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Also $\Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_2 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_2 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)]} \geq \frac{1}{2} [\Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)} + \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)}]$
and $\Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_3 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_3 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)]} \geq \frac{1}{2} [\Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)} + \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{I^P} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)}].$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_1 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_1 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)]} \\
 = & \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2, \lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2, \lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)} \\
 = & \max \{ \Re_{\ell}^{F^P} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P} ((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)}, \Re_{\partial}^{F^P} ((\lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{F^P} ((\lambda_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)} \} \\
 \leq & \max \{ \max \{ \Re_{\ell}^{F^P} (\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P} (\tau_1)}, \Re_{\ell}^{F^P} (\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P} (\tau_2)} \}, \\
 & \max \{ \Re_{\partial}^{F^P} (\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{F^P} (\lambda_1)}, \Re_{\partial}^{F^P} (\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{F^P} (\lambda_2)} \} \} \\
 = & \max \{ \max \{ \Re_{\ell}^{F^P} (\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P} (\tau_1)}, \Re_{\partial}^{F^P} (\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{F^P} (\lambda_1)} \}, \\
 & \max \{ \Re_{\ell}^{F^P} (\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P} (\tau_2)}, \Re_{\partial}^{F^P} (\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\partial}^{F^P} (\lambda_2)} \} \} \\
 = & \max \{ \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)} \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Also } \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_2 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_2 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)]} \\
 \leq & \max \{ \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)}, \\
 & \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)} \}, \\
 & \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_3 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} [((\tau_1, \lambda_1) \diamondsuit_3 (\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)]} \\
 \leq & \max \{ \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} ((\tau_1, \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell \times \partial}^{F^P} ((\tau_2, \lambda_2)^q)} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\ell \times \partial$ is a CQBNBS of \mathcal{S} .

Corollary 3.9. If $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n$ be the finite collection of CQBNBSs of $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \dots, \mathcal{S}_n$ respectively. Then $\ell_1 \times \ell_2 \times \dots \times \ell_n$ is a CQBNBS of $\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}_n$.

Definition 3.10. Let $\ell \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, the strongest CQBN relation on \mathcal{S} is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Re_{\varpi}^{T^n} ((\tau, \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n} ((\tau, \lambda)^q)} = \min \{ \Re_{\ell}^{T^n} (\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n} (\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^n} (\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n} (\lambda^q)} \} \\ \Re_{\varpi}^{I^n} ((\tau, \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n} ((\tau, \lambda)^q)} = \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n} (\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n} (\tau^q)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^n} (\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n} (\lambda^q)}}{2} \\ \Re_{\varpi}^{F^n} ((\tau, \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n} ((\tau, \lambda)^q)} = \max \{ \Re_{\ell}^{F^n} (\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n} (\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{F^n} (\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n} (\lambda^q)} \} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Re_{\varpi}^{T^P} ((\tau, \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P} ((\tau, \lambda)^q)} = \max \{ \Re_{\ell}^{T^P} (\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P} (\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^P} (\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P} (\lambda^q)} \} \\ \Re_{\varpi}^{I^P} ((\tau, \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P} ((\tau, \lambda)^q)} = \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^P} (\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P} (\tau^q)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^P} (\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P} (\lambda^q)}}{2} \\ \Re_{\varpi}^{F^P} ((\tau, \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P} ((\tau, \lambda)^q)} = \min \{ \Re_{\ell}^{F^P} (\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P} (\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{F^P} (\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P} (\lambda^q)} \} \end{array} \right\}$$

Theorem 3.11. Let ℓ be a CQBNB of \mathcal{S} and ϖ be a strongest complex bipolar neutrosophic relation of \mathcal{S} . Then ℓ is a CQBNB of $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$ if and only if ϖ is a CQBNB of $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$.

Proof. Suppose ℓ is a CQBNB of $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$ and ϖ be the strongest complex bipolar neutrosophic relation of \mathcal{S} .

For any $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2), \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in S \times \mathcal{S}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Re_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)} \\
&= \Re_{\varpi}^{T^n}[(((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q) \diamondsuit_1 ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n}(((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q) \diamondsuit_1 ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q))} \\
&= \Re_{\varpi}^{T^n}(\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1, \tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n}(\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1, \tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)} \\
&= \max\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}\} \\
&\leq \max\{\max\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda_1)}\}, \\
&\quad \max\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau_2)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda_2)}\}\} \\
&= \max\{\max\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau_2)}\}, \\
&\quad \max\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda_1)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda_2)}\}\} \\
&= \max\{\Re_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)}\} \\
&= \max\{\Re_{\varpi}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n}(\lambda^q)}\}
\end{aligned}$$

Also $\Re_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_{\varpi}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n}(\lambda^q)}\}$,

$\Re_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_{\varpi}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n}(\lambda^q)}\}$.

Now, $\Re_{\varpi}^{I^n}(\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n}(\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Re_{\varpi}^{I^n}[(((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q) \diamondsuit_1 ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n}(((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q) \diamondsuit_1 ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q))} \\
&= \Re_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1, \tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n}(\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1, \tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q} \\
&= \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}}{2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau_1)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda_1)}}{2} + \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau_2)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda_2)}}{2} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau_1)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau_2)}}{2} + \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda_1)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda_2)}}{2} \right] \\
&= \frac{\Re_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q)} + \Re_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)}}{2} \\
&= \frac{\Re_{\varpi}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\varpi}^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n}(\lambda^q)}}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Also $\Re_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q)} \leq \frac{\Re_{\varpi}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\varpi}^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n}(\lambda^q)}}{2}$

and $\Re_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q)} \leq \frac{\Re_{\varpi}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\varpi}^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n}(\lambda^q)}}{2}$.

Similarly, $\Re_{\varpi}^{F^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n}(\lambda^q)}\}$,

$\Re_{\varpi}^{F^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n}(\lambda^q)}\}$ and

$\Re_{\varpi}^{F^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n}(\lambda^q)}\}$.

