



## On fuzzy completely $\gamma$ -irresolute and weakly completely $\gamma$ -irresolute functions

Wadei Faris AL-Omeri <sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Jadara University, Irbid, Jordan

Email: wadeimoon1@hotmail.com

### Abstract

In this paper, fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets, and fuzzy  $\gamma$ -irresolute functions are used to define and investigate a new class of functions called fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute functions, fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute between fuzzy topological spaces. We obtain their characterizations and their basic properties.

**Keywords:** Fuzzy topology; Fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets; Fuzzy  $\gamma$ -irresolute functions; Fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set; Fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute; Fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute; Fuzzy  $\gamma$ -continuous; Fuzzy  $\gamma$ -connected

### 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Generalization topological spaces is a classical subject which is a type of classical topological spaces. The concept of fuzzy has invaded almost all branches of mathematics with the introduction of fuzzy sets by Zadeh<sup>27</sup> of 1965. The fuzzy topological spaces was introduced and developed by.<sup>7</sup> The concept of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets in fuzzy topological spaces was introduced by Benchalli in<sup>4</sup> and generalized by others see.<sup>6,10</sup> Throughout this paper  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  are always fuzzy topological spaces. The class of all fuzzy sets on a universe  $\mathcal{H}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{H}}$ . K.K. Azad defined fuzzy semi-open (fuzzy semi-closed) and fuzzy regular closed (fuzzy regular-open) sets.<sup>1</sup> Njastad<sup>18</sup> defined fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open (fuzzy  $\alpha$ -closed) sets and Mashhour<sup>11</sup> defined fuzzy pre-closed (fuzzy pre-open) sets. The concept of fuzzy semi-pre-closed (fuzzy semi-pre-open) sets was by S.S. Thakur and Surendra Singh.<sup>13</sup> Pu and Liu<sup>12</sup> introduced the concept of quasi-coincident. Weaker forms of fuzzy continuity on fuzzy topological spaces have been considered by many workers using the concepts of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets see.<sup>21,22</sup> In this paper, fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets and fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed sets are used to define and investigate a new class of functions called fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute. Relationships between the new class and other classes of functions are established.

$\mathcal{Z}$  Throughout this paper  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T})$ ,  $(\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  and  $(\mathcal{Z}, \gamma)$  (or simply  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) represent non-empty fuzzy topological spaces. Let  $S$  be a fuzzy subset of a space  $\mathcal{H}$ . The fuzzy closure of  $S$ , fuzzy interior of  $S$  are denoted by  $Cl(S)$ ,  $Int(S)$ . A fuzzy subset  $S$  of space  $\mathcal{H}$  is called fuzzy regular open<sup>1</sup> (resp. fuzzy regular closed) if  $S = Int(Cl(S))$  (resp.  $S = Cl(Int(S))$ ). The

**Definition 1.1.** A fuzzy topology on a nonempty set  $\mathcal{H}$  is a family  $\xi$  of fuzzy subsets of  $\mathcal{H}$  which satisfies the following three conditions:

1.  $0, 1 \in \xi$ ,
2. If  $N, M \in \xi$ , their  $N \cap M \in \xi$ ,
3.  $h_i \in \xi$  for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\bigvee_{i \in I} f_i \in \xi$ .

The pair  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T})$  is called a fuzzy topological space.<sup>7</sup>

**Definition 1.2.**<sup>12</sup> Members of  $\xi$  are called fuzzy open sets<sup>7</sup> and complements of fuzzy open sets are called fuzzy closed sets,<sup>7</sup> where the complement of a fuzzy set  $S$ , denoted by  $S^C$ , is  $1 - S$ .

**Definition 1.3.**<sup>12</sup> The fuzzy subset  $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}}$  of a non-empty set  $\mathcal{H}$ , which  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $0 < \mathcal{P} \leq 1$  defined by

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{P}}(P) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}, & \text{if } P = x \\ 0, & \text{if } P \neq x \end{cases}$$

is called a fuzzy point in  $\mathcal{H}$  with support  $x$  and value  $\mathcal{P}$ . The fuzzy point  $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}}$  is called point

**Definition 1.4.**<sup>12</sup> A fuzzy point  $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}}$  belong to a fuzzy set  $S$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  ( denoted by:  $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}} \in S$  ) if and only if  $\mathcal{P} \leq S(x)$  ,for some  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Definition 1.5.**<sup>4</sup> A fuzzy set  $S$  of a fuzzy topological space  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open if and only if  $S \leq \text{Int}(Cl(S)) \cap Cl(\text{Int}(S))$ . The complement of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set is defined to be fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed set .

**Definition 1.6.**<sup>4</sup> Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a fuzzy topological space and  $S$  be any fuzzy set in  $\mathcal{H}$ . 1) The union of all fuzzy open sets contained in  $S$  is called the fuzzy interior of  $S$  and denoted by  $\gamma\text{Int}(S)$ . i.e;  $\gamma\text{Int}(S) = \vee \{R : R \leq S, R \text{ is a fuzzy } \gamma\text{-open set of } \mathcal{H}\}$ .

