



# An Introduction to Neutro-Fine Topology with Separation Axioms and Decision Making

V. Chinnadurai<sup>1</sup> and M. P. Sindhu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, TN, India; kv.chinnaduraimaths@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Karpagam College of Engineering, Coimbatore, TN, India; [sindhu.mp@kce.ac.in](mailto:sindhu.mp@kce.ac.in)

Correspondence: kv.chinnaduraimaths@gmail.com

## Abstract

The set which describes the uncertainty incident with three levels of attributes is entitled as a neutrosophic set. The unique collection of open sets which contains all types of open sets is termed as fine-open sets. The current study introduces a topology on merging these two sets, called neutro-fine topological space. Additionally, the approach of separation axioms is implemented in such space. Furthermore, the real-life application is examined as a decision-making problem in this space. The problem is to make an unfavorable query into a favorable one by determining the complement and absolute complement of such issued neutro-fine open sets. This problem desires to find a positive solution. The solving stepwise mechanism reveals in the algorithm, also formulae provide to compute the outcome with explanatory examples.

**Keywords:** Subset of neutrosophic sets, neutro-fine sets (NFSs), neutro-fine topological space (NFTS), neutro-fine open sets (NFOs), neutro-fine interior and closure, neutro-fine  $T_{i=0,1,2}$ -spaces, absolute complement, decision making (DM).

## 1. Introduction

The fuzzy set (FS) is an advanced version of the classical set. FS was introduced in (1965) by Zadeh [32], whose elements describe vague features as true and false membership functions. The FS theory applied in the boundless area of a domain, while in (1986) this theory was extended as an intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) theory by Atanassov [6]. Later, in 1998 Smarandache [26] explored a neutrosophic set (NS) that contains one more membership function called indeterminacy degrees. Also, he widespreaded the NS on IFS [27] and newly projected his work on features valued set, called plithogenic set (PS) [28]. Nowadays, these sets made an outstanding impact on many applications [1, 2, 11, 18, 29] and plays vital role in COVID-19 [25], decision making (DM) problems [3, 4, 5] and multi-criteria DM (MCDM) problems [10, 21].

Topology is a study of flexible objects under frequent damages without splitting. In recent times, topological space (TS) is performing a lead character in the enormous branch of applied sciences and numerous categories of mathematics. The topological structure developed on NS as a generalization of IFTS which was originated in (2012) by Salama & Alblowi [23, 24], named as neutrosophic topological space (NTS). Few typical sets, open sets, and other TS explored [8, 9, 14, 15, 20, 30], and extended to separation axioms [7, 31] on such TS.

The most general class of sets which contains few open sets termed as fine-open sets (FOSs), in (2012) by Powar & Rajak [16], and investigated the special case of generalized TS, called fine-topological space (FTS). Many researchers studied this concept on some sets like FS [13, 22] and others [12, 19, 17].

This paper desires to initiate the new form of TS to put together NS and FS, through defining the concept of the subset of NSs on these TS. The notion of the interior and closure are launched and certain theorems are stated with proof, also disproved in counter examples. The idea of separation axioms is also executed in NFTS. Moreover, the DM problem describes the negative state of the problem into a positive solution by determining the absolute complement of each NFOS. The procedure of this problem-solving method is listed in the algorithm and a unique decision is computed with the specified formula.

The layout of this study are arranged as follows. In Section 2, essential definitions of NS and FOS are recollected. In Section 3, the subset of NS, NFS, NFTS, interior, and closure of NFTS are defined and investigated its properties with illustrative examples. In Section 4, the correlation of neutro-fine  $T_{i=0,1,2}$ -spaces are explored via perfect examples. In Section 5, the real-life application is intimated to take a correct decision on DM problems and an example is investigated in two different manners. At the end of Section 6, the conclusions are conveyed with future works.

## 2. Fundamental concepts

In this section, some essential definitions associated with this work are pointed.

**Definition 2.1 [27]** Let  $W$  be a non-empty set and  $w \in W$ . A NS  $R$  in  $W$  is characterized by a truth-membership function  $T_R$ , an indeterminacy-membership function  $I_R$ , and a false-membership function  $F_R$  which are subsets of  $]0, 1^+[$  and is defined as

$$R = \{ \langle w, T_R(w), I_R(w), F_R(w) \rangle : w \in W \},$$

where

$$0 \leq \sup T_R(w) + \sup I_R(w) + \sup F_R(w) \leq 3.$$

**Definition 2.2 [31]** Let  $NS(W)$  be the family of all NSs over the universe  $W$  and  $w \in W$ . Then NS is said to be a neutrosophic point (NP), for  $0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq 1$  and is defined as follows:

$$w^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \rangle}(v) = \begin{cases} (\alpha, \beta, \gamma), & \text{if } w = v \\ (0, 0, 1), & \text{if } w \neq v \end{cases}.$$

Every NS is the union of its NPs.

**Example 2.3 [31]** Let  $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ . Then NS

$$R = \{ \langle w_1, .2, .4, .7 \rangle, \langle w_2, .6, .3, .1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .4, .5, .6 \rangle \}$$

is the union of NPs  $w_1^{\langle 2, 4, 7 \rangle}$ ,  $w_2^{\langle 6, 3, 1 \rangle}$  and  $w_3^{\langle 4, 5, 6 \rangle}$ .

**Definition 2.4 [23]** Let  $NS(W)$  denote the family of all NSs over  $W$  and  $\tau_n \subset NS(W)$ . Then  $\tau_n$  is called a neutrosophic topology (NT) on  $W$  if it satisfies the following conditions

- (i)  $0_n, 1_n \in \tau_n$ , where null NS  $0_n = \{ \langle w, 0, 0, 1 \rangle : w \in W \}$  and an absolute NS  $1_n = \{ \langle w, 1, 1, 0 \rangle : w \in W \}$ .
- (ii) the intersection of any finite number of members of  $\tau_n$  belongs to  $\tau_n$ .
- (iii) the union of any collection of members of  $\tau_n$  belongs to  $\tau_n$ .

Then the pair  $(W, \tau_n)$  is called a NTS.

Every member of  $\tau_n$  is called  $\tau_n$ -open neutrosophic set ( $\tau_n$ -ONS). An NS is called  $\tau_n$ -closed ( $\tau_n$ -CNS) if and only if its complement is  $\tau_n$ -ONS.

**Definition 2.5 [23]** Let  $R$  be a NS over  $W$ . Then the complement of  $R$  is denoted by  $R'$  and defined by

$$R' = \{ \langle w, F_R(w), 1 - I_R(w), T_R(w) \rangle : w \in W \} .$$

Clearly,  $(R')' = R$ .

**Example 2.6 [23]** Let  $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  and  $\tau_n = \{0_n, 1_n, R, S, T, U\}$  where  $R, S, T$ , and  $U$  are NSs over  $W$  and are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \{ \langle w_1, .2, .4, .7 \rangle, \langle w_2, .6, .3, .1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .4, .5, .6 \rangle \}, \\ S &= \{ \langle w_1, .9, .3, .6 \rangle, \langle w_2, .6, .5, .4 \rangle, \langle w_3, .7, .8, .1 \rangle \}, \\ T &= \{ \langle w_1, .9, .4, .6 \rangle, \langle w_2, .6, .5, .1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .7, .8, .1 \rangle \} \text{ and} \\ U &= \{ \langle w_1, .2, .3, .7 \rangle, \langle w_2, .6, .3, .4 \rangle, \langle w_3, .4, .5, .6 \rangle \}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $R \cup S = T$ ,  $R \cup T = T$ ,  $R \cup U = R$ ,  $S \cup T = T$ ,  $S \cup U = S$ ,  $T \cup U = T$  and  $R \cap S = U$ ,  $R \cap T = R$ ,  $R \cap U = U$ ,  $S \cap T = S$ ,  $S \cap U = U$ ,  $T \cap U = U$ .

Then  $R, S, T$  and  $U$  are  $\tau_n$ -ONSs over  $W$ .

Thus  $(W, \tau_n)$  is a NTS over  $W$ .

The complement of  $\tau_n$ -ONSs are

$$\begin{aligned} R' &= \{ \langle w_1, .7, .6, .2 \rangle, \langle w_2, .1, .7, .6 \rangle, \langle w_3, .6, .5, .4 \rangle \}, \\ S' &= \{ \langle w_1, .6, .7, .9 \rangle, \langle w_2, .4, .5, .6 \rangle, \langle w_3, .1, .2, .7 \rangle \}, \\ T' &= \{ \langle w_1, .6, .6, .9 \rangle, \langle w_2, .1, .5, .6 \rangle, \langle w_3, .1, .2, .7 \rangle \} \text{ and} \\ U' &= \{ \langle w_1, .7, .7, .2 \rangle, \langle w_2, .4, .7, .6 \rangle, \langle w_3, .6, .5, .4 \rangle \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $R', S', T'$  and  $U'$  are  $\tau_n$ -CNSs over  $W$ .

**Definition 2.7 [16]** Let  $(W, \tau)$  be a topological space and define

$$\zeta(R_i) = \zeta_i = \{K_i (\neq W) : K_i \subset W, R_i \cap K_i \neq \emptyset, \text{ for } R_i \in \tau \text{ and } R_i \neq \emptyset, W, \text{ for some } i \in I, \text{ where } I \text{ is the index set}\}.$$

Now, define  $\tau_f = \{ \emptyset, W, \bigcup_{i \in I} \zeta_i \}$ .