For any $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2), \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Re_{\varpi}^{T^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)} \\
&= \Re_{\varpi}^{T^P}[(((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q) \diamondsuit_1 ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}[((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q) \diamondsuit_1 ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)]} \\
&= \Re_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1, \tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1, \tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)} \\
&= \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}\} \\
&\geq \min\{\min\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau_1)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda_1)}\}, \\
&\quad \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau_2)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda_2)}\}\} \\
&= \min\{\min\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau_1)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau_2)}\}, \\
&\quad \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda_1)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda_2)}\}\} \\
&= \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{T^P}((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{T^P}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)}\} \\
&= \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\lambda^q)}\}
\end{aligned}$$

Also $\Re_{\varpi}^{T^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\lambda^q)}\}$,
 $\Re_{\varpi}^{T^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\lambda^q)}\}$.

Now, $\Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}[(((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q) \diamondsuit_1 ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}(((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q) \diamondsuit_1 ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q))] \\
&= \Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1, \tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1, \tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)} \\
&= \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}}{2} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^P}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}(\tau_1)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^P}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}(\lambda_1)}}{2} + \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^P}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}(\tau_2)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^P}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}(\lambda_2)}}{2} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^P}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}(\tau_1)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^P}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}(\tau_2)}}{2} + \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^P}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}(\lambda_1)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^P}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}(\lambda_2)}}{2} \right] \\
&= \frac{\Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q)} + \Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)}}{2} \\
&= \frac{\Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\lambda^q)}}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Also $\Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q)} \geq \frac{\Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\lambda^q)}}{2}$

and $\Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q)} \geq \frac{\Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\lambda^q)}}{2}$.

Similarly, $\Re_{\varpi}^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_{\varpi}^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P}(\lambda^q)}\}$,

$\Re_{\varpi}^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_2 \lambda)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_{\varpi}^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P}(\lambda^q)}\}$ and

$\Re_{\varpi}^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P}((\tau \diamondsuit_3 \lambda)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_{\varpi}^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P}(\lambda^q)}\}$.

Therefore, ϖ is a CQBNSBS of $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$.

Conversely, suppose that ϖ is a CQBSBS of $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$. Let $\tau = ((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q), \lambda = ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}\} \\ &= \Re_\varpi^{T^n}(\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1, \tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{T^n}(\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1, \tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)} \\ &= \Re_\varpi^{T^n}[(\tau_1, \tau_2)^q \diamondsuit_1 ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_v^{T^n}[(\tau_1, \tau_2)^q \diamondsuit_1 ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)]} \\ &= \Re_\varpi^{T^n}(\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{T^n}(\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)} \\ &\leq \max\{\Re_\varpi^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\varpi^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{T^n}(\lambda^q)}\} \\ &= \max\{\Re_\varpi^{T^n}((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{T^n}((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q)}, \Re_\varpi^{T^n}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{T^n}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)}\} \\ &= \max\{\max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2)}\}, \max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_2)}\}\} \end{aligned}$$

If $\Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)} \geq \Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}$, then $\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1)} \geq \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2)}$ and $\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_1)} \geq \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_2)}$. We get $\Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_1)}\}$ for all $\tau_1, \lambda_1 \in \mathcal{S}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_2)^q)}\} \leq \max\{\max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2)}\}, \max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_2)}\}\} \\ & \text{If } \Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q)} \geq \Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_2)^q)}, \text{then } \Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_1)}\}. \\ & \max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_2)^q)}\} \leq \max\{\max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2)}\}, \max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_2)}\}\} \\ & \text{If } \Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q)} \geq \Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_2)^q)}, \text{then } \Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda_1)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left[\Re_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)} + \Re_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)} \right] \\ &= \Re_\varpi^{I^n}(\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1, \tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{I^n}(\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1, \tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)} \\ &= \Re_\varpi^{I^n}[(\tau_1, \tau_2)^q \diamondsuit_1 ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{I^n}[(\tau_1, \tau_2)^q \diamondsuit_1 ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)]} \\ &= \Re_\varpi^{I^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{I^n}((\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)^q)} \\ &\leq \frac{\Re_\varpi^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{I^n}(\tau^q)} + \Re_\varpi^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{I^n}(\lambda^q)}}{2} \\ &= \frac{\Re_\varpi^{I^n}((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{I^n}((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q)} + \Re_\varpi^{I^n}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{I^n}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)}}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1)} + \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_2)}}{2} + \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda_1)} + \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda_2)}}{2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

If $\Re_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)} \geq \Re_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}$, then $\Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1)} \geq \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_2)}$ and $\Re_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda_1)} \geq \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda_2)}$. We get $\Re_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)} \leq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1)} + \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda_1)}}{2}$. Similarly, $\Re_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q)} \leq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1)} + \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda_1)}}{2}$ and $\Re_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q)} \leq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1)} + \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda_1)}}{2}$.

Similarly to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}\} \geq \min\{\min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2)}\}, \min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_2)}\}\} \\ & \text{If } \Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)} \leq \Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}, \text{then } \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1)} \leq \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2)} \text{ and } \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_1)} \leq \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

We get $\Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_1)}\}$.
 $\min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_2)^q)}\}$
 $\geq \min\{\min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2)}\}, \min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_2)}\}\}$
If $\Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q)} \leq \Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_2)^q)}$, then $\Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_1)}\}$.
 $\min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_2)^q)}\} \geq \min\{\min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2)}\}, \min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_2)}\}\}$
If $\Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q)} \leq \Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_2)^q)}$, then $\Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda_1)}\}$.