2) The intersection of all fuzzy closed sets containing  $S$  is called the fuzzy closure of  $S$ , and denoted by  $\gamma Cl(S)$  .i.e;  $\gamma Cl(S) = \wedge \{C : C \geq S, C \text{ is a fuzzy } \gamma\text{-closed set of } \mathcal{H}\}$ .

**Definition 1.7.**<sup>15</sup> Let  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  are two fuzzy topological spaces, the function  $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is called fuzzy  $\gamma$ -irresolute function if  $h^{-1}(S)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set in  $\mathcal{H}$  for every fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set  $S$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

*Remark 1.8.*<sup>4</sup> In a fuzzy topological space  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $S$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed(resp. fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open) if and only if  $S = f\gamma Cl(S)$  (resp. fuzzy  $\gamma\text{Int}(S)$ ).

**Definition 1.9.**<sup>12</sup> A fuzzy set  $S$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  is called quasi-coincident with a fuzzy set  $R$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , denoted by  $SqR$  if and only if  $S(x) + R(x) \geq 1$ , for some  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . If  $S$  is not quasi-coincident with  $R$ , then  $S(x) + R(x) \leq 1$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and denoted by  $S\tilde{q}R$ .

**Definition 1.10.**<sup>5</sup> Let  $S$  be a fuzzy set in a fts  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}}$  be a fuzzy point of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $S$  is called

(1)  $\gamma$ -neighbourhood of  $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}}$  if there exists a  $hb$ -open set  $R$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $x_{\mathcal{P}} \in R \leq S$ .

(2)  $\gamma$ - $q$ -neighbourhood of  $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}}$  if there exist a  $hb$ -open set  $R$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $x_{\mathcal{P}}qR \leq S$ .

**Definition 1.11.**<sup>5</sup> For a fuzzy set  $S$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  ,the image of  $S$  under  $h$  is the fuzzy set  $h(S)$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  with membership function  $h(S)(y)$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{K}$  defined by:

$$h(S)(y) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in h^{-1}(y)} S(x), & \text{if } h^{-1}(y) \text{ is not empty} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $h^{-1}(y) = \{x : h(x) = y\}$ .

**Lemma 1.12.**<sup>25</sup> Let  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  be two fuzzy topological spaces and let  $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  be a function ,let  $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$ ,  $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$  be families of fuzzy sets in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  respectively, then:

1)  $h(\vee_{i \in I} S_i) = \vee_{i \in I} h(S_i)$ .

2)  $h^{-1}(\wedge_{i \in I} R_i) = \wedge_{i \in I} h^{-1}(R_i)$ .

**Lemma 1.13.**<sup>1</sup> For functions  $h_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_i$ , and fuzzy sets  $\lambda_i$  of  $\mathcal{K}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we have  $(f_1 \times f_2)^{-1}(\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2) = f_1^{-1}(\lambda_1) \times f_2^{-1}(\lambda_2)$

**Lemma 1.14.**<sup>1</sup> Let  $g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{K}$  be the graph of a function  $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ . Then, if  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy set of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mu$  is a fuzzy set of  $\mathcal{K}$ .  $g^{-1}(\lambda \times \mu) = \lambda \cap h^{-1}(\mu)$ .

**Definition 1.15.** A functions  $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is said to be:

1. fuzzy completely continuous<sup>3</sup> if  $h^{-1}(M)$  is fuzzy regular open in  $\mathcal{H}$  for each fuzzy open set  $M$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ ,
2. fuzzy  $\gamma$ -irresolute<sup>15</sup> if  $h^{-1}(M)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open in  $\mathcal{H}$  for each fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set  $M$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ ,

3. fuzzy  $\gamma$ -continuous<sup>5</sup> if  $h^{-1}(M)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open in  $\mathcal{H}$  for each fuzzy open set  $M$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ ,
4. fuzzy totally continuous<sup>17</sup> if  $h^{-1}(M)$  is fuzzy clopen in  $\mathcal{H}$  for each fuzzy subset  $M$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ ,
5. fuzzy open<sup>26</sup> if  $h(M)$  is fuzzy open set in  $\mathcal{K}$  for each fuzzy open set  $M$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ ,
6. fuzzy almost open<sup>20</sup> if  $h(M)$  is fuzzy regular open set in  $\mathcal{K}$  for each fuzzy regular open set  $M$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ ,
7. fuzzy strongly continuous<sup>2</sup> if  $h^{-1}(M)$  is fuzzy open fuzzy closed set in  $\mathcal{H}$  for every fuzzy set  $M$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Definition 1.16.**<sup>15</sup> A family  $\Omega$  of fuzzy sets is called a cover of a fuzzy set  $S$  if and only if  $S \leq \bigvee \{C_i, i \in \Omega\}$ , and it is called a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open cover if each member  $C_i$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set. A sub cover of  $S$  is a sub family of  $\Omega$  which is also a cover of  $S$ .

**Definition 1.17.**<sup>15</sup> Let  $R$  be a fuzzy set in a fuzzy topological space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $R$  is said to be a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -compact set if for every  $\gamma$ -open cover of  $R$  has a finite sub cover. Let  $R = \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -compact space, i.e  $\mathcal{H}$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -compact space if for every  $i \in \Omega$  and  $\bigvee_{i \in \Omega} R_i = 1_{\mathcal{H}}$ , then there are finite many indices  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in \Omega$  such that  $\bigvee_{j=1}^n R_{i_j} = 1_{\mathcal{H}}$ .