This collection  $\tau_f$  of subsets of  $W$  is called the fine collection of subsets of  $W$  and  $(W, \tau, \tau_f)$  is said to be the fine space  $W$  generated by the topology  $\tau$  on  $W$ .

**Definition 2.8 [16]** A subset  $U$  of a fine space  $W$  is said to be fine-open sets of  $W$  if  $U$  belongs to the collection  $\tau_f$  and the complement of every fine-open set of  $W$  is called the fine-closed sets of  $W$  and denote the collection by  $F_f$ .

**Example 2.9 [16]** Let  $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  with topology  $\tau = \{ \emptyset, W, \{w_1\} \}$ .

Clearly,  $(W, \tau)$  is a topological space over  $W$ .

Then  $(W, \tau, \tau_f)$  is a fine-topological space over  $W$ ,

where the members in

$$\tau_f = \{ \emptyset, W, \{w_1\}, \{w_1, w_2\}, \{w_1, w_3\} \}$$

are fine-open sets, and in

$$F_f = \{ \emptyset, W, \{w_2, w_3\}, \{w_3\}, \{w_2\} \}$$

are fine-closed sets.

**Example 2.10 [16]** Let  $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  with topology  $\tau = \{ \emptyset, W, \{w_1\}, \{w_1, w_3\} \}$ .

Clearly,  $(W, \tau)$  is a topological space over  $W$ .

Then the collection of fine-open sets is

$$\tau_f = \{\emptyset, W, \{w_1\}, \{w_1, w_2\}, \{w_1, w_3\}, \{w_3\}, \{w_3, w_2\}\}$$

and the collection of fine-closed sets is

$$F_f = \{\emptyset, W, \{w_2, w_3\}, \{w_3\}, \{w_2\}, \{w_1, w_2\}, \{w_1\}\}.$$

Thus  $(W, \tau, \tau_f)$  is a fine-topological space over  $W$ .

Here  $\{w_1, w_2\}, \{w_3, w_2\} \in \tau_f$  but  $\{w_1, w_2\} \cap \{w_3, w_2\} = \{w_2\} \notin \tau_f$ .

Also,  $\{w_1\}, \{w_3\} \in F_f$  but  $\{w_1\} \cup \{w_3\} = \{w_1, w_3\} \notin F_f$ .

Hence  $(W, \tau_f)$  and  $(W, F_f)$  are not topological space over  $W$ .

### 3. Neutro-Fine Topology

In this section, the conception of NFTS is defined and probable results are carried by some major expressive examples.

**Definition 3.1** Let  $W$  be a set of universe and  $w_i \in W$  where  $i \in I$ . Let  $R$  be a NS over  $W$ . Then the subset of NS (sub-NS)  $R$  is denoted as  $\varsigma_R(W^*)$  and defined as

$$\varsigma_R(W^*) = \left\{ \langle w_i, T_R(w_i), I_R(w_i), F_R(w_i) \rangle, \langle w_{i,j}, \max(T_R(w_i), T_R(w_j)), \max(I_R(w_i), I_R(w_j)), \min(F_R(w_i), F_R(w_j)) \rangle \right\}$$

where  $i, j \in I$  and  $i \neq j$ .

Clearly,  $(w_{i,j}) = (w_{j,i})$ .

**Example 3.2** Let  $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  be a set of features of the refrigerator, where  $w_1$  = energy efficiency,  $w_2$  = capacity,  $w_3$  = price. Let  $R$  be a NS over  $W$ , defined as

$$R = \left\{ \langle w_1, .7, .5, .4 \rangle, \langle w_2, .2, .7, .9 \rangle, \langle w_3, .4, .1, .3 \rangle \right\}.$$

Then the sub-NS  $R$  is

$$\varsigma_R(W^*) = \left\{ \langle w_1, .7, .5, .4 \rangle, \langle w_2, .2, .7, .9 \rangle, \langle w_3, .4, .1, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .7, .7, .4 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .7, .5, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .4, .7, .3 \rangle \right\}.$$

**Definition 3.3** Let  $W$  be a set of universe and  $w_i \in W$  where  $i \in I$ . Let  $R$  be a NS over  $W$ . Then the subset of NS  $R$  with respect to  $w_i$  (sub-NS  $R_{w_i}$ ) and  $w_i, w_j$  (sub-NS  $R_{w_i, w_j}$ ) are denoted as  $\varsigma_R(w_i)$  and  $\varsigma_R(w_i, w_j)$ , and defined as

$$\varsigma_R(w_i) = \left\{ \langle w_i, T_R(w_i), I_R(w_i), F_R(w_i) \rangle, \langle w_{i,j}, \max(T_R(w_i), T_R(w_j)), \max(I_R(w_i), I_R(w_j)), \min(F_R(w_i), F_R(w_j)) \rangle, \right. \\ \left. \langle w_k, T_R(0_n), I_R(0_n), F_R(0_n) \rangle, \langle w_{k,l}, T_R(0_n), I_R(0_n), F_R(0_n) \rangle \right\}$$

where  $i \in I$ ,  $j \in I - \{i\}$ ,  $k, l \in I - \{i, j\}$  and  $k \neq l$

and

$$\varsigma_R(w_i, w_j) = \left\{ \langle w_i, T_R(w_i), I_R(w_i), F_R(w_i) \rangle, \langle w_j, T_R(w_j), I_R(w_j), F_R(w_j) \rangle, \langle w_k, T_R(0_n), I_R(0_n), F_R(0_n) \rangle \right. \\ \left. \langle w_{i,j}, \max(T_R(w_i), T_R(w_j)), \max(I_R(w_i), I_R(w_j)), \min(F_R(w_i), F_R(w_j)) \rangle, \right. \\ \left. \langle w_{i,k}, \max(T_R(w_i), T_R(w_k)), \max(I_R(w_i), I_R(w_k)), \min(F_R(w_i), F_R(w_k)) \rangle, \right. \\ \left. \langle w_{j,k}, \max(T_R(w_j), T_R(w_k)), \max(I_R(w_j), I_R(w_k)), \min(F_R(w_j), F_R(w_k)) \rangle \right\}$$

where  $i, j, k \in I$  and  $i \neq j \neq k$ , respectively.

**Definition 3.4** Let  $W$  be a set of universe and  $w \in W$ . Let  $R$  be a NS over  $W$  and  $V$  be any proper non-empty subset of  $W$ . Then  $\varsigma_R(V)$  is said to be neutro-fine set (NFS) over  $W$ .

**Example 3.5** Consider Example 3.2.

Then NFS  $\varsigma_R(w_3)$  is defined as

$$\varsigma_R(w_3) = \left\{ \langle w_3, .4, .1, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .7, .5, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .4, .7, .3 \rangle \right\}.$$

That is,

$$\varsigma_R(w_3) = \left\{ \langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .4, .1, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .7, .5, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .4, .7, .3 \rangle \right\}.$$

and NFS  $\varsigma_R(w_1, w_2)$  is defined as

$$\varsigma_R(w_1, w_2) = \left\{ \langle w_1, .7, .5, .4 \rangle, \langle w_2, .2, .7, .9 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .7, .7, .4 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .7, .5, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .4, .7, .3 \rangle \right\}.$$

That is,

$$\varsigma_R(w_1, w_2) = \left\{ \langle w_1, .7, .5, .4 \rangle, \langle w_2, .2, .7, .9 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .7, .7, .4 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .7, .5, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .4, .7, .3 \rangle \right\}.$$

**Definition 3.6** Let  $V$  be any proper non- empty subset of  $W$ . Then the null NFS is denoted as  $0_{nf}$  and defined as

$$0_{nf} = \left\{ \langle V, T_R(V) = 0, I_R(V) = 0, F_R(V) = 1 \rangle : \forall V \right\}.$$

**Definition 3.7** Let  $V$  be any proper non- empty subset of  $W$ . Then the absolute NFS is denoted as  $1_{nf}$  and defined as

$$1_{nf} = \left\{ \langle V, T_R(V) = 1, I_R(V) = 1, F_R(V) = 0 \rangle : \forall V \right\}.$$

**Definition 3.8** Let  $\varsigma_R(V_1)$  and  $\varsigma_R(V_2)$  be two NFSs over  $W$ . Then their union is denoted as

$\varsigma_R(V_1) \cup \varsigma_R(V_2) = \varsigma_R(V_{1 \vee 2})$  and is defined as

$$\varsigma_R(V_{1 \vee 2}) = \left\{ \langle V_{1 \vee 2}, \max(T_R(V_1), T_R(V_2)), \max(I_R(V_1), I_R(V_2)), \min(F_R(V_1), F_R(V_2)) \rangle : \forall V \subset W, V \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

**Definition 3.9** Let  $\varsigma_R(V_1)$  and  $\varsigma_R(V_2)$  be two NFSs over  $W$ . Then their intersection is denoted as

$\varsigma_R(V_1) \cap \varsigma_R(V_2) = \varsigma_R(V_{1 \wedge 2})$  and is defined as

$$\varsigma_R(V_{1 \wedge 2}) = \left\{ \langle V_{1 \wedge 2}, \min(T_R(V_1), T_R(V_2)), \min(I_R(V_1), I_R(V_2)), \max(F_R(V_1), F_R(V_2)) \rangle : \forall V \subset W, V \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

**Definition 3.10** Let  $\varsigma_R(V)$  be a NFS over  $W$ . Then its complement is denoted as  $\varsigma_R(V)'$  and is defined as

$$\varsigma_R(V)' = \left\{ \langle V, T_R(V), 1 - I_R(V), F_R(V) \rangle : \forall V \subset W, V \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Clearly,  $(\varsigma_R(V))' = \varsigma_R(V)$ .