Let $\tau = ((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q), \lambda = ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{\Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}\} \\ &= \Re_\omega^{T^p}(\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1, \tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\omega^{T^p}(\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1, \tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)} \\ &= \Re_\omega^{T^p}[(\tau_1, \tau_2)^q \diamondsuit_1 ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_v^{T^p}[(\tau_1, \tau_2)^q \diamondsuit_1 ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)]} \\ &= \Re_\omega^{T^p}(\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda) \cdot e^{x \Im_\omega^{T^p}(\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)} \\ &\geq \min\{\Re_\omega^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\omega^{T^p}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\omega^{T^p}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\omega^{T^p}(\lambda^q)}\} \\ &= \min\{\Re_\omega^{T^p}((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\omega^{T^p}((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q)}, \Re_\omega^{T^p}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\omega^{T^p}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)}\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_2)}\}, \min\{\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_2)}\}\} \end{aligned}$$

If $\Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)} \leq \Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}$, then $\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1)} \leq \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_2)}$ and $\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_1)} \leq \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_2)}$.
We get $\Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_1)}\}$ for all $\tau_1, \lambda_1 \in \mathcal{S}$, and
 $\min\{\Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_2)^q)}\} \geq \min\{\min\{\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_2)}\}, \min\{\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_2)}\}\}$
If $\Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q)} \leq \Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_2)^q)}$, then $\Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_1)}\}$.
 $\min\{\Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_2)^q)}\} \geq \min\{\min\{\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_2)}\}, \min\{\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_2)}\}\}$
If $\Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q)} \leq \Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_2)^q)}$, then $\Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\lambda_1)}\}$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} [\Re_\ell^{I^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)} + \Re_\ell^{I^p}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^p}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}] \\ &= \Re_\omega^{I^p}(\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1, \tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\omega^{I^p}(\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1, \tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)} \\ &= \Re_\omega^{I^p}[(\tau_1, \tau_2)^q \diamondsuit_1 ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)] \cdot e^{x \Im_\omega^{I^p}[(\tau_1, \tau_2)^q \diamondsuit_1 ((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q)]} \\ &= \Re_\omega^{I^p}(\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda) \cdot e^{x \Im_\omega^{I^p}(\tau \diamondsuit_1 \lambda)} \\ &\geq \frac{\Re_\omega^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\omega^{I^p}(\tau^q)} + \Re_\omega^{I^p}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\omega^{I^p}(\lambda^q)}}{2} \\ &= \frac{\Re_\omega^{I^p}((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\omega^{I^p}((\tau_1, \tau_2)^q)} + \Re_\omega^{I^p}((\lambda_1, \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\omega^{I^p}}}{} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_1)} + \Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_2)}}{2} + \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^p}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^p}(\lambda_1)} + \Re_\ell^{I^p}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^p}(\lambda_2)}}{2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

If $\Re_\ell^{I^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)} \leq \Re_\ell^{I^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}$, then $\Re_\ell^{I^P}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\tau_1)} \leq \Re_\ell^{I^P}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\tau_2)}$ and $\Re_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda_1)} \leq \Re_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda_2)}$.

We get $\Re_\ell^{I^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)} \geq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^P}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\tau_1)} + \Re_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda_1)}}{2}$.

Similarly, $\Re_\ell^{I^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q)} \geq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^P}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\tau_1)} + \Re_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda_1)}}{2}$

and $\Re_\ell^{I^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q)} \geq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^P}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\tau_1)} + \Re_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda_1)}}{2}$.

Similarly to prove that

$\max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}\}$
 $\leq \max\{\max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_2)}\}, \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_2)}\}\}$
If $\Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)} \geq \Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_2)^q)}$, then $\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_1)} \geq \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_2)}$ and $\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_1)} \geq \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_2)}$.

We get $\Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \lambda_1)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_1)}\}$.

$\max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_2)^q)}\}$
 $\leq \max\{\max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_2)}\}, \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_2)}\}\}$

If $\Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q)} \geq \Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_2)^q)}$, then $\Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \lambda_1)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_1)}\}$.

$\max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_2)^q)}\}$
 $\leq \max\{\max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_2)}\}, \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_2)}\}\}$

If $\Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q)} \geq \Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_2 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_2)^q)}$, then $\Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \lambda_1)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda_1)}\}$.

Therefore, ℓ is a CQBNBS of \mathcal{S} .

Theorem 3.12. Suppose that ℓ is a subset of \mathcal{S} . Then $R = (\Re_\ell^{T^n} \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}}, \Re_\ell^{I^n} \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}}, \Re_\ell^{F^n} \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}}, \Re_\ell^{T^p} \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}}, \Re_\ell^{I^p} \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^p}}, \Re_\ell^{F^p} \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^p}})$ is a CQBNBS of \mathcal{S} if and only if $\Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$ is a SBS of \mathcal{S} for all $\wp_1, \wp_2 \in D[0, 1]$.

Proof. Assume that \Re is a CQBNBS of \mathcal{S} . For each $\wp_1, \wp_2 \in D[0, 1]$ and $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$. Now, $\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1)} \leq \wp_1$, $\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2)} \leq \wp_1$ and $\Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1)} \leq \wp_1$, $\Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_2)} \leq \wp_1$ and $\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1)} \geq \wp_2$, $\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2)} \geq \wp_2$. Now, $\Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2)}\} \leq \wp_1$ and

$\Re_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)} \leq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1)} + \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_2)}}{2} \leq \frac{\wp_1 + \wp_1}{2} = \wp_1$ and

$\Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2)}\} \geq \wp_2$. This implies that $\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2 \in \Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$. Similarly, $\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \tau_2 \in \Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$ and $\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \tau_2 \in \Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$. Hence, $\Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$ is a SBS of \mathcal{S} , for all $\wp_1, \wp_2 \in D[0, 1]$.

For each $\wp_1, \wp_2 \in [0, 1]$ and $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$. Now, $\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1)} \geq \wp_1$, $\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_2)} \geq \wp_1$ and $\Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_1)} \geq \wp_1$, $\Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_2)} \geq \wp_1$ and $\Re_\ell^{F^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^p}(\tau_1)} \leq \wp_2$, $\Re_\ell^{F^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^p}(\tau_2)} \leq \wp_2$.

Now, $\Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_2)}\} \geq \wp_1$ and

$\Re_\ell^{I^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)} \geq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_1)} + \Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_2)}}{2} \geq \frac{\wp_1 + \wp_1}{2} = \wp_1$ and $\Re_\ell^{F^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^p}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^p}(\tau_2)}\} \leq \wp_2$.