$S$  space  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T})$  is called fuzzy nearly compact<sup>14</sup> if every fuzzy regular open cover of  $\mathcal{H}$  has a finite subcover.

**Definition 1.18.**<sup>24</sup>  $S$  space  $\mathcal{H}$  is called fuzzy almost normal if for each fuzzy closed set  $S$  and each fuzzy regular closed set  $R$  such that  $S \cap R = \phi$ , there exists disjoint fuzzy open sets  $N$  and  $M$  such that  $S \leq N$  and  $R \leq M$ .

## 2 fuzzy completely $\gamma$ -irresolute function

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T})$  and  $(\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  be a fuzzy topological spaces. A function  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$ , is said to be a fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute function if  $h^{-1}(M)$  is fuzzy regular open in  $\mathcal{H}$  for every fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set  $M$  of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

*Remark 2.2.* Every fuzzy strongly continuous function is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -irresolute, but the converse is not true.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K} = \{a, b, c\}$ . Define fuzzy sets,  $\xi_1, \xi_2 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\mathcal{T} = \{0, 1\}$  and  $\sigma = \{0_{\mathcal{H}}, 1_{\mathcal{H}}, \xi_1, \xi_2\}$  where  $\xi_1 = \frac{0.3}{a} + \frac{0.4}{b} + \frac{0.5}{c}$ ,  $\xi_2 = \frac{0.7}{a} + \frac{0.5}{b} + \frac{0.5}{c}$ . Define  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  be the identity function. Then  $h$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -irresolute but not fuzzy strongly continuous.

*Remark 2.4.* Every completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute function is fuzzy completely continuous (fuzzy  $\gamma$ -irresolute). That the converse is false.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{H} = [0, 1]$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{1_{\mathcal{H}}, 0_{\mathcal{H}}, \xi_1, 1-\xi_1, \xi_1 \wedge (1-\xi_1), \xi_1 \vee (1-\xi_1)\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{1_{\mathcal{H}}, 0_{\mathcal{H}}, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_1 \vee \xi_2\}$ , where

$$\xi_1(P) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 2t - 1, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\xi_2(P) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{4} \\ -4t + 2, & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Let us consider the mapping  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{T}_2)$  defined by  $h(t) = \frac{1}{2}t$  for all  $t \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $h$  is clearly fuzzy  $\gamma$ -irresolute. Set  $\xi_3$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  given by  $\xi_3(t) = t$  for all  $t \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $h^{-1}(\xi_3)$  is not fuzzy regularly open in  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}_1)$ . Hence  $h$  is not fuzzy completely pre-irresolute.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K} = \{a, b, c\}$ . Define fuzzy sets,  $\xi_1, \xi_2 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\mathcal{T} = \{0, 1, \xi_3\}$  and  $\sigma = \{0_{\mathcal{H}}, 1_{\mathcal{H}}, \xi_1, \xi_2\}$  where  $\xi_1 = \frac{0.3}{a} + \frac{0.4}{b} + \frac{0.5}{c}$ ,  $\xi_2 = \frac{0.7}{a} + \frac{0.5}{b} + \frac{0.5}{c}$ . Define  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  be the identity function. Then  $h$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -irresolute but not fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute.

**Theorem 2.7.**  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  is a fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute mapping and  $S$  is any fuzzy open subset of  $\mathcal{H}$ , then the restriction  $h|_S : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute.

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open subset of  $\mathcal{K}$ . By hypothesis,  $h^{-1}(\lambda)$  is fuzzy regular open in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Since  $S$  is fuzzy open in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $(f|_S)^{-1}(\lambda) : h^{-1}(\lambda) \cap S$  is fuzzy regular open in  $S$ . Therefore,  $h|_S$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8.** Let  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  and  $g : (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, \gamma)$  be a mapping:

1) If  $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute and  $g : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -irresolute, then  $g \circ h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute.

2) If function  $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy completely continuous and is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute, then  $g \circ f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute.

3) If  $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute and  $g : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -continuous, then  $g \circ h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  is fuzzy completely continuous.

**Definition 2.9.** A fuzzy topological space  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be fuzzy connected<sup>19</sup> (resp. fuzzy  $\gamma$ -connected<sup>16</sup>) if it cannot be expressed as the union of two fuzzy separated (resp. fuzzy  $\gamma$ -separated) sets. Other wise  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T})$  is fuzzy fuzzy  $\gamma$ -disconnected.