**Definition 3.11** Let  $\varsigma_R(V_1)$  and  $\varsigma_R(V_2)$  be two NFSs over  $W$ . Then  $\varsigma_R(V_1)$  is said to be a neutro-fine subset of  $\varsigma_R(V_2)$  if

$$T_R(V_1) \leq T_R(V_2), T_R(I_1) \leq T_R(I_2), F_R(V_1) \geq F_R(V_2), \forall V \subset W, V \neq \emptyset.$$

It is denoted by  $\varsigma_R(V_1) \subseteq \varsigma_R(V_2)$ .

Also  $\varsigma_R(V_1)$  is said to be neutro-fine equal to  $\varsigma_R(V_2)$  if  $\varsigma_R(V_1)$  is a neutro-fine subset of  $\varsigma_R(V_2)$  and  $\varsigma_R(V_2)$  is a neutro-fine subset of  $\varsigma_R(V_1)$ . It is denoted by  $\varsigma_R(V_1) = \varsigma_R(V_2)$ .

**Proposition 3.12** Let  $\varsigma_R(V_1), \varsigma_R(V_2)$  and  $\varsigma_R(V_3)$  be NFSs over  $W$ . Then,

$$(i) \quad \varsigma_R(V_1) \cup 0_{nf} = \varsigma_R(V_1).$$

$$(ii) \quad \varsigma_R(V_1) \cup 1_{nf} = 1_{nf}.$$

$$(iii) \quad \varsigma_R(V_1) \cup [\varsigma_R(V_2) \cup \varsigma_R(V_3)] = [\varsigma_R(V_1) \cup \varsigma_R(V_2)] \cup \varsigma_R(V_3).$$

$$(iv) \quad \varsigma_R(V_1) \cup [\varsigma_R(V_2) \cap \varsigma_R(V_3)] = [\varsigma_R(V_1) \cup \varsigma_R(V_2)] \cap [\varsigma_R(V_1) \cup \varsigma_R(V_3)].$$

Proof. Straightforward.

**Proposition 3.13** Let  $\varsigma_R(V_1), \varsigma_R(V_2)$  and  $\varsigma_R(V_3)$  be NFSs over  $W$ . Then,

- (i)  $\varsigma_R(V_1) \cap 0_{nf} = 0_{nf}$ .
- (ii)  $\varsigma_R(V_1) \cap 1_{nf} = \varsigma_R(V_1)$ .
- (iii)  $\varsigma_R(V_1) \cap [\varsigma_R(V_2) \cap \varsigma_R(V_3)] = [\varsigma_R(V_1) \cap \varsigma_R(V_2)] \cap \varsigma_R(V_3)$ .
- (iv)  $\varsigma_R(V_1) \cap [\varsigma_R(V_2) \cup \varsigma_R(V_3)] = [\varsigma_R(V_1) \cap \varsigma_R(V_2)] \cup [\varsigma_R(V_1) \cap \varsigma_R(V_3)]$ .

Proof. Straightforward.

**Proposition 3.14** Let  $\varsigma_R(V_1)$  and  $\varsigma_R(V_2)$  be two NFSs over  $W$ . Then,

- (i)  $[\varsigma_R(V_1) \cup \varsigma_R(V_2)]' = \varsigma_R(V_1)' \cap \varsigma_R(V_2)'$ .
- (ii)  $[\varsigma_R(V_1) \cap \varsigma_R(V_2)]' = \varsigma_R(V_1)' \cup \varsigma_R(V_2)'$ .

Proof. Straightforward.

**Proposition 3.15** Let  $\varsigma_R(V_1), \varsigma_R(V_2)$  and  $\varsigma_S(V_1)$  be NFSs over  $W$ . Then,

- (i)  $R \subseteq S \Rightarrow \varsigma_R(V_1) \subseteq \varsigma_S(V_1)$ .
- (ii)  $\varsigma_R(V_1) \cup \varsigma_R(V_2) = \varsigma_R(V_1 \cup V_2)$ .
- (iii)  $\varsigma_R(V_1) \cap \varsigma_R(V_2) \subseteq \varsigma_R(V_1)$  and  $\varsigma_R(V_1) \cap \varsigma_R(V_2) \subseteq \varsigma_R(V_2)$ .
- (iv)  $\varsigma_R(V_1) \cup \varsigma_R(V_2) \supseteq \varsigma_R(V_1)$  and  $\varsigma_R(V_1) \cup \varsigma_R(V_2) \supseteq \varsigma_R(V_2)$ .
- (v)  $\varsigma_R(V_1) \subseteq \varsigma_R(V_2) \Rightarrow \varsigma_R(V_1)' \supseteq \varsigma_R(V_2)'$ .

Proof. Straightforward.

**Definition 3.16** Let  $NFS(W)$  be the family of all NFSs over  $W$ . Then the fine collection of  $\varsigma_R(V)$  is denoted as  ${}^f\varsigma_W$  and defined over the NT  $(W, \tau_n)$  as

$${}^f\varsigma_W = \{0_{nf}, 1_{nf}, \cup \varsigma_R(V)\}.$$

Thus the triplet  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\varsigma_W)$  is said to be a neutro-fine topological space (NFTS) over  $(W, \tau_n)$ .

The elements belong to  ${}^f\varsigma_W$  are said to be neutro-fine open sets (NFOSS) over  $(W, \tau_n)$  and the complement of NFOSS are said to be neutro-fine closed sets (NFCCS) over  $(W, \tau_n)$  and denote the collection by  ${}^F\varsigma_W$ .

**Remark 3.17** If  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\varsigma_W)$  is a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$ , then  $(W, {}^f\varsigma_W)$  and  $(W, {}^F\varsigma_W)$  are not NTSs over  $W$ .

**Definition 3.18** Let  $NFS(W)$  be the family of all NFSs over  $W$ . Then  ${}^f\varsigma_W$  is said be neutro-fine indiscrete topology if  ${}^f\varsigma_W = \{0_{nf}, 1_{nf}\}$ . Thus  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\varsigma_W)$  is said to be a neutro-fine indiscrete topological space over  $(W, \tau_n)$ .

**Definition 3.19** Let  $NFS(W)$  be the family of all NFSs over  $W$ . Then  ${}^f\varsigma_W$  is said be neutro-fine discrete topology if  ${}^f\varsigma_W = NFS(W)$ . Thus  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\varsigma_W)$  is said to be a neutro-fine discrete topological space over  $(W, \tau_n)$ .

**Example 3.20** Let  $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  and  $\tau_n = \{0_n, 1_n, R, S\}$  where  $R$  and  $S$  are NSs over  $W$  and are defined as follows

$$R = \{\langle w_1, .1, .2, .8 \rangle, \langle w_2, .4, .7, .3 \rangle, \langle w_3, .6, .5, .2 \rangle\}$$

And

$$S = \{\langle w_1, .6, .5, .3 \rangle, \langle w_2, .9, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .7, .6, .1 \rangle\}.$$

Thus  $(W, \tau_n)$  is a NTS over  $W$ .

Then  ${}^f\varsigma_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \varsigma_R(w_1), \varsigma_R(w_2, w_3), \varsigma_S(w_2)\}$ ,

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varsigma_R(w_1) &= \{ \langle w_1, .1, .2, .8 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .4, .7, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .6, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle \}, \\ \varsigma_R(w_2, w_3) &= \{ \langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, .4, .7, .3 \rangle, \langle w_3, .6, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .4, .7, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .6, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .6, .7, .2 \rangle \}, \\ \varsigma_S(w_2) &= \{ \langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, .9, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .9, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .9, .8, .1 \rangle \} \end{aligned}$$

are NFOSs over  $(W, \tau_n)$ .

Also,  ${}^F\varsigma_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \varsigma_R(w_1)', \varsigma_R(w_2, w_3)', \varsigma_S(w_2)'\}$ ,

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varsigma_R(w_1)' &= \{ \langle w_1, .8, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_2, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_3, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .3, .3, .4 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .2, .5, .6 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, 1, 1, 0 \rangle \}, \\ \varsigma_R(w_2, w_3)' &= \{ \langle w_1, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_2, .3, .3, .4 \rangle, \langle w_3, .2, .5, .6 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .3, .3, .4 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .2, .5, .6 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .2, .3, .6 \rangle \}, \\ \varsigma_S(w_2)' &= \{ \langle w_1, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_2, .1, .2, .9 \rangle, \langle w_3, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .1, .2, .9 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .1, .2, .9 \rangle \} \end{aligned}$$

are NFCSSs over  $(W, \tau_n)$ .

Thus  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\varsigma_W)$  is a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$ .

Since  $\varsigma_R(w_1) \cup \varsigma_S(w_2) \notin {}^f\varsigma_W$  and  $\varsigma_R(w_1) \cap \varsigma_S(w_2) \notin {}^f\varsigma_W$ ,  ${}^f\varsigma_W$  does not satisfy the conditions of a NT.

Also, since  $\varsigma_R(w_1)' \cup \varsigma_S(w_2)' \notin {}^F\varsigma_W$  and  $\varsigma_R(w_1)' \cap \varsigma_S(w_2)' \notin {}^F\varsigma_W$ ,  ${}^F\varsigma_W$  does not satisfy the conditions of a NT.