This implies that $\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2 \in \Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$. Similarly, $\tau_1 \diamondsuit_2 \tau_2 \in \Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$ and $\tau_1 \diamondsuit_3 \tau_2 \in \Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$. Hence, $\Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$ is a SBS of \mathcal{S} , for all $\wp_1, \wp_2 \in D[0, 1]$.

Conversely, assume that $\Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$ is a SBS of \mathcal{S} and $\wp_1, \wp_2 \in D[0, 1]$. Suppose if there exist $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)} > \max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2)}\}$,

$\Re_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)} > \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1)} + \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_2)}}{2}$ and

$\Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)} < \min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2)}\}$. For $\wp_1, \wp_2 \in D[0, 1]$ such that $\Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)} > \wp_1 \geq \max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2)}\}$

and $\Re_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)} > \wp_1 \geq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1)} + \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_2)}}{2}$ and $\Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)} < \wp_2 \leq \min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2)}\}$.

Thus, $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$, but $\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2 \notin \Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$. This contradicts, $\Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$ is a SBS of \mathcal{S} . Therefore $\Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q)} \leq$

$\max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^n}}(\tau_1), \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^n}}(\tau_2)\}, \Re_\ell^{I^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^n}}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \leq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^n}}(\tau_1) + \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^n}}(\tau_2)}{2}$ and
 $\Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^n}}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \geq \min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^n}}(\tau_1), \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^n}}(\tau_2)\}.$

Similarly, \diamondsuit_2 and \diamondsuit_3 cases.

Hence $\Re = (\Re_\ell^{T^n} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^n}}, \Re_\ell^{I^n} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^n}}, \Re_\ell^{F^n} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^n}})$ is a CQBNSBS of \mathcal{S} .

Let us assume that $\Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$ is a SBS of \mathcal{S} and $\wp_1, \wp_2 \in [0, 1]$. Suppose if there exist $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) > \min\{\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}}(\tau_1), \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}}(\tau_2)\}, \Re_\ell^{I^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) > \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}}(\tau_1) + \Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}}(\tau_2)}{2}$ and $\Re_\ell^{F^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) < \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}}(\tau_1), \Re_\ell^{F^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}}(\tau_2)\}$. For $\wp_1, \wp_2 \in D[0, 1]$ such that $\Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) > \wp_1 \leq \min\{\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}}(\tau_1), \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}}(\tau_2)\}$ and $\Re_\ell^{I^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) > \wp_1 \leq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}}(\tau_1) + \Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}}(\tau_2)}{2}$ and $\Re_\ell^{F^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) < \wp_2 \geq \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}}(\tau_1), \Re_\ell^{F^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}}(\tau_2)\}$. Thus, $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$, but $\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2 \notin \Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$. This contradicts, $\Re^{(\wp_1, \wp_2)}$ is a SBS of \mathcal{S} . Therefore $\Re_\ell^{T^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \geq \min\{\Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}}(\tau_1), \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}}(\tau_2)\}, \Re_\ell^{I^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \geq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}}(\tau_1) + \Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}}(\tau_2)}{2}$ and $\Re_\ell^{F^p}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}}((\tau_1 \diamondsuit_1 \tau_2)^q) \leq \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^p}(\tau_1) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}}(\tau_1), \Re_\ell^{F^p}(\tau_2) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}}(\tau_2)\}$. Similarly, \diamondsuit_2 and \diamondsuit_3 cases.

Hence $\Re = (\Re_\ell^{T^p} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}}, \Re_\ell^{I^p} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}}, \Re_\ell^{F^p} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}})$ is a CQBNSBS of \mathcal{S} .

Definition 3.13. Let $(\mathcal{S}_1, \oplus_1, \ominus_1, \otimes_1)$ and $(\mathcal{S}_2, \biguplus_1, \biguplus_2, \biguplus_3)$ be any two bisemirings. The mapping $\mathbb{k} : \mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$ and ℓ be any CQBNSBS in \mathcal{S}_1 , ϖ be any CQBNSBS in $\mathbb{k}(\mathcal{S}_1) = \mathcal{S}_2$. If $\Re_\ell \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell} = [\Re_\ell^{T^n} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^n}}, \Re_\ell^{I^n} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^n}}, \Re_\ell^{F^n} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^n}}, \Re_\ell \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell}, \Re_\ell^{T^p} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}}, \Re_\ell^{I^p} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}}, \Re_\ell^{F^p} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}}]$ is a CQBS in \mathcal{S}_1 , then \Re_ϖ is a CQBS in \mathcal{S}_2 , defined by

$$\Re_\varpi^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^n}}(\lambda^q) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^n}}(\tau^q) & \text{if } \tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}\lambda \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Re_\varpi^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^n}}(\lambda^q) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^n}}(\tau^q) & \text{if } \tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}\lambda \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Re_\varpi^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^n}}(\lambda^q) = \begin{cases} \bigvee \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^n}}(\tau^q) & \text{if } \tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}\lambda \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Re_\varpi^{T^p}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}}(\lambda^q) = \begin{cases} \bigvee \Re_\ell^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}}(\tau^q) & \text{if } \tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}\lambda \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Re_\varpi^{I^p}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}}(\lambda^q) = \begin{cases} \bigvee \Re_\ell^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}}(\tau^q) & \text{if } \tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}\lambda \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Re_\varpi^{F^p}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}}(\lambda^q) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge \Re_\ell^{F^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}}(\tau^q) & \text{if } \tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}\lambda \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_1$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_2$ is represents the image of R_ℓ under \mathbb{k} .