**Theorem 2.10.** If a mapping  $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute surjection and  $\mathcal{H}$  is fuzzy almost connected then  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -connected.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathcal{H}$  is fuzzy connected and  $\mathcal{K}$  is not fuzzy  $\gamma$ -connected. Then  $\mathcal{K}$  can be written as  $\mathcal{K} = N \cup M$  such that  $N$  and  $M$  are disjoint nonempty fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets. Since  $h$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute,  $h^{-1}(N)$  and  $h^{-1}(M)$  are disjoint fuzzy regular open sets and  $\mathcal{H} = h^{-1}(N) \cup h^{-1}(M)$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{H}$  is not fuzzy connected. This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.11.** If a mapping  $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed, then for each subset  $R$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  and a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set  $N$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  containing  $h^{-1}(R)$  there exists a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set  $M$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  containing  $R$  such that  $h^{-1}(M) \leq N$ .

*Proof.* Obvious.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.12.** If  $h$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute from an almost regular space  $\mathcal{H}$  onto a space  $\mathcal{K}$ , then  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy strongly  $\gamma$ -regular.

*Proof.* Let  $h$  be fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed set in  $\mathcal{K}$  with  $y \notin H$  such that  $y = h(k)$ . Since  $h$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute function,  $h^{-1}(H)$  is fuzzy regular closed and so fuzzy closed set in  $\mathcal{H}$  and hence  $x \notin h^{-1}(H)$ . By almost regularity of  $\mathcal{H}$  there exists disjoint fuzzy open sets  $N$  and  $M$  such that  $x \in N$  and  $h^{-1}(H) \leq M$ . We obtain that  $y = h(k) \in h(N)$  and  $H \leq h(M)$  such that  $h(N)$  and  $h(M)$  are disjoint fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets. Thus  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy strongly  $\gamma$ -regular.  $\square$

**Definition 2.13.** A space  $\mathcal{H}$  is called fuzzy almost regular<sup>9</sup> (resp. fuzzy strongly  $\gamma$ -regular) if for any fuzzy regular closed (resp. fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed) set  $H \leq \mathcal{H}$  and any point  $x \in \mathcal{H} - H$ , there exists disjoint fuzzy open (resp. fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open) sets  $N$  and  $M$  such that  $k \in N$  and  $H \leq M$ .

**Definition 2.14.** A function  $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is called fuzzy pre- $\gamma$ -closed if the image of every fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed subset of  $\mathcal{H}$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed set in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Definition 2.15.** A space  $\mathcal{H}$  is called fuzzy strongly  $\gamma$ -normal if for every pair of disjoint fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed subsets  $\rho$  and  $\beta$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  there exists disjoint fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets  $N$  and  $M$  such that  $\rho \leq N$  and  $\beta \leq M$ .

**Theorem 2.16.** If  $h$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute injective mapping from an fuzzy almost normal spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  onto a space  $\mathcal{K}$  then  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy strongly  $\gamma$ -normal.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\beta$  be disjoint fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed sets in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Since  $h$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute function  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{P})$  and  $h^{-1}(\beta)$  are disjoint fuzzy regular closed and so fuzzy closed set in  $\mathcal{H}$ . By fuzzy almost normality of  $\mathcal{H}$ , there exists disjoint fuzzy open sets  $N$  and  $M$  such that  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{P}) \leq N$  and  $h^{-1}(\beta) \leq M$ . We obtain that  $\mathcal{P} \leq N$  and  $\beta \leq M$  such that  $h(N)$  and  $h(M)$  are disjoint fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open. Thus  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy strongly  $\gamma$ -normal.  $\square$

**Definition 2.17.** A fuzzy topological space  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be fuzzy- $bT_1^8$  (resp. fuzzy- $rT_1$ ) ( $fbT_1$ ) if for every pair of fuzzy singletons  $p_1, p_2$  with different supports  $k_1$  and  $k_2, k_1 \neq k_2$ , there exist  $hb$ -open (resp. fuzzy regular open) sets  $N$  and  $M$  such that,  $p_1 \leq N \leq 1 - p_2$  and  $P_2 \leq M \leq 1 - p_1$ .

**Theorem 2.18.** If  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute injective mapping and  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy  $bT_1$  then  $\mathcal{H}$  is fuzzy  $rT_1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy  $bT_1$ . For any two distinct points  $k$  and  $y$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ , there exists fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $h(k) \in H_1, h(y) \in H_2, h(k) \notin H_1$  and  $h(y) \notin H_2$ . Since  $h$  injective fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute function, we have  $\mathcal{H}$  is fuzzy  $rT_1$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.19.** A fuzzy topological space  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be fuzzy- $bT_2^8$  (resp. fuzzy- $rT_2$ ) ( $fbT_2$ ) if for every pair of fuzzy singletons  $p_1, p_2$  with different supports  $x_1$  and  $k_2, k_1 \neq k_2$ , there exist  $hb$ -open (resp. fuzzy regular open) sets  $N$  and  $M$  such that,  $p_1 \leq N \leq 1 - p_2$  and  $P_2 \leq M \leq 1 - p_1$  and  $N \leq 1 - M$ .