Hence  $(W, {}^f\varsigma_W)$  and  $(W, {}^F\varsigma_W)$  are not NTSSs over  $W$ .

**Definition 3.21** Let  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\varsigma_W)$  be a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$ . Let  $\varsigma_R(V)$  be a NFS over  $W$ . Then the neutro-fine interior of  $\varsigma_R(V)$  is denoted as  $Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V))$  and is defined as the union of all NFOSs contained in  $\varsigma_R(V)$ .

Clearly,  $Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V))$  is the largest NFOS contained in  $\varsigma_R(V)$ .

**Definition 3.22** Let  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\varsigma_W)$  be a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$ . Let  $\varsigma_R(V)$  be a NFS over  $W$ . Then the neutro-fine closure of  $\varsigma_R(V)$  is denoted as  $Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V))$  and is defined as the intersection of all NFCSSs containing  $\varsigma_R(V)$ .

Clearly,  $Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V))$  is the smallest NFCSS containing  $\varsigma_R(V)$ .

**Example 3.23** Consider Example 3.20.

Let us consider the NS  $R$ .

Then the NFS  $\varsigma_R(w_1, w_3)$  is defined as

$$\varsigma_R(w_1, w_3) = \{ \langle w_1, .1, .2, .8 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .6, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .4, .7, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .6, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .6, .7, .2 \rangle \}.$$

Clearly,

$$\varsigma_R(w_1, w_3) \supseteq 0_n, \varsigma_R(w_1).$$

Thus

$$Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(w_1, w_3)) = 0_n \cup \varsigma_R(w_1) = \varsigma_R(w_1).$$

The NFS  $\varsigma_R(w_2)$  is defined as

$$\varsigma_R(w_2) = \{ \langle w_1, .0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, .4, .7, .3 \rangle, \langle w_3, .0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .4, .7, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .6, .7, .2 \rangle \}.$$

Clearly,

$$\varsigma_R(w_2) \subseteq 1_n, \varsigma_R(w_1)'.$$

Thus

$$Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(w_2)) = 1_n \cap \varsigma_R(w_1)' = \varsigma_R(w_1)'.$$

Now consider the NS  $S$ .

Then the NFS  $\varsigma_S(w_2, w_3)$  is defined as

$$\varsigma_S(w_2, w_3) = \left\{ \langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, .9, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .7, .6, .5 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .9, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .7, .6, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .9, .8, .1 \rangle \right\}.$$

Clearly,

$$\varsigma_S(w_2, w_3) \supseteq 0_n, \varsigma_S(w_2) .$$

Thus

$$Int_{nf}(\varsigma_S(w_2, w_3)) = 0_n \cup \varsigma_S(w_2) = \varsigma_S(w_2) .$$

The NFS  $\varsigma_S(w_1)$  is defined as

$$\varsigma_S(w_1) = \left\{ \langle w_1, .6, .5, .3 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .9, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .7, .6, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle \right\}.$$

Clearly,

$$\varsigma_S(w_1) \subseteq 1_n, \varsigma_S(w_2)' .$$

Thus

$$Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_S(w_1)) = 1_n \cap \varsigma_S(w_2)' = \varsigma_S(w_2)' .$$

**Proposition 3.24** Let  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\varsigma_W)$  be a NFTS. Let  $\varsigma_R(V_1)$  and  $\varsigma_R(V_2)$  be two NFSs over  $W$ . Then,

- (i)  $Int_{nf}(0_{nf}) = 0_{nf}$  and  $Int_{nf}(1_{nf}) = 1_{nf}$ .
- (ii)  $\varsigma_R(V_1)$  is NFOS  $\Rightarrow Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1)) = \varsigma_R(V_1)$ .
- (iii)  $Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1)) \subseteq \varsigma_R(V_1)$ .
- (iv)  $\varsigma_R(V_1) \subseteq \varsigma_R(V_2) \Rightarrow Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1)) \subseteq Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_2))$ .
- (v)  $Int_{nf}(Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1))) = Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1))$ .
- (vi)  $Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1) \cap \varsigma_R(V_2)) = Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1)) \cap Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_2))$ .
- (vii)  $Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1) \cup \varsigma_R(V_2)) \subseteq Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1)) \cup Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_2))$ .
- (viii)  $Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1)') = [Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1))]$ .

Proof. Straightforward.

**Proposition 3.25** Let  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\varsigma_W)$  be a NFTS. Let  $\varsigma_R(V_1)$  and  $\varsigma_R(V_2)$  be two NFSs over  $W$ . Then,

- (i)  $Cl_{nf}(0_{nf}) = 0_{nf}$  and  $Cl_{nf}(1_{nf}) = 1_{nf}$ .
- (ii)  $\varsigma_R(V_1)$  is NFCS  $\Rightarrow Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1)) = \varsigma_R(V_1)$ .
- (iii)  $Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1)) \supseteq \varsigma_R(V_1)$ .
- (iv)  $\varsigma_R(V_1) \subseteq \varsigma_R(V_2) \Rightarrow Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1)) \subseteq Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_2))$ .
- (v)  $Cl_{nf}(Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1))) = Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1))$ .
- (vi)  $Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1) \cup \varsigma_R(V_2)) = Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1)) \cup Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_2))$ .
- (vii)  $Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1) \cap \varsigma_R(V_2)) \subseteq Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1)) \cap Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_2))$ .
- (viii)  $Cl_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1)') = [Int_{nf}(\varsigma_R(V_1))]$ .

Proof. Straightforward.

#### 4. Separation Axioms

In this section, separation axioms on NFTS are defined with examples.

**Definition 4.1** Let  $NF(W)$  be the family of all NFs over the universe  $W$  and  $w \in W$ . Then NFS  $w^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \rangle}$  is said to be a neutro-fine point (NFP), for  $0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq 1$  and is defined as follows:

$$w^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\gamma\rangle}(v) = \begin{cases} (\alpha, \beta, \gamma), & \text{if } w = v \\ (0, 0, 1), & \text{if } w \neq v \end{cases}.$$

Every NFS is the union of its NFPs.

**Definition 4.2** Let  $(W, \tau_n, f_{\zeta_W})$  be a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$ . Let  $\zeta_R(V)$  be a NFS over  $W$ . Then  $w^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$  belongs to the NFS  $\zeta_R(V)$  is denoted as  $w^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \in \zeta_R(V)$  and is defined as  $\alpha \leq T_R(V)$ ,  $\beta \leq I_R(V)$ , and  $\gamma \geq F_R(V)$ .

**Example 4.3** Let  $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ . Let  $R$  be a NS over  $W$ , defined as

$$R = \{\langle w_1, .7, .5, .4 \rangle, \langle w_2, .2, .7, .9 \rangle, \langle w_3, .4, .1, .3 \rangle\}.$$

Then the NFS  $\zeta_R(w_{1,3})$  is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_R(w_{1,3}) &= \{w_{1,3}^{\langle .7, .5, .3 \rangle}\} \\ &= \{\langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .7, .5, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the NFS  $\zeta_R(w_{1,3})$  is a NFP.

**Definition 4.4** Let  $(W, \tau_n, f_{\zeta_W})$  be a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$ . Let  $\zeta_R(V)$  be a NFS over  $W$ . Then  $\zeta_R(V)$  is said to be a neutro-fine neighborhood of the NFP  $w^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \in \zeta_R(V)$ , if there exists a NFOS  $\zeta_R(U)$  such that  $w^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \in \zeta_R(U) \subseteq \zeta_R(V)$ .

**Definition 4.5** Let  $(W, \tau_n, f_{\zeta_W})$  be a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$ . Let  $u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$  and  $v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$  be two NFPs over  $W$ . Then  $u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$  and  $v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$  are said to be distinct points if  $u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \cap v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} = 0_{nf}$ .

**Definition 4.6** Let  $(W, \tau_n, f_{\zeta_W})$  be a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$ . Let  $u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$  and  $v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$  be any distinct NFPs. If there exists NFOSs  $\zeta_R(V_1)$  and  $\zeta_R(V_2)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \in \zeta_R(V_1) \quad \text{and} \quad u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \cap \zeta_R(V_2) = 0_{nf} \quad \text{or} \\ v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \in \zeta_R(V_2) \quad \text{and} \quad v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \cap \zeta_R(V_1) = 0_{nf}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(W, \tau_n, f_{\zeta_W})$  is said to be a neutro-fine  $T_0$ -space.

**Definition 4.7** Let  $(W, \tau_n, f_{\zeta_W})$  be a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$ . Let  $u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$  and  $v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$  be any distinct NFPs. If there exists NFOSs  $\zeta_R(V_1)$  and  $\zeta_R(V_2)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \in \zeta_R(V_1) \quad \text{and} \quad u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \cap \zeta_R(V_2) = 0_{nf} \quad \text{and} \\ v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \in \zeta_R(V_2) \quad \text{and} \quad v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \cap \zeta_R(V_1) = 0_{nf}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(W, \tau_n, f_{\zeta_W})$  is said to be a neutro-fine  $T_1$ -space.

**Theorem 4.8** Every neutro-fine  $T_1$ -space is neutro-fine  $T_0$ -space.

The proof follows from Definitions 4.6 and 4.7.