Similarly, If $\Re_\varpi \cdot e^{x\Im_\varpi} = [\Re_\varpi^{T^n} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^n}}, \Re_\varpi^{I^n} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^n}}, \Re_\varpi^{F^n} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^n}}], \Re_\varpi \cdot e^{x\Im_\varpi}, \Re_\varpi^{T^p} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{T^p}}, \Re_\varpi^{I^p} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{I^p}}, \Re_\varpi^{F^p} \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell^{F^p}}$ is a CQBS in \mathcal{S}_2 , then CQBS $\Re_\ell = \mathbb{k} \circ \Re_\varpi$ in \mathcal{S}_1 ie, the CQBS defined by $\Re_\ell(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell(\tau^q)}, \Re_\varpi(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q)) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))}, \Re_\ell = \mathbb{k} \circ \Re_\varpi$ in \mathcal{S}_1 [ie, the CQBS defined by $\Re_\ell(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell(\tau^q)} = \Re_\varpi(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q)) \cdot e^{x\Im_\ell(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))}$ is represents the preimage of \Re_ϖ under \mathbb{k} .

Theorem 3.14. The homomorphic image of every CQBNSBS is a CQBNSBS.

Proof. The mapping $\mathbb{k} : \mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$ be any homomorphism. Now, $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$, $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_2 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$ and $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_3 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$ for all $\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Let $\varpi = \mathbb{k}(\ell), \ell$ is any CQBNBSS of \mathcal{S}_1 . Let $\mathbb{k}(\tau^q), \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))$ be such that $\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q)} = \bigwedge_{\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q)}$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda^q)} = \bigwedge_{\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q)}$.

Now, $\mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \bigwedge_{(\tau') \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau') \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau')} \\ &= \bigwedge_{(\tau') \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q))} \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau') \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau')} \\ &= \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \\ &\leq \max\{\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda^q)}\} \\ &= \max\{\mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \leq \max\{\mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\}$.

Similarly, $\mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \leq \max\{\mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\}$ and

$\mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \leq \max\{\mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\}$.

Let $\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))$ be such that $\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q)} = \bigwedge_{\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q)}$

and $\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q)} = \bigwedge_{\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q)}$.

Now, $\mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \bigwedge_{(\tau') \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau') \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau')} \\ &= \bigwedge_{(\tau') \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q))} \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau') \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau')} \\ &= \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q)} + \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q)}}{2} \\ &= \frac{\mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)} + \mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \leq \frac{\mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)} + \mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}}{2}.$$

Similarly,

$$\mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \leq \frac{\mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)} + \mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}}{2} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \leq \frac{\mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)} + \mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}}{2}.$$

Let $\mathbb{k}(\tau^q), \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))$ be such that $\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q)} =$

$$\bigvee_{\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q)}$$
 and $\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q)} = \bigvee_{\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \mathfrak{J}_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q)}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Now, } \Re_{\varpi}^{F^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \\
&= \bigvee_{(\tau') \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau') \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau')} \\
&= \bigvee_{(\tau') \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q))} \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau') \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau')} \\
&= \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \\
&\geq \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q)}\} \\
&= \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Re_{\varpi}^{F^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \geq \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\}$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Re_{\varpi}^{F^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \geq \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\} \text{ and} \\
& \Re_{\varpi}^{F^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \geq \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The mapping $\mathbb{k} : \mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$ be any homomorphism. Now, $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$, $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_2 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$ and $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_3 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$ for all $\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Let $\varpi = \mathbb{k}(\ell), \ell$ is any CQB-NSBS of \mathcal{S}_1 . Let $\mathbb{k}(\tau^q), \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))$ be such that $\Re_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau^q)} = \bigvee_{\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} \Re_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau^q)}$ and $\Re_{\ell}^{T^p}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^p}(\lambda^q)} = \bigvee_{\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \Re_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau^q)}$.

Now, $\Re_{\varpi}^{T^p}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^p}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \bigvee_{(\tau') \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \Re_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau') \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau')} \\
&= \bigvee_{(\tau') \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q))} \Re_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau') \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau')} \\
&= \Re_{\ell}^{T^p}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^p}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \\
&\geq \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^p}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^p}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^p}(\lambda^q)}\} \\
&= \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{T^p} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^p} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{T^p} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^p} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Re_{\varpi}^{T^p}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^p}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \geq \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{T^p} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^p} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{T^p} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^p} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\}$.

Similarly, $\Re_{\varpi}^{T^p}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^p}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \geq \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{T^p} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^p} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{T^p} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^p} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\}$ and

$\Re_{\varpi}^{T^p}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^p}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \geq \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{T^p} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^p} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{T^p} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^p} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\}$.

Let $\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))$ be such that $\Re_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau^q)} = \bigvee_{\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} \Re_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau^q)}$

and $\Re_{\ell}^{I^p}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^p}(\lambda^q)} = \bigvee_{\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \Re_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau^q)}$.

Now, $\Re_{\varpi}^{I^p}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^p}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \bigvee_{(\tau') \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \Re_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau') \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau')} \\
&= \bigvee_{(\tau') \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q))} \Re_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau') \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau')} \\
&= \Re_{\ell}^{I^p}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^p}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \\
&\geq \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^p}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^p}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^p}(\lambda^q)}}{2} \\
&= \frac{\Re_{\varpi}^{I^p} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^p} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\varpi}^{I^p} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^p} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \geq \frac{\Re_{\varpi}^{I^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\varpi}^{I^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}}{2}$. Similarly,
 $\Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \geq \frac{\Re_{\varpi}^{I^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\varpi}^{I^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}}{2}$ and
 $\Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \geq \frac{\Re_{\varpi}^{I^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\varpi}^{I^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}}{2}$.
Let $\mathbb{k}(\tau^q), \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))$ be such that $\Re_{\ell}^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P}(\tau^q)} = \bigwedge_{\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} \Re_{\ell}^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P}(\tau^q)}$ and $\Re_{\ell}^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P}(\lambda^q)} = \bigwedge_{\tau \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \Re_{\ell}^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P}(\tau^q)}$.
Now, $\Re_{\varpi}^{F^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \bigwedge_{(\tau') \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \Re_{\ell}^{F^P}(\tau') \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P}(\tau')} \\ &= \bigwedge_{(\tau') \in \mathbb{k}^{-1}(\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q))} \Re_{\ell}^{F^P}(\tau') \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P}(\tau')} \\ &= \Re_{\ell}^{F^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \\ &\leq \max\{\Re_{\ell}^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P}(\lambda^q)}\} \\ &= \max\{\Re_{\varpi}^{F^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{F^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Re_{\varpi}^{F^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \leq \max\{\Re_{\varpi}^{F^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{F^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\}$.
Similarly, $\Re_{\varpi}^{F^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \leq \max\{\Re_{\varpi}^{F^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{F^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\}$ and
 $\Re_{\varpi}^{F^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \leq \max\{\Re_{\varpi}^{F^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{F^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\}$.
Thus, ϖ is a CQBNBS of \mathcal{S}_2 .