**Theorem 2.20.** If  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute injective mapping and  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy- $bT_2$  then  $\mathcal{H}$  is fuzzy- $rT_2$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy- $bT_2$ . For any two distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ , there exists fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $h(x) \in H_1, h(y) \in H_2, h(x) \notin H_1$  and  $h(y) \notin H_2$ . Since  $h$  injective fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute function, we have  $\mathcal{H}$  is fuzzy- $rT_2$ .  $\square$

### 3 Fuzzy Weakly Completely $\gamma$ -Irresolute mapping

A function  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute if and only if the inverse image of each fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set  $M$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy open set in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Let  $h : (I, \mathcal{T}_3) \rightarrow (I, \mathcal{T}_2)$  be defined by  $g(x) = x$  for each  $x \in I$ . Then  $g^{-1}(1) = (1), g^{-1}(\xi_2) = (\xi_2)$  which is fuzzy open but not regular open in  $(I, \mathcal{T}_3)$  Therefore,  $g$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute but not fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute.

**Theorem 3.1.** If a mapping  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$ , then the following are equivalent:

1.  $h$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute;
2. for each fuzzy point  $\mathcal{P} x$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and each fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open  $\gamma$ - $q$ -nbd  $M$  of  $h(\kappa_{\mathcal{P}})$ , there exists a fuzzy open  $q$ -nbd  $N$  of  $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}}$  subset that  $h(N) \leq M$ ,
3.  $h(Cl(S)) \leq \gamma Cl(h(S))$ , for each fuzzy set  $S$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ ,
4.  $Cl(h^{-1}(R)) \leq h^{-1}(\gamma Cl(R))$ , for each fuzzy set  $R$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ ,
5. for each fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed set  $M$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $h^{-1}(M)$  is a fuzzy closed set in  $\mathcal{H}$ ,
6.  $h^{-1}(\gamma Int(R)) \leq Int(h^{-1}(R))$ , for each fuzzy set  $R$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2): Let  $M$  be any fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open  $\gamma$ - $q$ -nbd of  $h(\kappa_{\mathcal{P}})$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then  $M(h(x))_{+\mathcal{P}} > 1$ . We choose a positive real number  $\delta$  such that  $M(h(x)) > \delta > 1 - \mathcal{P}$ . Then  $M$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set,  $h(\kappa_{\mathcal{P}}) \in M$ . By hypothesis, there exists fuzzy open set  $N_x \in N_{\mathcal{P}}$ , such that  $h(N) \leq M, N(\mathcal{H}) > \delta > 1 - \mathcal{P}$ . Therefore,  $N$  is a fuzzy open  $q$ -nbd of  $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}}$ .

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (3): Let  $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}} \in Cl(S)$  then  $NqS$  and  $h(N)qh(S)$  implies  $Mqh(S), h(\kappa_{\mathcal{P}}) \in \gamma Cl(h(S))$  and  $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}} \in$

$h^{-1}(\gamma Cl(h(S)))$ . Therefore,  $Cl(S) \leq h^{-1}(\gamma Cl(h(S)))$ . Hence,  $h(\kappa_{\mathcal{P}}) \in \gamma Cl(h(S))$   $h(Cl(S)) \leq fh^{-1}(\gamma Cl(h(S)))$ .  
 (3)  $\rightarrow$  (4): Let  $R$  be any fuzzy set in  $\mathcal{K}$ . By (3), we obtain

$$h(h^{-1}(R)) \leq \gamma Cl(h(h^{-1}(R))) \leq \gamma Cl(R)$$

and hence

$$h^{-1}(R) \leq h^{-1}(\gamma Cl(R))$$

(4)  $\rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}}$  be a fuzzy point in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $M$  be a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open,  $\gamma$ - $q$ -nbd of  $h(\kappa_{\mathcal{P}})$  and let  $h(\kappa_{\mathcal{P}}) \notin \gamma Cl(1 - M)$ , otherwise since  $M$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open  $\gamma$ - $q$ -nbd of  $h(\kappa_{\mathcal{P}}) \notin \gamma Cl(1 - M)$ , we have  $Mq(1 - M)$  which is a contradiction. Thus,  $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}} \notin h^{-1}(\gamma Cl(1 - M))$  and by hypothesis,  $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}} \neq Cl(h^{-1}(1 - N))$ . Then there exists a fuzzy open set  $N$  of  $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}}$  such that  $Nqh^{-1}(1 - M)$  which implies that  $h(N) \leq M$ .

(4)  $\rightarrow$  (5): Let  $H$  be any  $\gamma$ -closed set in  $\mathcal{K}$ . By (4), we have  $Cl(h^{-1}(H)) \leq h^{-1}(\gamma Cl(H)) = h^{-1}(H)$  and so,  $h^{-1}(H)$  is fuzzy closed in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

(5)  $\rightarrow$  (6): For any fuzzy set  $M$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $\gamma$ -Int( $M$ ) is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set in  $\mathcal{K}$  and so  $h^{-1}(\gamma Int(M))$  is fuzzy open set in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Hence  $h^{-1}(\gamma Int(M)) = Int(h^{-1}(\gamma Int(M))) \leq Int(h^{-1}(M))$ .

(6)  $\rightarrow$  (4): Let  $R$  be a fuzzy set in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then  $1 - \gamma Cl(R)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open in  $\mathcal{K}$ . By (6),  $h^{-1}(1 - \gamma Cl(R)) = 1 - h^{-1}(\gamma Cl(R))$  is fuzzy open in  $\mathcal{H}$  and so  $h^{-1}(\gamma Cl(R))$  is fuzzy closed in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Hence  $h^{-1}(R) \leq [h^{-1}(\gamma Cl(R))] = h^{-1}(\gamma Cl(R))$ .