**Remark 4.9** The converse of the above theorem is not true as shown in the following example.

**Example 4.10** Let  $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  and  $\tau_n = \{0_n, 1_n, R, S\}$  where  $R$  and  $S$  are NSs over  $W$  and are defined as follows

$$R = \{ \langle w_1, .5, .3, .2 \rangle, \langle w_2, .9, .6, .1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .6, .5, .4 \rangle \}$$

And

$$S = \{ \langle w_1, .3, .1, .5 \rangle, \langle w_2, .7, .3, .4 \rangle, \langle w_3, .2, .3, .8 \rangle \}.$$

Thus  $(W, \tau_n)$  is a NTS over  $W$ .

Consider  $w_2^{\langle 9,6,1 \rangle}$ ,  $w_{2,3}^{\langle 2,3,4 \rangle}$  and  $w_3^{\langle 2,3,8 \rangle}$  are NFPs.

Then  ${}^f\zeta_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \zeta_R(w_2), \zeta_S(w_2, w_3), \zeta_S(w_3)\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_R(w_2) &= \{w_2^{\langle 9,6,1 \rangle}\} \\ &= \{ \langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, .9, .6, .1 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle \}, \\ \zeta_S(w_{2,3}) &= \{w_2^{\langle 9,6,1 \rangle} \cup w_{2,3}^{\langle 2,3,4 \rangle}\} \\ &= \{ \langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, .9, .6, .1 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .2, .3, .4 \rangle \}, \\ \zeta_S(w_3) &= \{w_3^{\langle 2,3,8 \rangle}\} \\ &= \{ \langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .2, .3, .8 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\zeta_W)$  is a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$  and also a neutro-fine  $T_0$ -space.

Here

$$\begin{aligned} w_2^{\langle 9,6,1 \rangle} \in \zeta_R(w_2), \quad w_2^{\langle 9,6,1 \rangle} \cap \zeta_S(w_{2,3}) \neq 0_{\mathcal{N}} \text{ and} \\ w_{2,3}^{\langle 2,3,4 \rangle} \in \zeta_S(w_{2,3}), \quad w_{2,3}^{\langle 2,3,4 \rangle} \cap \zeta_R(w_2) \neq 0_{\mathcal{N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\zeta_W)$  is not a neutro-fine  $T_1$ -space because for NFPs  $w_2^{\langle 9,6,1 \rangle}$  and  $w_{2,3}^{\langle 2,3,4 \rangle}$ .

Hence  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\zeta_W)$  is a neutro-fine  $T_0$ -space but not a neutro-fine  $T_1$ -space.

**Definition 4.11** Let  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\zeta_W)$  be a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$ . Let  $u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle}$  and  $v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle}$  be any distinct NFPs. If there exists NFOs  $\zeta_R(V_1)$  and  $\zeta_R(V_2)$  such that

$$u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} \in \zeta_R(V_1), \quad v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} \in \zeta_R(V_2) \text{ and } \zeta_R(V_1) \cap \zeta_R(V_2) = 0_{\mathcal{N}}.$$

Then  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\zeta_W)$  is said to be a neutro-fine  $T_2$ -space.

**Theorem 4.12** Every neutro-fine  $T_2$ -space is neutro-fine  $T_1$ -space.

The proof follows from Definitions 4.7 and 4.11.

**Example 4.13** Consider Example 4.10.

Consider  $w_3^{\langle 6,5,4 \rangle}$ ,  $w_{1,2}^{\langle 9,6,1 \rangle}$ ,  $w_{2,3}^{\langle 2,3,4 \rangle}$  and  $w_{1,3}^{\langle 3,3,5 \rangle}$  are NFPs.

Then  ${}^f\zeta_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \zeta_R(w_3), \zeta_R(w_1, w_2), \zeta_S(w_2, w_3), \zeta_S(w_1, w_3)\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_R(w_3) &= \{w_3^{\langle 6,5,4 \rangle}\} \\ &= \{ \langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .6, .5, .4 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle \}, \\ \zeta_R(w_{1,2}) &= \{w_{1,2}^{\langle 9,6,1 \rangle}\} \\ &= \{ \langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .9, .6, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle \}, \\ \zeta_S(w_{2,3}) &= \{w_{2,3}^{\langle 2,3,4 \rangle}\} \\ &= \{ \langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .2, .3, .4 \rangle \}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_S(w_{1,3}) &= \{w_{1,3}^{\langle 3,3,5 \rangle}\} \\ &= \{\langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .3, .3, .5 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(W, \tau_n, \overset{f}{\zeta}_W)$  is a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$ .

Hence  $(W, \tau_n, \overset{f}{\zeta}_W)$  is a neutro-fine  $T_2$ -space and neutro-fine  $T_1$ -space as well as neutro-fine  $T_0$ -space.

**Theorem 4.14** Let  $(W, \tau_n, \overset{f}{\zeta}_W)$  be a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$ . Then  $(W, \tau_n, \overset{f}{\zeta}_W)$  is a neutro-fine  $T_1$ -space if and only if each NFP is a NFCS.

Proof. Let  $(W, \tau_n, \overset{f}{\zeta}_W)$  be a neutro-fine  $T_1$ -space and  $u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle}$  be any NFP.

To prove:  $(u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle})$  is a NFCS.

Let  $v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} \in (u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle})$ .

Then  $u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle}$  and  $v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle}$  are distinct NFPs.

Thus  $u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} \cap v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} = 0_{nf}$ .

Since  $(W, \tau_n, \overset{f}{\zeta}_W)$  is a neutro-fine  $T_1$ -space, there exists a NFOS  $\zeta_R(V)$  such that

$$v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} \in \zeta_R(V) \text{ and } v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} \cap \zeta_R(V_1) = 0_{nf}.$$

Then  $v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} \in \zeta_R(V) \subseteq (u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle})$ .

Thus  $(u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle})$  is a NFOS.

Hence  $u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle}$  is a NFCS.

Conversely, suppose that each NFP  $u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle}$  is a NFCS.

Then  $(u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle})$  is a NFOS.

Let  $u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} \cap v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} = 0_{nf}$ .

Thus  $v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} \in (u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle})$  and  $v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} \cap (u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle}) = 0_{nf}$ .

Hence  $(W, \tau_n, \overset{f}{\zeta}_W)$  is a neutro-fine  $T_1$ -space over  $(W, \tau_n)$ .

**Theorem 4.15** Let  $(W, \tau_n, \overset{f}{\zeta}_W)$  be a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$ .  $(W, \tau_n, \overset{f}{\zeta}_W)$  is a neutro-fine  $T_2$ -space if and only if for distinct NFPs  $u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle}$  and  $v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle}$ , there exists a NFOS  $\zeta_R(V)$  containing  $u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle}$  but not  $v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle}$  such that  $v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} \notin Cl_{nf}(\zeta_R(V))$ .

Proof. Let  $(W, \tau_n, \overset{f}{\zeta}_W)$  be a neutro-fine  $T_2$ -space.

Let  $u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle}$  and  $v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle}$  be two NFPs.

Then there exists disjoint NFOSs  $\zeta_R(V_1)$  and  $\zeta_R(V_2)$  such that

$$u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} \in \zeta_R(V_1) \text{ and } v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} \in \zeta_R(V_2).$$

Since  $u^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} \cap v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} = 0_{nf}$  and  $\zeta_R(V_1) \cap \zeta_R(V_2) = 0_{nf}$ , then

$$v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} \notin \zeta_R(V_1).$$

Hence  $v^{\langle \alpha, \beta, \chi \rangle} \notin Cl_{nf}(\zeta_R(V_1))$ .

Conversely, suppose that for distinct NFPs  $u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$  and  $v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$ , there exists a NFOS  $\zeta_R(V)$  containing  $u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$  but not  $v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$  such that  $v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \notin Cl_{nf}(\zeta_R(V))$ .

Then  $v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \in (Cl_{nf}(\zeta_R(V)))'$ .

Thus  $\zeta_R(V)$  and  $(Cl_{nf}(\zeta_R(V)))'$  are disjoint NFOSs containing  $u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$  and  $v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$  respectively.

Hence  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\zeta_W)$  is a neutro-fine  $T_2$ -space.

**Theorem 4.16** Let  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\zeta_W)$  be a neutro-fine  $T_1$ -space for every distinct NFPs  $u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \in \zeta_R(V_1) \in {}^f\zeta_W$ . If there exists a NFOS  $\zeta_R(V_2)$  such that

$$u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \in \zeta_R(V_2) \subseteq Cl_{nf}(\zeta_R(V_2)) \subseteq \zeta_R(V_1),$$

then  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\zeta_W)$  is a neutro-fine  $T_2$ -space.

Proof. Let  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\zeta_W)$  be a neutro-fine  $T_1$ -space.

Suppose that  $u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \cap v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} = 0_{nf}$ .

Since  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\zeta_W)$  is a neutro-fine  $T_1$ -space,  $u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$  and  $v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle}$  are NFCSSs in  ${}^f\zeta_W$ .

Thus  $u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \in (v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle})' \in {}^f\zeta_W$ .

Then there exists a NFOS  $\zeta_R(V_2)$  such that

$$u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \in \zeta_R(V_2) \subseteq Cl_{nf}(\zeta_R(V_2)) \subseteq (v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle})'.$$

Thus

$$v^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \in (Cl_{nf}(\zeta_R(V_2)))', u^{\langle\alpha,\beta,\chi\rangle} \in \zeta_R(V_2) \text{ and } \zeta_R(V_2) \cap (Cl_{nf}(\zeta_R(V_2)))' = 0_{nf}.$$

Hence  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\zeta_W)$  is a neutro-fine  $T_2$ -space.

## 5. Decision Making in NFTS

In this section, the real-life application is intimated to take a correct decision on DM problems and an example is investigated in two different manners.