Theorem 3.15. *The homomorphic preimage of every CQBNBS is a CQBNBS.*

Proof. The mapping $\mathbb{k} : \mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$ be a homomorphism. Now, $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$, $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_2 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$ and $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_3 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$ for all $\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Let $\varpi = \mathbb{k}(\ell), \varpi$ is a CQBNBS of \mathcal{S}_2 . Let $\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Now, $\Re_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} = \Re_{\varpi}^{T^n}(\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n}(\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q))} = \Re_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \leq \max\{\Re_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\}$, $\Re_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\} = \max\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda^q)}\}$. Thus, $\Re_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^n}(\lambda^q)}\}$.
Now, $\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} = \Re_{\varpi}^{I^n}(\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} = \Re_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \leq \frac{\Re_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}}{2} = \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q)}}{2}$.
Thus, $\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \leq \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^n}(\lambda^q)}}{2}$.
Now, $\Re_{\ell}^{F^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} = \Re_{\varpi}^{F^n}(\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n}(\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q))} = \Re_{\varpi}^{F^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \geq \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^n} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\} = \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q)}\}$.
Thus, $\Re_{\ell}^{F^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^n}(\lambda^q)}\}$.

The mapping $\mathbb{k} : \mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$ be a homomorphism. Now, $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$, $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_2 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$ and $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_3 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$ for all $\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Let $\varpi = \mathbb{k}(\ell), \varpi$ is a CQBNBS of \mathcal{S}_2 . Let $\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Now, $\Re_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} = \Re_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q))} = \Re_{\varpi}^{T^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \geq \min\{\Re_{\varpi}^{T^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\varpi}^{T^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\} = \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda^q)}\}$. Thus, $\Re_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \geq \min\{\Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda^q)}\}$.
Now, $\Re_{\ell}^{I^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} = \Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} = \Re_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \geq \frac{\Re_{\varpi}^{I^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P} \mathbb{k}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\varpi}^{I^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P} \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}}{2} = \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}(\lambda^q)}}{2}$.
Thus, $\Re_{\ell}^{I^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \geq \frac{\Re_{\ell}^{I^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}(\tau^q)} + \Re_{\ell}^{I^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}(\lambda^q)}}{2}$.

Now, $\Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} = \Re_\varpi^{F^P}(\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{F^P}(\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q))} = \Re_\varpi^{F^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{F^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \leq \max\{\Re_\varpi^{F^P}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{F^P}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\varpi^{F^P}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{F^P}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)}\} = \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda^q)}\}. \text{ Thus, } \Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda^q)}\}.$

Theorem 3.16. If $\mathbb{k} : \mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$ is a homomorphism, then $\mathbb{k}(\ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)})$ is a SBS of CQBNBNSBS ϖ of \mathcal{S}_2 .

Proof. The mapping $\mathbb{k} : \mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$ be a homomorphism. Now, $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$, $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_2 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$ and $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_3 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$ for all $\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Let $\varpi = \mathbb{k}(\ell), \ell$ is a CQBNBNSBS of \mathcal{S}_1 . By Theorem 3.14, ϖ is a CQBNBNSBS of \mathcal{S}_2 . Let $\ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)}$ be any SBS of ℓ . Suppose that $\tau, \lambda \in \ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)}$. Then $\tau \ominus_1 \lambda, \tau \ominus_2 \lambda$ and $\tau \ominus_3 \lambda \in \ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)}$. Now, $\Re_\varpi^{T^n}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{T^n}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} = \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q)} \leq \varphi_1$, $\Re_\varpi^{T^n}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{T^n}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} = \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda^q)} \leq \varphi_1$. Thus, $\Re_\varpi^{T^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{T^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \leq \Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \leq \varphi_1$. Now, $\Re_\varpi^{I^n}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{I^n}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} = \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau^q)} \leq \varphi_2$, $\Re_\varpi^{I^n}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{I^n}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} = \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda^q)} \leq \varphi_2$. Thus, $\Re_\varpi^{I^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{I^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \leq \Re_\ell^{I^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \leq \varphi_1$. Now, $\Re_\varpi^{F^n}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{F^n}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} = \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau^q)} \geq \varphi_2$, $\Re_\varpi^{F^n}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{F^n}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} = \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda^q)} \geq \varphi_2$. Thus, $\Re_\varpi^{F^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{F^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \geq \Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \geq \varphi_2$, for all $\mathbb{k}(\tau^q), \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Similarly other operations, $\mathbb{k}(\ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)})$ is a SBS of CQBNBNSBS ϖ of \mathcal{S}_2 . The mapping $\mathbb{k} : \mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$ be a homomorphism. Now, $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$, $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_2 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$ and $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_3 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$ for all $\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Let $\varpi = \mathbb{k}(\ell), \ell$ is a CQBNBNSBS of \mathcal{S}_1 . By Theorem 3.14, ϖ is a CQBNBNSBS of \mathcal{S}_2 . Let $\ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)}$ be any SBS of ℓ . Suppose that $\tau, \lambda \in \ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)}$. Then $\tau \ominus_1 \lambda, \tau \ominus_2 \lambda$ and $\tau \ominus_3 \lambda \in \ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)}$. Now, $\Re_\varpi^{T^P}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{T^P}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} = \Re_\ell^{T^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^P}(\tau^q)} \geq \varphi_1$, $\Re_\varpi^{T^P}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{T^P}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} = \Re_\ell^{T^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^P}(\lambda^q)} \geq \varphi_1$. Thus, $\Re_\varpi^{T^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{T^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \geq \Re_\ell^{T^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \geq \varphi_1$. Now, $\Re_\varpi^{I^P}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{I^P}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} = \Re_\ell^{I^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\tau^q)} \geq \varphi_1$, $\Re_\varpi^{I^P}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{I^P}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} = \Re_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}(\lambda^q)} \geq \varphi_1$. Thus, $\Re_\varpi^{I^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{I^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \geq \Re_\ell^{I^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \geq \varphi_1$. Now, $\Re_\varpi^{F^P}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{F^P}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} = \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\tau^q)} \leq \varphi_2$, $\Re_\varpi^{F^P}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{F^P}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} = \Re_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}(\lambda^q)} \leq \varphi_2$. Thus, $\Re_\varpi^{F^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{F^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \leq \Re_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \leq \varphi_2$, for all $\mathbb{k}(\tau^q), \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Similarly other operations, $\mathbb{k}(\ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)})$ is a SBS of CQBNBNSBS ϖ of \mathcal{S}_2 .