(6)  $\rightarrow$  (1): Obvious. □

**Theorem 3.2.** <sup>23</sup> Let  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T})$  and  $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{T})$  be any two fuzzy topological spaces such that  $\mathcal{H}$  is product related to  $\mathcal{K}$  then the product  $S_1 \times S_2$  of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set  $S_1$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  and fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set  $S_2$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set of the fuzzy product space  $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{K}$ .

We can be seen that every fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute mapping is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -irresolute. But the converse need not be true as is shown in the following example.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $I = [0, 1]$  and  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  be fuzzy subsets of  $I$  defined as

$$\xi_1(P) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}(5t + 1), & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{1}{6}(t + 1), & \text{if } \frac{1}{5} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\xi_2(P) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{9}(5t + 1), & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{5}{4}(1 - 2t), & \text{if } \frac{1}{5} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{0, 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{1_{\mathcal{H}}, 0_{\mathcal{H}}, \xi_2\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_3 = \{1_{\mathcal{H}}, 0_{\mathcal{H}}, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_1 \vee \xi_2, \xi_1 \wedge \xi_2\}$  are topologies on  $I$ . Let  $h : (I, \mathcal{T}_1) \rightarrow (I, \mathcal{T}_2)$  be defined by  $h(t) = t$  for each  $t \in I$ . Then  $h$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -irresolute but not fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute.

**Theorem 3.4.**  $p_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute mapping and  $\mathcal{K}_1$  is product related to  $\mathcal{K}_2$ , then  $p_i : \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1 \times \mathcal{K}_2$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute.

*Proof.* Consider  $S = \vee(N_i \times M_i)$  where  $N_i$ 's and  $M_i$ 's are fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets of  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2$ , respectively. Since  $\mathcal{K}_1$  is product related to  $\mathcal{K}_2$  then from Theorem 3.2,  $S$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set of  $\mathcal{K}_1 \times \mathcal{K}_2$ . By Lemma 1.12 and 1.13,  $h^{-1}(S) = \vee(h_1^{-1}(N_i) \times h_2^{-1}(M_i))$ . Since  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute,  $h^{-1}(S)$  is a fuzzy open in  $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$ . □

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  be a mapping and  $\mathcal{H}$  is product related to  $\mathcal{K}$ . If the graph  $g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{K}$  of  $h$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute, then so is  $h$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set in  $\mathcal{K}$ . By Lemma 1.14, we have  $h^{-1}(M) = 1 \wedge h^{-1}(M) = g^{-1}(1 \times M)$ . Since  $g$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute and  $1 \times M$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set in  $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{K}$ .  $h^{-1}(M)$  is fuzzy open set in  $\mathcal{H}$  and so,  $h$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute. □

Next, the composition and preservation of fuzzy topological structure under the fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute which other fuzzy functions are studied.

**Corollary 3.6.** Let  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  and  $g : (\mathcal{K}, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, \gamma)$  be two functions.

1. If  $h$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute and  $g$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute, then  $g \circ h$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute.
2. If  $h$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute and  $g$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -irresolute, then  $g \circ h$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $g \circ h$ -irresolute
3. If  $h$  is fuzzy completely continuous and  $g$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute, then  $g \circ h$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute.
4. If  $h$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute and  $g$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute, then  $g \circ h$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute.
5. If  $h$  is fuzzy totally continuous and  $g$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute, then  $g \circ h$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute.
6. If  $h$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute and  $g$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -continuous, then  $g \circ h$  is fuzzy continuous.
7. If  $h$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -continuous and  $g$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute, then  $g \circ h$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -irresolute.
8. If  $h$  is fuzzy continuous and  $g$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute, then  $g \circ h$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute.

**Theorem 3.7.** If  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  is fuzzy almost open surjective and  $g : (\mathcal{K}, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, \gamma)$  is fuzzy mapping such that  $g \circ f : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, \gamma)$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute, then  $g$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute.

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set in  $\mathcal{Z}$ . since  $g \circ h$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute,  $(g \circ h)^{-1}(M) = h^{-1}(g^{-1}(M))$  is fuzzy regular open in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Since  $h$  is fuzzy almost open surjective,  $h(h^{-1}(g^{-1}(M))) = g^{-1}(M)$  is fuzzy open in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Hence  $g$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** If  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  is fuzzy open surjective and  $g : (\mathcal{K}, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, \gamma)$  is fuzzy mapping such that  $g \circ f : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, \gamma)$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute, then  $g$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute.

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.7.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $P_i$  be the projection mapping from  $\prod \mathcal{H}_i$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_i$ . If  $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \prod \mathcal{H}_i$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute function, then  $(h \circ P_i)$  is also fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute.

*Proof.* Obvious.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  is a fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute surjective function and  $\mathcal{H}$  is fuzzy compact space, then  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -compact.