**Definition 5.1** Let  $\zeta_R(V)$  be a NFS over  $W$  of a NFTS  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\zeta_W)$ . Then the absolute complement of  $\zeta_R(V)$  is denoted as  $\zeta_R^*(V')$  and defined as  $\zeta_R^*(V') = \zeta_R^*(W - V)$ .

Thus the collection of  $\zeta_R^*(V')$  is denoted as  ${}^{f*}\zeta_W$  and defined as  ${}^{f*}\zeta_W = \{0_{nf}, 1_{nf}, \cup \zeta_R^*(V')\}$ . The elements belong to  ${}^{f*}\zeta_W$  are said to be neutro-fine absolute open sets (NFAOSs) over  $(W, \tau_n)$  and the complement of NFOSs are said to be neutro-fine absolute closed sets (NFACSSs) over  $(W, \tau_n)$  and denote the collection by  ${}^{F*}\zeta_W$ .

**Example 5.2** Let  $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  and  $\tau_n = \{0_n, 1_n, R\}$  where  $R$  is a NS over  $W$  and are defined as follows

$$R = \{\langle w_1, .9, .4, .6 \rangle, \langle w_2, .6, .5, .1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .7, .8, .1 \rangle\}.$$

Thus  $(W, \tau_n)$  is a NTS over  $W$ .

Then NFOSs over  $(W, \tau_n)$  are  ${}^f\zeta_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \zeta_R(w_3), \zeta_R(w_2, w_3)\}$ , where

$$\zeta_R(w_3) = \{\langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .7, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .9, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .7, .8, .1 \rangle\} \text{ and}$$

$$\zeta_R(w_2, w_3) = \{\langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, .6, .5, .1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .7, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .9, .5, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .9, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .7, .8, .1 \rangle\}.$$

Also, NFCSs over  $(W, \tau_n)$  are  ${}^F\zeta_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \zeta_R(w_3)', \zeta_R(w_2, w_3)'\}$ , where

$$\zeta_R(w_3)' = \{\langle w_1, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_2, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_3, 1, 2, 7 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 1, 2, 9 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, 1, 2, 7 \rangle\} \text{ and}$$

$$\zeta_R(w_2, w_3)' = \{\langle w_1, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_2, 1, 5, 6 \rangle, \langle w_3, 1, 2, 7 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 1, 5, 9 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 1, 2, 9 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, 1, 2, 7 \rangle\}.$$

Thus  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\zeta_W)$  is a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$ .

Then NFAOSs over  $(W, \tau_n)$  are

$${}^{f*}\zeta_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \zeta_R^*((w_3)'), \zeta_R^*((w_2, w_3)')\} = \{0_n, 1_n, \zeta_R^*(w_1, w_2), \zeta_R^*(w_1)'\},$$

where

$$\zeta_R^*((w_3)') = \zeta_R^*(w_1, w_2) = \{\langle w_1, 9, 4, 6 \rangle, \langle w_2, 6, 5, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 9, 4, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 9, 8, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, 7, 8, 1 \rangle\} \text{ and}$$

$$\zeta_R^*((w_2, w_3)') = \zeta_R^*(w_1) = \{\langle w_1, 9, 4, 6 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 9, 5, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 9, 8, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle\}.$$

Also, NFACSs over  $(W, \tau_n)$  are

$${}^{F*}\zeta_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \zeta_R^*((w_3)'), \zeta_R^*((w_2, w_3)')\} = \{0_n, 1_n, \zeta_R^*(w_1, w_2)', \zeta_R^*(w_1)'\},$$

where

$$\zeta_R^*((w_3)')' = \zeta_R^*(w_1, w_2)' = \{\langle w_1, 6, 6, 9 \rangle, \langle w_2, 1, 5, 6 \rangle, \langle w_3, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 1, 6, 9 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 1, 2, 9 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, 1, 2, 7 \rangle\}$$

and

$$\zeta_R^*((w_2, w_3)')' = \zeta_R^*(w_1)' = \{\langle w_1, 6, 6, 9 \rangle, \langle w_2, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_3, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 1, 5, 9 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 1, 2, 9 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, 1, 1, 0 \rangle\}.$$

**Definition 5.3** Let  $W$  be a set of universe and  $w \in W$ . Let  $R$  be a NS over  $W$  and  $V$  be any proper non-empty subset of  $W$ . Let  $\zeta_R(V)$  be a NFS over  $W$  of a NFTS  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\zeta_W)$ . Then the net value of R is calculated by the formula

Then the net value of R (NV(R)) is calculated by the formula

$$NV(R) = \left| \frac{\left[ \sum_i (T_R^*(V'))_i - \sum_i (F_R(V'))_i \right] + \left[ \sum_i (T_R(V'))_i - \sum_i (F_R^*(V'))_i \right]}{2} \times \left[ 1 - \frac{\sum_i (I_R^*(V'))_i - \sum_i (I_R(V'))_i}{2} \right] \right| \quad (5.1)$$

where

$\sum_i (T_R(V'))_i$ ,  $\sum_i (I_R(V'))_i$  and  $\sum_i (F_R(V'))_i$  are the sum of all truth, indeterminacy and falsity values of  $\zeta_R(V)'$  respectively, and

$\sum_i (T_R^*(V'))_i$ ,  $\sum_i (I_R^*(V'))_i$  and  $\sum_i (F_R^*(V'))_i$  are the sum of all truth, indeterminacy, and falsity values of  $\zeta_R^*(V')$

respectively.

**Algorithm**

- Step 1:** List the set of items for the sample.
- Step 2:** List some of its risk factors as the universe  $W$ , where  $w \in W$ .
- Step 3:** Go through the damages of the items.
- Step 4:** Define each item as NSs, say  $R$ .
- Step 5:** Collect these NSs which defines a NT  $\tau_n$  and so  $(W, \tau_n)$  is a NTS.
- Step 6:** List the proper subsets of  $W$  as  $V$ .
- Step 7:** Define NFSs for each NS with respect to their risk factors  $v \in V$ , say  $\zeta_R(V)$ .

**Step 8:** Define a neutrosophic-fine collection  ${}^f\zeta_W$ , where  $\zeta_R(v)$  are NFOSs and so  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\zeta_W)$  is a NFTS.

**Step 9:** Find the complement and absolute complement of  ${}^f\zeta_W$ ,  ${}^F\zeta_W$  and  ${}^{f*}\zeta_W$  respectively, which represents the secureness of the items.

**Step 10:** Calculate the NV(R) by using the formula (5.1).

**Step 11:** Select the highest value of NV(R) among all the calculated values of NV(R).

**Step 12:** If two or more NV(R)s are identical for a particular  $w$ , replace that  $w$  with some other risk factor and recurrence the procedure.

**Step 13:** Terminate the procedure, while attaining a unique NV(R).

**Example 5.4** Consider the problem that a customer wishes to buy a second-hand refrigerator. Let  $R1, R2, R3,$  and  $R4$  be sample refrigerators for second-hand sales. Each refrigerator is damaged according to some aspects of the universe  $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ , where  $w_1$  –locked compressor,  $w_2$  –clogged coils and  $w_3$  –dirty condenser coil. Our problem is to help the customer to prefer a second-hand refrigerator with less damage.

1. Let  $R1, R2, R3,$  and  $R4$  be sample refrigerators for second-hand sales.

2. Let  $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  be the universe, where  $w_1$  –locked compressor,  $w_2$  –clogged coils and  $w_3$  –dirty condenser coil.

3. Analyze the damages on each refrigerator.

4. Define  $R1, R2, R3,$  and  $R4$  as NSs.

$$\begin{aligned} R1 &= \{\langle w_1, .6, .4, .7 \rangle, \langle w_2, .5, .6, .7 \rangle, \langle w_3, .3, .4, .1 \rangle\}, \\ R2 &= \{\langle w_1, .3, .5, .8 \rangle, \langle w_2, .4, .8, .5 \rangle, \langle w_3, .2, .3, .7 \rangle\}, \\ R3 &= \{\langle w_1, .6, .5, .7 \rangle, \langle w_2, .5, .8, .5 \rangle, \langle w_3, .3, .4, .1 \rangle\} \text{ and} \\ R4 &= \{\langle w_1, .3, .4, .8 \rangle, \langle w_2, .4, .6, .7 \rangle, \langle w_3, .2, .3, .7 \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

5. Thus  $\tau_n = \{0_n, 1_n, R1, R2, R3, R4\}$  is a NT and so  $(W, \tau_n)$  is a NTS.

6. Let  $V = \{\langle w_1 \rangle, \langle w_2 \rangle, \langle w_3 \rangle, \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle, \langle w_1, w_3 \rangle, \langle w_2, w_3 \rangle\}$  be the set of proper subsets of  $W$ .

7. Define NFSs as

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{R1}(w_1, w_2) &= \{\langle w_1, .6, .4, .7 \rangle, \langle w_2, .5, .6, .7 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .6, .6, .7 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .6, .4, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .5, .6, .1 \rangle\}, \\ \zeta_{R2}(w_3) &= \{\langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .2, .3, .7 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .3, .5, .7 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .4, .8, .5 \rangle\}, \\ \zeta_{R3}(w_2) &= \{\langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, .5, .8, .5 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .6, .8, .5 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .5, .8, .1 \rangle\} \text{ and} \\ \zeta_{R4}(w_1, w_3) &= \{\langle w_1, .3, .4, .8 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .2, .3, .7 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .4, .6, .7 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .3, .4, .7 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .4, .6, .7 \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

8. Then the neutro-fine collection  ${}^f \zeta_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \zeta_{R1}(w_1, w_2), \zeta_{R2}(w_3), \zeta_{R3}(w_2), \zeta_{R4}(w_1, w_3)\}$ , whose elements are NFOSS.