Theorem 3.17. If $\mathbb{k} : \mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$ is any homomorphism, then $\ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)}$ is a SBS of CQBNBNSBS ℓ of \mathcal{S}_1 .

Proof. The mapping $\mathbb{k} : \mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$ be any homomorphism. We have $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$, $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_2 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$ and $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_3 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$ for all $\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Let $\varpi = \mathbb{k}(\ell), \varpi$ is a CQBNBNSBS of \mathcal{S}_2 . By Theorem 3.15, ℓ is a CQBNBNSBS of \mathcal{S}_1 . Let $\mathbb{k}(\ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)})$ be a SBS of ϖ . Suppose that $\mathbb{k}(\tau^q), \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \in \mathbb{k}(\ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)})$. Now, $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q), \mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_2 \lambda)^q)$ and $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_3 \lambda)^q) \in \mathbb{k}(\ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)})$. Now, $\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q)} = \Re_\varpi^{T^n}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{T^n}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} \leq \varphi_1$, $\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda^q)} = \Re_\varpi^{T^n}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{T^n}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \leq \varphi_1$. Thus, $\Re_\ell^{T^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \leq \max\{\Re_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{T^n}(\lambda^q)}\} \leq \varphi_1$. Now, $\Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau^q)} = \Re_\varpi^{I^n}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{I^n}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} \leq \varphi_1$, $\Re_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda^q)} = \Re_\varpi^{I^n}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{I^n}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \leq \varphi_1$. Thus, $\Re_\ell^{I^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \leq \frac{\Re_\ell^{I^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\tau^q)} + \Re_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{I^n}(\lambda^q)}}{2} \leq \varphi_1$. Now, $\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau^q)} = \Re_\varpi^{F^n}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{F^n}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} \geq \varphi_2$, $\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda^q)} = \Re_\varpi^{F^n}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{F^n}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \geq \varphi_2$. Thus, $\Re_\ell^{F^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} = \Re_\varpi^{F^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_\varpi^{F^n}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))}$ $\geq \min\{\Re_\ell^{F^n}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\tau^q)}, \Re_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_\ell^{F^n}(\lambda^q)}\} \geq \varphi_2$, for all $\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Similarly other operations, $\ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)}$ is a SBS of CQBNBNSBS ℓ of \mathcal{S}_1 .

The mapping $\mathbb{k} : \mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_2$ be any homomorphism. We have $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$, $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_2 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_2 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$ and $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_3 \lambda)^q) = \mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_3 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)$ for all $\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Let $\varpi = \mathbb{k}(\ell), \varpi$ is a CQBNBNSBS of

\mathcal{S}_2 . By Theorem 3.15, ℓ is a CQBNBS of \mathcal{S}_1 . Let $\mathbb{k}(\ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)})$ be a SBS of ϖ . Suppose that $\mathbb{k}(\tau^q), \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q) \in \mathbb{k}(\ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)})$. Now, $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q), \mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_2 \lambda)^q)$ and $\mathbb{k}((\tau \ominus_3 \lambda)^q) \in \mathbb{k}(\ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)})$. Now, $\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau^q)} = \mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} \geq \wp_1$, $\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda^q)} = \mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{T^P}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \geq \wp_1$. Thus, $\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \geq \min\{\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\tau^q)}, \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{T^P}(\lambda^q)}\} \geq \wp_1$. Now, $\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{I^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}(\tau^q)} = \mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} \geq \wp_1$, $\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{I^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}(\lambda^q)} = \mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{I^P}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \geq \wp_1$. Thus, $\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{I^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} \geq \frac{\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{I^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}(\tau^q)} + \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{I^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{I^P}(\lambda^q)}}{2} \geq \wp_1$. Now, $\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P}(\tau^q)} = \mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{F^P}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P}(\mathbb{k}(\tau^q))} \leq \wp_2$, $\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P}(\lambda^q)} = \mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{F^P}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P}(\mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))} \leq \wp_2$. Thus, $\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{F^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P}((\tau \ominus_1 \lambda)^q)} = \mathfrak{R}_{\varpi}^{F^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q))) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\varpi}^{F^P}((\mathbb{k}(\tau^q) \uplus_1 \mathbb{k}(\lambda^q)))} \leq \max\{\mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{F^P}(\tau^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P}(\tau^q)}, \mathfrak{R}_{\ell}^{F^P}(\lambda^q) \cdot e^{x \Im_{\ell}^{F^P}(\lambda^q)}\} \leq \wp_2$, for all $\tau, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}_1$. Similarly other operations, $\ell_{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)}$ is a SBS of CQBNBS ℓ of \mathcal{S}_1 .