*Proof.* Let  $\{C_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P} \in \Lambda\}$  be any fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open cover of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then  $\{h^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{P}}) : \mathcal{P} \in \Lambda\}$  is a fuzzy open cover of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}$  is fuzzy compact there exists a finite subfamily  $\{h^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{P}}) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  of  $\{h^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{P}}) : \mathcal{P} \in \Lambda\}$  which covers  $\mathcal{H}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -compact.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** Let  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute surjective function and  $\mathcal{H}$  is fuzzy compact space, then  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -compact.

**Definition 3.12.** <sup>16</sup> Let  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T})$  be a fuzzy topological space and  $R, S$  are fuzzy set in  $\mathcal{H}$  then  $R$  and  $S$  are said to be

1. Fuzzy separated iff  $\{Cl(R) \cap S\} = \phi$  and  $\{Cl(S) \cap R\} = \phi, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

2. Fuzzy  $\gamma$ -separated iff  $\gamma Cl(R)\tilde{q}S$  and  $\gamma Cl(S)\tilde{q}R, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Definition 3.13.** <sup>16</sup> A fuzzy topological space  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be fuzzy connected (resp. fuzzy  $\gamma$ -connected) if it cannot be expressed as the union of two fuzzy separated (resp. fuzzy  $\gamma$ -separated) sets.

**Lemma 3.14.** Two non-zero fuzzy sets  $S$  and  $R$  are fuzzy  $\gamma$ -separated if and only if there exist two fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets  $N$  and  $M$  such that  $S \leq N, R \leq M, S\tilde{q}M$  and  $R\tilde{q}N$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S$  and  $R$  be fuzzy  $\gamma$ -separated sets. Putting  $N = 1 - \gamma Cl(S)$  and  $M = 1 - \gamma(R)$ , then  $N$  and  $M$  are fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open such that  $S \leq N, R \leq M, S\tilde{q}M$  and  $R\tilde{q}N$ .

Conversely, let  $N$  and  $M$  be fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets such that  $S \leq N, R \leq M, S\tilde{q}M$  and  $R\tilde{q}N$ . Since  $1 - M$  and  $1 - N$  are fuzzy  $\gamma$ -closed, we have  $\gamma Cl(S) \leq (1 - M) \leq (1 - R)$  and  $\gamma Cl(R) \leq (1 - N) \leq (1 - S)$ . Thus  $\gamma Cl(S)\tilde{q}Cl(R)$  and  $\gamma Cl(R)\tilde{q}Cl(S)$ . Hence  $S$  and  $R$  are fuzzy  $\gamma$ -separated.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.15.** Let  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  be a fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute surjective function. If  $N$  is a fuzzy connected subset in  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $h(N)$  is also fuzzy  $\gamma$ -connected.

**Theorem 3.16.** If  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute injective function and  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ - $T_1$  then  $\mathcal{H}$  is fuzzy Hausdorff.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y$  be any two distinct points of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Since  $h$  is injective, we have  $h(x) \neq h(y)$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ - $T_2$ , there exists  $M$  and  $W$  are  $\gamma$ -open sets in  $\mathcal{K}$ . such that  $M \cap W = 0$ . Since  $h$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute, there exists fuzzy open sets  $G$  and  $H$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $h(G) \leq M$  and  $h(H) \leq W$ . Hence we obtain  $G \cap H = 0$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{H}$  is fuzzy Hausdorff.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.17.** A function  $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute if the graph function  $g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ , defined by  $g(x) = (x, g(x))$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute.

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be any fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then  $1 \times M$  is a fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open set of  $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{K}$ . Since  $g$  is fuzzy completely  $\gamma$ -irresolute,  $h^{-1}(M) = g^{-1}(1 \times M)$  is fuzzy regular open in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus  $h$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.18.** If a function  $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is a fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute surjection and  $\mathcal{H}$  is fuzzy connected, then  $\mathcal{K}$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -connected.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{K}$  is not fuzzy  $\gamma$ -connected. There exists non empty fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets  $M$  and  $W$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $\mathcal{K} = M \cup W$ . Since  $h$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute.  $h^{-1}(M)$  and  $h^{-1}(W)$  This shows that  $\mathcal{H}$  is not fuzzy connected. This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.19.** Let  $h : (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}, \sigma)$  is fuzzy completely weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute surjective function. If  $N$  is a fuzzy connected subset in  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $h(N)$  is fuzzy  $\gamma$ -connected in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $h(N)$  is not  $\gamma$ -connected in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then there exist fuzzy  $\gamma$ -separated subsets  $G$  and  $H$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ . such that  $h(N) = G \cap H$ . By Lemma 3.14, there exist fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open subsets  $M$  and  $W$  such that  $G \leq M, H \leq W, G\tilde{q}W$  and  $H\tilde{q}M$ . Since  $h$  is fuzzy complete weakly  $\gamma$ -irresolute,  $h^{-1}(G)$  and  $h^{-1}(H)$  are fuzzy open in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $N = h^{-1}(h(N)) = h^{-1}(G \cap H) = h^{-1}(G) \cap h^{-1}(H)$ . It is clear that  $h^{-1}(G)$  and  $h^{-1}(H)$  are fuzzy separated in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Therefore,  $N$  is not fuzzy connected in  $\mathcal{H}$ .  $\square$

#### 4 conclusion

We have defined and proved basic properties of Fuzzy Completely  $\gamma$ -Irresolute Functions and Fuzzy Completely Weakly  $\gamma$ -Irresolute Function. Many results have been established to show how far topological structures are preserved by these  $\gamma$ -Irresolute Functions. We also have provided examples where such properties fail to be preserved.