Thus  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f \zeta_W)$  is a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$ .

9. Let the complement and absolute complement of  ${}^f \zeta_W$  represents the secureness of the items.

The complement of  ${}^f \zeta_W$  is  ${}^F \zeta_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \zeta_{R1}(w_1, w_2)', \zeta_{R2}(w_3)', \zeta_{R3}(w_2)', \zeta_{R4}(w_1, w_3)'\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{R1}(w_1, w_2)' &= \{\langle w_1, .7, .6, .6 \rangle, \langle w_2, .7, .4, .5 \rangle, \langle w_3, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .7, .4, .6 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .1, .6, .6 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .1, .4, .5 \rangle\}, \\ \zeta_{R2}(w_3)' &= \{\langle w_1, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_2, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_3, .7, .7, .2 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .7, .5, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .5, .2, .4 \rangle\}, \\ \zeta_{R3}(w_2)' &= \{\langle w_1, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_2, .5, .2, .5 \rangle, \langle w_3, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .5, .2, .6 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .1, .2, .5 \rangle\} \text{ and} \\ \zeta_{R4}(w_1, w_3)' &= \{\langle w_1, .8, .6, .3 \rangle, \langle w_2, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_3, .7, .7, .2 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .7, .4, .4 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .7, .6, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .7, .4, .4 \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

The absolute complement of  ${}^f \zeta_W$  is  ${}^{f*} \zeta_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \zeta_{R1}^*(w_3), \zeta_{R2}^*(w_1, w_2), \zeta_{R3}^*(w_1, w_3), \zeta_{R4}^*(w_2)\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{R1}^*(w_3) &= \{\langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .3, .4, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .6, .4, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .5, .6, .1 \rangle\}, \\ \zeta_{R2}^*(w_1, w_2) &= \{\langle w_1, .3, .5, .8 \rangle, \langle w_2, .4, .8, .5 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .4, .8, .5 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .3, .5, .7 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .4, .8, .5 \rangle\}, \\ \zeta_{R3}^*(w_1, w_3) &= \{\langle w_1, .6, .5, .7 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .3, .4, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .6, .8, .5 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .6, .5, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .5, .8, .1 \rangle\} \text{ and} \\ \zeta_{R4}^*(w_2) &= \{\langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, .4, .6, .7 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .4, .6, .7 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .4, .6, .7 \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

10. By using the formula (5.1), the following values are obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} NV(R1) &= 0.98, \\ NV(R2) &= 2.61, \\ NV(R3) &= \mathbf{2.99} \text{ and} \\ NV(R4) &= 0.79. \end{aligned}$$

11. Thus NV(R3) is the highest value.

Hence the customer can prefer to buy R3 for the second use.

**Example 5.5** Consider the situation of Example 5.4.

1. Let  $R1$ ,  $R2$ , and  $R3$  be sample refrigerators for second-hand sale.

2. Let  $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  be the universe, where  $w_1$  –locked compressor,  $w_2$  –clogged coils and  $w_3$  –dirty condenser coil.

3. Analyze the damages on each refrigerator.

4. Define  $R1$ ,  $R2$ , and  $R3$  as NSs.

$$\begin{aligned} R1 &= \{\langle w_1, .3, .4, .5 \rangle, \langle w_2, .5, .6, .3 \rangle, \langle w_3, .1, .2, .3 \rangle\}, \\ R2 &= \{\langle w_1, .4, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_2, .6, .8, .3 \rangle, \langle w_3, .4, .5, .2 \rangle\} \text{ and} \\ R3 &= \{\langle w_1, .4, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_2, .6, .8, .3 \rangle, \langle w_3, .4, .5, .2 \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

5. Thus  $\tau_n = \{0_n, 1_n, R1, R2, R3\}$  is a NT and so  $(W, \tau_n)$  is a NTS.

6. Let  $V = \{\langle w_1 \rangle, \langle w_2 \rangle, \langle w_3 \rangle, \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle, \langle w_1, w_3 \rangle, \langle w_2, w_3 \rangle\}$  be the set of proper subsets of  $W$ .

7. Define NFSs as

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{R1}(w_1, w_3) &= \{\langle w_1, .3, .4, .5 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .1, .2, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .5, .6, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .3, .4, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .5, .6, .3 \rangle\}, \\ \zeta_{R2}(w_2, w_3) &= \{\langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, .6, .8, .3 \rangle, \langle w_3, .4, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .6, .8, .2 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .4, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .6, .8, .2 \rangle\} \text{ and} \\ \zeta_{R3}(w_1, w_2) &= \{\langle w_1, .4, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_2, .6, .8, .3 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .6, .8, .2 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .4, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .6, .8, .2 \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

8. Then the neutro-fine collection  ${}^f\zeta_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \zeta_{R1}(w_1, w_3), \zeta_{R2}(w_2, w_3), \zeta_{R3}(w_1, w_2)\}$ , whose elements are NFOSs.

Thus  $(W, \tau_n, {}^f\zeta_W)$  is a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_n)$ .

9. Let the complement and absolute complement of  ${}^f\zeta_W$  represents the secureness of the items.

The complement of  ${}^f\zeta_W$  is  ${}^F\zeta_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \zeta_{R1}(w_1, w_3)', \zeta_{R2}(w_2, w_3)', \zeta_{R3}(w_1, w_2)'\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{R1}(w_1, w_3)' &= \{\langle w_1, .5, .6, .3 \rangle, \langle w_2, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_3, .3, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .3, .4, .5 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .3, .6, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .3, .4, .5 \rangle\}, \\ \zeta_{R2}(w_2, w_3)' &= \{\langle w_1, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_2, .3, .2, .6 \rangle, \langle w_3, .2, .5, .4 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .2, .2, .6 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .2, .5, .4 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .2, .2, .6 \rangle\} \text{ and} \\ \zeta_{R3}(w_1, w_2)' &= \{\langle w_1, 2, .5, .4 \rangle, \langle w_2, .3, .2, .6 \rangle, \langle w_3, .1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .2, .2, .6 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .2, .5, .4 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .2, .2, .6 \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

The absolute complement of  ${}^f\zeta_W$  is  ${}^{f*}\zeta_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \zeta_{R1}^*(w_2), \zeta_{R2}^*(w_1), \zeta_{R3}^*(w_3)\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{R1}^*(w_2) &= \{\langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, .5, .6, .3 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .5, .6, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .5, .6, .3 \rangle\}, \\ \zeta_{R2}^*(w_1, w_2) &= \{\langle w_1, .3, .5, .8 \rangle, \langle w_2, .4, .8, .5 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .4, .8, .5 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .3, .5, .7 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .4, .8, .5 \rangle\} \text{ and} \\ \zeta_{R3}^*(w_1, w_3) &= \{\langle w_1, .6, .5, .7 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .3, .4, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .6, .8, .5 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .6, .5, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .5, .8, .1 \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

10. By using the formula (5.1), the following values are obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} NV(R1) &= 1.26, \\ NV(R2) &= \mathbf{1.62} \text{ and} \\ NV(R3) &= \mathbf{1.62}. \end{aligned}$$

11. Thus both NV(R2) and NV(R3) are the highest value.

In this situation, replace the clogged coils ( $w_2$ ) by some other risk factors and repeat the process.

1. Let  $R1$ ,  $R2$ , and  $R3$  be sample refrigerators for second-hand sale.

2. Let  $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  be the universe, where  $w_1$  –locked compressor,  $w_2$  –failed fan motor and  $w_3$  –dirty condenser coil.

3. Again analyze the damages on each refrigerator.

4. Define  $R1$ ,  $R2$ , and  $R3$  as NSs.

$$R1 = \{\langle w_1, .3, .4, .5 \rangle, \langle w_2, .4, .8, .3 \rangle, \langle w_3, .1, .2, .3 \rangle\},$$

$$R2 = \{\langle w_1, .4, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_2, .5, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .4, .5, .2 \rangle\} \text{ and}$$

$$R3 = \{\langle w_1, .4, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_2, .5, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .4, .5, .2 \rangle\}.$$

5. Thus  $\tau_\eta = \{0_n, 1_n, R1, R2, R3\}$  is a NT and so  $(W, \tau_\eta)$  is a NTS.

6. Let  $V = \{(w_1), (w_2), (w_3), (w_1, w_2), (w_1, w_3), (w_2, w_3)\}$  be the set of proper subsets of  $W$ .

7. Define NFSs as

$$\varsigma_{R1}(w_2, w_3) = \{\langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, .4, .8, .3 \rangle, \langle w_3, .1, .2, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .4, .8, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .3, .4, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .4, .8, .3 \rangle\},$$

$$\varsigma_{R2}(w_2) = \{\langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, .5, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .5, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .5, .8, .1 \rangle\} \text{ and}$$

$$\varsigma_{R3}(w_1, w_3) = \{\langle w_1, .4, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .4, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .5, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .5, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .5, .8, .1 \rangle\}.$$

8. Then the neutro-fine collection  ${}^f\varsigma_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \varsigma_{R1}(w_2, w_3), \varsigma_{R2}(w_2), \varsigma_{R3}(w_1, w_3)\}$ , whose elements are NFOSs.