4 Conclusion

This paper presents a novel form of CQBNBS. Three grades are expressed in terms of a complex number by the complex bipolar neutrosophic subbisemiring, which approaches the concept of three grades in a novel way. A bipolar neutrosophic SBS carrying complicated interval values was defined. Level sets for CQBNBS and CQBNNSBS were defined. Applying the set to bisemiring is our aim. Furthermore, an analysis of the properties of various conversions is conducted. As a result, we should think about using soft set CQBNBS, soft set CQBNNSBS in the future.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the University of Phayao and the Thailand Science Research and Innovation Fund (Fundamental Fund 2024).

Conflicts of Interest The author(s) declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*, 8, (1965), 338-353.
- [2] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20(1), (1986) 87-96.
- [3] R. R. Yager, Pythagorean membership grades in multi criteria decision-making, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Systems*, 22, (2014), 958-965.
- [4] S. Ashraf, S. Abdullah, T. Mahmood, F. Ghani and T. Mahmood, Spherical fuzzy sets and their applications in multi-attribute decision making problems, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 36, (2019), 2829-284.
- [5] B.C. Cuong and V. Kreinovich, Picture fuzzy sets a new concept for computational intelligence problems, in Proceedings of 2013 Third World Congress on Information and Communication Technologies (WICT 2013), IEEE, (2013), 1-6.
- [6] W. R. Zhang, Bipolar fuzzy sets and relations: a computational framework for cognitive modeling and multiagent decision analysis, In Proceedings of the first international joint conference of the north american fuzzy information processing society biannual conference, the industrial fuzzy control and intelligence, IEEE, (1994), 305-309.
- [7] K. M. Lee, Comparasion of interval valued fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, and bipolar fuzzy sets. *J. Fuzzy Logic Intel Syst.* 14 (2004), 125-129

- [8] F. Smarandache, A unifying field in logics Neutrosophy Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic, Rehoboth American Research Press (1999).
- [9] Daniel Ramot, Ron Milo, Menahem Friedman, and Abraham Kandel, complex fuzzy set, IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy System, 10(2), 2002.
- [10] S.J Golan, Semirings and their Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, 1999.
- [11] Faward Hussian, Raja Muhammad Hashim, Ajab Khan, Muhammad Naeem, Generalization of bisemirings, International Journal of Computer Science and Information Security, 14(9), (2016), 275-289.
- [12] J. Ahsan, K. Saifullah, and F. Khan, Fuzzy semirings, Fuzzy Sets and systems, 60, (1993), 309-320.
- [13] M.K Sen, S. Ghosh An introduction to bisemirings, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 28(3), (2001), 547-559.
- [14] Hasan, Z. "Deep Learning for Super Resolution and Applications," Journal of Galois: Journal of Mathematical Structures and Applications, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 34-42, 2023.
- [15] Roopadevi1., P. Karpagadevi, M. Krishnaprakash, S. Broumi, S. Gomathi, S. "Comprehensive Decision-Making with Spherical Fermatean Neutrosophic Sets in Structural Engineering," Journal of International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 432-450, 2024.
- [16] SG Quek, H Garg, G Selvachandran, M Palanikumar, K Arulmozhi, VIKOR and TOPSIS framework with a truthful-distance measure for the (t, s)-regulated interval-valued neutrosophic soft set, Soft Computing, 1–27, 2023.
- [17] M Palanikumar, K Arulmozhi, A Iampan, Multi criteria group decision making based on VIKOR and TOPSIS methods for Fermatean fuzzy soft with aggregation operators, ICIC Express Letters 16 (10), (2022), 1129–1138.
- [18] Mahmoud, H. Abdelhafeez, A. "Spherical Fuzzy Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Approach for Risk Assessment of Natach," Journal of Neutrosophic and Information Fusion, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 59-68, 2023.
- [19] M Palanikumar, S Broumi, Square root (l_1, l_2)phantine neutrosophic normal interval-valued sets and their aggregated operators in application to multiple attribute decision making, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, 4, (2022).
- [20] Ozcek, M. "A Review on the Structure of Fuzzy Regular Proper Mappings in Fuzzy Topological Spaces and Their Properties," Journal of Pure Mathematics for Theoretical Computer Science, vol. 3, no. 2, pp.60-71, 2023.
- [21] Ali, O. Mashhadani, S. Alhakam, I. M., S. "A New Paradigm for Decision Making under Uncertainty in Signature Forensics Applications based on Neutrosophic Rule Engine," Journal of International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 268-282, 2024.
- [22] M Palanikumar, N Kausar, H Garg, A Iampan, S Kadry, M Sharaf, Medical robotic engineering selection based on square root neutrosophic normal interval-valued sets and their aggregated operators, AIMS Mathematics, 8(8), (2023), 17402–17432.
- [23] A. Al Quran, A. G. Ahmad, F. Al-Sharqi, A. Lutfi, Q-complex Neutrosophic Setâ, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, 20(2), (2023), 08–19.
- [24] F. Al-Sharqi, M. U. Romdhini, A. Al-Quran, Group decision-making based on aggregation operator and score function of Q -neutrosophic soft matrix, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 45, (2023), 305–321.
- [25] F. Al-Sharqi, Y. Al-Qudah and N. Alotaibi, Decision-making techniques based on similarity measures of possibility neutrosophic soft expert sets. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 55(1), (2023), 358–382.
- [26] F. Al-Sharqi, A. G. Ahmad, A. Al Quran, Mapping on interval complex neutrosophic soft sets, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, 19(4), (2022), 77–85.
- [27] A. Al-Quran, F. Al-Sharqi, K. Ullah, M. U. Romdhini, M. Balti and M. Alomai, Bipolar fuzzy hypersoft set and its application in decision making, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, 20(4), (2023), 65–77.