## 5 Author's contributions

This article was written in collaboration by all of the contributors. The final manuscript was read and approved by all writers.

## 6 Conflicts of interest

There are no competing interests declared by the authors.

## References

- [1] K.K. Azad, On fuzzy semi-continuity, Fuzzy Almost continuity and Fuzzy weakly continuity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 82, 14-32 (1981).
- [2] G. Balasubramanian, On some generalization of fuzzy continuous functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 86 (1993), 93-100.
- [3] R.N. Bhaumik and Anjan Mukerjee, Fuzzy Completely continuous mappings, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 56(1993), 243-246.
- [4] S.S. Benchalli and Jenifer Karna, On Fuzzy  $b$ -open sets in Fuzzy Topological Spaces, *J. Computer and Mathematical Sciences*, 1(2010), 127-134 .
- [5] S. S. Benchalli and Jenifer J. Karna, On Fuzzy  $\gamma$ -Neighbourhoods and Fuzzy  $\gamma$ -Mappings in Fuzzy Topological Spaces, *J. Comp. Math. Sci*, Vol. 1 (6)(2010), 696-701.
- [6] Al-Omeri, W., Noiri, T. On almost  $e$ -I-continuous functions. *Demonstratio Mathematica*, 2021, 54(1), pp. 168–177
- [7] C.L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 24(1968), 182-190.
- [8] Krsteska. Biljana, Fuzzy  $\gamma$ -open sets and fuzzy  $\gamma$ -separation axioms, *Filomat*,(1999), 115-128.
- [9] C. Jia Li and Ji-Shu Chang Fuzzy Almost Regular and Fuzzy Strong Hausdorff separation properties, *Machine learning and Cybernetics*, (2004), 1177-1181.
- [10] Al-Omeri, W.F., Khalil, O.H., Ghareeb, A. Degree of (L, M)-fuzzy semi-precontinuous and (L, M)-fuzzy semi-preirresolute functions, *Demonstr. Math.* 51 (1), 182–197. Science
- [11] A.S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd, El-Monsef and S.N. El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt* 53, (1982), 47-53.
- [12] Pao-Ming Pu and Ying-Ming Liu, Fuzzy Topology- $I$  Neighborhood structure of fuzzy point and Moore-smith convergence, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 6(1980), 571-599.
- [13] S. S. Thakur, Surendra Singh, On fuzzy semi-preopen sets and fuzzy semi-semi-Precontinuity, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 98(1998), 383-391.
- [14] Es A Haydor, Almost compactness and nearly compactness in fuzzy topological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 22(1987), 189-202.
- [15] Hanan Ali Hussein, ON Compactly fuzzy  $b$ - $k$ -closed sets, *Journal of Rabydon University, Pure and Applied Sciences*, No.(7), Mol.(22)(2014), 1-12.
- [16] M. T. Hmod, M. A. Al-khafaji and T. H. Majeed, Some types of fuzzy open sets in fuzzy topological groups, *Int. J. of Advanced Math. Sci*, 3 (2)(2015), 103-107.
- [17] A. N. Mukherjee, Fuzzy totally continuous and totally semi-continuous functions, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 107(1999), 227-230.

- [18] O. Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, *Pacific J. Math*, 51(1965), 961-970.
- [19] G. Navalagi and R. K. Saraf, On fuzzy contra semicontinuous functions and fuzzy strongly semi  $S$ -closed spaces, (preprint).
- [20] S. Nanda, Strongly compact fuzzy topological spaces, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 42(1991), 259-262.
- [21] B. R. Patel and A. T. Khan, "Exploring b-fuzzy topological spaces and their properties," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*", vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 101-110, 2021.
- [22] WC. L. Zhang and D. Y. Liu, "Fuzzy continuous mappings and their applications in topological spaces," in *\*International Journal of Fuzzy Systems\**, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 245-256, 2022.
- [23] M. Vijaya and M. Rajesh, Study On Fuzzy  $\gamma^*$ -fuzzy semi open sets and Fuzzy  $\gamma^*$ - semi closed set in fuzzy topological spaces, *Inte. J. of P. and Applied Math*, Volume 117 No. 5 (2017), 99-107.
- [24] S. P. Sinha, Fuzzy normality and some of its weaker forms, *Rull. Korean Math. Soc.* 28, No. 1(1991), 89-97.
- [25] A.B.Saeid, Fuzzy topological B-algebras, *International.J. of fuzzy systems*, 8(3)(2006), 160-164.
- [26] C. K. Wong, Fuzzy topology, Product and quotient spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Sppl*, 45(1974), 512-521.
- [27] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Computation*, vol. 8(1965), 338-353.