



Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Vague Soft Sets and its Applications

Manal Al-Labadi¹, Shuker Khalil^{2,*}, Radhika V. R.³, Mohana K.³

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, University of Petra, Amman, Jordan

²Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Basrah, Basrah 61004, Iraq

³Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, Coimbatore, India

Emails: manal.allabadi@uop.edu.jo; shuker.khalil@uobasrah.edu.iq; radhikaramakrishnan2805@gmail.com;
rivaraju1116@gmail.com

Abstract

The objective of this paper is to extend the concept of standard soft sets to pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft sets (PNVSSs) by applying soft set theory to pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague sets (PNVSs) to make them stronger and more usable. We additionally describe its null, absolute, and fundamental operations, such as complement, subset, equality, union, and intersection, using examples. In addition, we defined the Pentaprtitioned Neutrosophic Vague multiset and the Possibility Pentaprtitioned Neutrosophic Vague sets (PPNVSSs). We also look at several related properties and the proofs for them. Finally, this concept is applied to a decision-making problem, and its viability is demonstrated using an example. Related properties and the proofs for them. Finally, this concept is applied to a decision-making problem, and its viability is demonstrated using an example.

Keywords: Neutrosophic sets; Pentapartitioned neutrosophic sets; Soft sets; Multiset; Neutrosophic soft sets; Vague sets

1. Introduction

Zadeh [1] proposed the fuzzy set as a mathematical tool for dealing with issues and ambiguity in everyday life. Ahmadian et al. [2], Paul, and Bhattacharya [3] have applied fuzzy sets and fuzzy logic to a variety of real-world issues in ambiguous, uncertain contexts since then. Also, some studies in fuzzy sets see [4-18] and others non-classical sets are given, like soft sets ([19-32]), permutation sets ([33-54]), nano sets [55], neutrosophic sets ([56-63]) and others [64-72].

Molodtsov [73] first presented soft set theory to deal with uncertainty and ambiguity. Since then, Alkhazaleh et al. [74], Salleh et al. [75], and others have conducted extensive research on soft set and fuzzy set methodologies, embracing the advantages of both soft set and fuzzy set methodologies.

Furthermore, soft sets have rapidly evolved to soft expert set fuzzy parameterized single valued neutrosophic soft expert set theory [76], soft multiset theory, Q-fuzzy soft sets, vague soft sets relations and functions, intuitionistic neutrosophic soft set [77], interval valued neutrosophic soft sets and its decision making [78] interval – valued vague soft sets and its applications.

Alkhalazleh proposed the neutrosophic vague set, which combines the neutrosophic set and the vague set. The neutrosophic vague theory is a valuable tool for interpreting evidence that is ambiguous, inconsistent, or incomplete. Assume we want to improve the neutrosophic vague set so that it is more effective and beneficial in solving decision – making difficulties.

The goal of this study is to develop a more effective hybrid pent partitioned neutrosophic vague set (HPNVS) and soft set model (SSM). Pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft set (PNVSS) is the name given to this revised model. This study makes three major contributions. To begin, we present the pent partitioned neutrosophic vague soft set (PNVSS), which addresses incompleteness, impreciseness, uncertainty, and indeterminacy. Second, we define null, absolute, and basic operations, including complement, subset, equality, union, and intersection, and investigate several related features with accompanying proofs. Finally, we present a decision-making algorithm based on (PNVSSs).

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will review important concepts and findings from this study.

Definition 2.1: [79] Assume that X is a universe. A Neutrosophic set A on X can be defined as follows:

$$A = \{\langle x, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\} \text{ where } T, I, F: X \rightarrow [0,1] \text{ and} \\ 0 \leq T_A(x) + I_A(x) + F_A(x) \leq 3.$$

Here, $T_A(x)$ is the degree of membership, $I_A(x)$ is the degree of indeterminacy and $F_A(x)$ is the degree of non – membership.

Definition 2.2: [80] A vague set is defined by a truth – membership function t_v and a false membership function f_v , where $t_v(x)$ is a lower bound on the grade of membership of x derived from the evidence for x , and $f_v(x)$ is a lower bound on the negation of x derived from the evidence against x . The values of $t_v(x)$ and $f_v(x)$ are both defined on the closed interval $[0, 1]$ with each point in a basic set X , where $t_v(x) + f_v(x) \leq 1$.