Thus  $(W, \tau_\eta, {}^f\varsigma_W)$  is a NFTS over  $(W, \tau_\eta)$ .

9. Let the complement and absolute complement of  ${}^f\varsigma_W$  represents the secureness of the items.

The complement of  ${}^f\varsigma_W$  is  ${}^F\varsigma_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \varsigma_{R1}(w_2, w_3)', \varsigma_{R2}(w_2)', \varsigma_{R3}(w_1, w_3)'\}$ , where

$$\varsigma_{R1}(w_2, w_3)' = \{\langle w_1, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_2, .3, .2, .4 \rangle, \langle w_3, .3, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .3, .2, .4 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .3, .6, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .3, .2, .4 \rangle\},$$

$$\varsigma_{R2}(w_2)' = \{\langle w_1, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_2, .1, .2, .5 \rangle, \langle w_3, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .1, .2, .5 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .1, .2, .5 \rangle\} \text{ and}$$

$$\varsigma_{R3}(w_1, w_3)' = \{\langle w_1, .2, .5, .4 \rangle, \langle w_2, 1, 1, 0 \rangle, \langle w_3, .2, .5, .4 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .1, .2, .5 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .1, .2, .5 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .1, .2, .5 \rangle\}.$$

The absolute complement of  ${}^f\varsigma_W$  is  ${}^{f*}\varsigma_W = \{0_n, 1_n, \varsigma_{R1}^*(w_1), \varsigma_{R2}^*(w_1, w_3), \varsigma_{R3}^*(w_2)\}$ , where

$$\varsigma_{R1}^*(w_1) = \{\langle w_1, .3, .4, .5 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .4, .8, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .3, .4, .3 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle\},$$

$$\varsigma_{R2}^*(w_1, w_3) = \{\langle w_1, .4, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_2, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_3, .4, .5, .2 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .5, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, .5, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .5, .8, .1 \rangle\} \text{ and}$$

$$\varsigma_{R3}^*(w_2) = \{\langle w_1, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_2, .5, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_3, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,2}, .5, .8, .1 \rangle, \langle w_{1,3}, 0, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle w_{2,3}, .5, .8, .1 \rangle\}.$$

10. By using the formula (5.1), the following values are obtained.

$$NV(R1) = 1.43,$$

$$NV(R2) = \mathbf{3.00} \text{ and}$$

$$NV(R3) = 1.8.$$

11. Thus  $NV(R2)$  is the highest value.

Hence the customer can prefer to buy R2 for the second use.

## 6. Conclusions

The principal concern of this paper are to initiate the new type of topology called NFT and studied some essential theorems. Also defined the interior and closure on NFTS, and analyzed its basic properties with perfect examples. The concept of separation axioms on this space are investigated and the relationship between each neutro-fine  $T_{i=0,1,2}$  - spaces are exposed with illustrative examples. Besides this, the application of this space are explored on DM problems where the complement and absolute complement of each NFOS is determined to change unfavorable queries into a favorable one. The algorithm specified to describe the process and the positive solution calculated by the formula are given. Consequently, the future researchers can extend this NFTS to some special types of sets, whereas soft sets, rough sets, crisp sets, cubic sets, etc. Also, the application part can extend to MCDM problems.

## References

- [1] Abdel, B. M., Mohamed, M., Smarandache, F., “Comment on a novel method for solving the fully neutrosophic linear programming problems: suggested modifications”, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, Vol 31, pp.305-309, 2020.
- [2] Abdel-Basset, M., El-hoseny, M., Gamal, A., Smarandache, F., “A novel model for evaluation hospital medical care systems based on plithogenic sets”, *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, Vol 100, pp.101710, 2019.
- [3] Abdel-Basset, M., Mohamed, R., Zaied, A. E. N. H., Smarandache, F., “A hybrid plithogenic decision-making approach with quality function deployment for selecting supply chain sustainability metrics”, *Symmetry*, Vol 11 (7), pp.903, 2019.
- [4] Abdel-Basset, M., Mohamed, M., Smarandache, F., “An extension of neutrosophic AHP–SWOT analysis for strategic planning and decision making”, *Symmetry*, Vol 10, pp.116, 2018.
- [5] Abishek, G., Saurabh, S., Rakesh, K. B., “On parametric diverdence measure of neutrosophic sets with its application in decision-making models”, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, Vol 29, pp.101-120, 2019.
- [6] Atanassov, K., “Intuitionistic fuzzy sets”, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, Vol 20, pp.87-96, 1986.
- [7] Chinnadurai, V., Sindhu, M. P., “A novel approach for pairwise separation axioms on bi-soft topology using neutrosophic sets and an output validation in real life application”, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, Vol 35, pp.435-463, 2020.
- [8] Chinnadurai, V., Sindhu, M. P., “A novel approach: neutro-spot topology and its supra topology with separation axioms and computing the impact on covid-19”, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2020 (Submitted).
- [9] Chinnadurai, V., Sindhu, M. P., “Generalization of level sets in neutrosophic soft sets and points: a new approach”, *Tathapi*, Vol 19 (50), pp.54-81, 2020.
- [10] Chinnadurai, V., Smarandache, F., Bobin, A., “Multi-aspect decision-making process in equity investment using neutrosophic soft matrices”, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, Vol 31, pp.224-241, 2020.
- [11] Ibrahim, Y., Abeer, T., Abd El-Khalek, A. A., Ahmed, S., Salama, A. A., “COVID-X: novel health-fog framework based on neutrosophic classifier for confrontation covid-19”, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, Vol 35, pp.1-21, 2020.
- [12] Kalaiselvi, S., Sindhu, M. P., “ $\tau_1\tau_2$ -fb-open sets in fine-bitopological spaces”, *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Modern Education*, Vol 2 (2), pp.435-441, 2016.
- [13] Nandhini, R., Amsaveni, D., “Fine fuzzy sp closed sets in fine fuzzy topological space”, *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, Vol 9 (3), pp.1306-1313, 2020.
- [14] Parimala, M., Karthika, M., Smarandache, F., Broumi, S., “On  $\alpha\omega$ -closed sets and its connectedness in terms of neutrosophic topological spaces”, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol 2 (2), pp.82-88, 2020.
- [15] Parimala, M., Karthika, M., Smarandache, F., “Review of fuzzy soft topological spaces, intuitionistic fuzzy soft topological spaces and neutrosophic soft topological spaces”, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol 10 (2), pp.96-104, 2020.
- [16] Powar, P. I., Rajak, K., “Fine-irresolute mappings”, *Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology*, Vol 3 (4), pp.125-139, 2012.
- [17] Powar, P. I., Rajak, K., “Ultra separation axioms in generalized topological space”, *International Journal for Electro Computational world Knowledge Interface*, Vol 1 (4), pp.34-40, 2011.
- [18] Prem, K. S., “Plithogenic set for multi-variable data analysis”, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol 1 (2), pp.81-89, 2020.
- [19] Rajak, K., “Fine-minimal separation axioms in fine topological spaces”, *International Journal of Advanced Research*, Vol 2 (9), pp.40-43, 2014.
- [20] Riad K. Al-Hamido, “On neutrosophic crisp supra bi-topological spaces”, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol 4 (1), pp.36-46, 2020.
- [21] Riaz, M., Nazeem, K., Zareef, I., Afzal, D., “Neutrosophic N-soft sets with TOPSIS method for mutiple attribute decision making”, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, Vol 32, pp.146-170, 2020.
- [22] Rowthri, M., Amudhambigai, B., “A view on fuzzy fine topological group structures spaces”, *International Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, Vol 12 (1), pp.412-422, 2017.

- [23] Salma, A. A., Alblowi, S. A., “Neutrosophic set and neutrosophic topological spaces”, *IOSR Journal of Applied Mathematics*, Vol 3, pp.31-35, 2012.
- [24] Salma, A. A., Alblowi, S. A., “Generalized neutrosophic set and generalized neutrosophic topological spaces”, *Journal on Computer Science and Engineering*, Vol 2 (7), pp.12-23, 2012.
- [25] Sankar, C., Sujatha, R., Nagarajan, D., “TOPSIS by using plithogenic set in covid-19 decision making”, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol 10 (2), pp.116-125, 2020.
- [26] Smarandache, F., “Neutrosophy, neutrosophic probability, set and logic”, American Research Press, Rehoboth, USA, pp.105, 1998.
- [27] Smarandache, F., “Neutrosophic set, a generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets”, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol 24, pp.287-297, 2005.
- [28] Smarandache, F., “Plithogeny, plithogenic set, logic, probability, and statistics”, *Infinity Study*, pp.141, 2017.
- [29] Smarandache, F., “Plithogenic set, an extension of crisp, fuzzy, intuitionistic fuzzy, and neutrosophic sets – revisited”, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, Vol 21, pp.153-166, 2018.
- [30] Taha, Y. O., Cigdem, G. A., Sadi, B., “A new approach to operations on neutrosophic soft sets and to neutrosophic soft topological spaces”, *Communications in Mathematics and Applications*, Vol 10 (3), pp.481-493, 2019.
- [31] Taha, Y. O., Cigdem, G.A., Sadi. B., “Separation axioms on neutrosophic soft topological spaces”, *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, Vol 43, pp.498-510, 2019.
- [32] Zadeh, L. A., “Fuzzy sets”, *Information and Control*, Vol 8, pp.338-353, 1965.