Definition 2.3: [81] A Neutrosophic vague set A_{NV} (NVS) on X written as:

$A = \{\langle x; \hat{T}_A(x); \hat{I}_A(x); \hat{F}_A(x) \rangle; x \in X\}$, whose truth membership, indeterminacy membership and false membership function is defined as:

$$\hat{T}_A(x) = [T^-, T^+], \quad \hat{I}_A(x) = [I^-, I^+], \quad \hat{F}_A(x) = [F^-, F^+],$$

Where, (1) $T^+ = 1 - F^-$ (2) $F^+ = 1 - T^-$ and (3) $0 \leq T^- + I^- + F^- \leq 2^+$ when X is continuous, a NVS A can be written as

$$A = \int \langle x; \hat{T}_A(x); \hat{I}_A(x); \hat{F}_A(x) \rangle / x, x \in X$$

When X is discrete, a NVS A can be written as

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x; \hat{T}_A(x); \hat{I}_A(x); \hat{F}_A(x) \rangle / x_i, \quad x_i \in X$$

Definition 2.4: [82] Let X be a universe. A Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic set A on X is defined as

$$A = \{ \langle x, T_A(x), C_A(x), G_A(x), U_A(x), F_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$$

Where $T, C, G, U, F: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $0 \leq T_A(x) + C_A(x) + G_A(x) + U_A(x) + F_A(x) \leq 5$. Here $T_A(x)$ is the truth membership, $C_A(x)$ is contradiction membership, $G_A(x)$ is ignorance membership, $U_A(x)$ is unknown and $F_A(x)$ is the false membership.

Definition 2.5: [83] A Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Vague Set $A_{\widehat{PNV}}$ (\widehat{PNVS} in short form) on the universe of discourse written as $A_{\widehat{PNV}} =$

$$\{ \langle x; \hat{T}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x); \hat{C}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x); \hat{G}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x); \hat{U}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x); \hat{F}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x) \rangle ; x \in X \}$$

whose truth membership, contradiction membership, ignorance membership, unknown membership, and false membership function is defined as:

$$\hat{T}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x) = [T^-, T^+], \quad \hat{C}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x) = [C^-, C^+], \quad \hat{G}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x) = [G^-, G^+],$$

$$\hat{U}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x) = [U^-, U^+], \quad \hat{F}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x) = [F^-, F^+],$$

Where (1) $T^+ = 1 - F^-$ (2) $F^+ = 1 - T^-$ (3) $C^+ = 1 - U^-$ (4) $U^+ = 1 - C^-$

$$(5) 0 \leq T^- + C^- + G^- + U^- + F^- \leq 4^+$$

Definition 2.6: [84] Let $A_{\widehat{PNV}}$ and $B_{\widehat{PNV}}$ be two \widehat{PNVS} of the universe U . If $\forall x \in U$,

$$\hat{T}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x) = \hat{T}_{B_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x); \quad \hat{C}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x) = \hat{C}_{B_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x); \quad \hat{G}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x) = \hat{G}_{B_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x); \quad \hat{U}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x) = \hat{U}_{B_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x); \quad \hat{F}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x) = \hat{F}_{B_{\widehat{PNV}}}(x)$$

then the \widehat{PNVS} $A_{\widehat{PNV}}$ and $B_{\widehat{PNV}}$ are equal.

Definition 2.7: [39] The complement of \widehat{PNVS} $A_{\widehat{PNV}}$ is referred as $A_{\widehat{PNV}}^c$ and is defined by $\hat{T}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}^c}(x) = [1 - T^+, 1 - T^-]$, $\hat{C}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}^c}(x) = [1 - C^+, 1 - C^-]$, $\hat{G}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}^c}(x) = [1 - G^+, 1 - G^-]$, $\hat{U}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}^c}(x) = [1 - U^+, 1 - U^-]$, $\hat{F}_{A_{\widehat{PNV}}^c}(x) = [1 - F^+, 1 - F^-]$.

3. Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Vague Soft Set (PNVSS)

Definition 3.1: Assume that U is a universe, E a set of parameters and $A \subseteq E$. A family of pairs (\hat{F}, A) is called a pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft set (PNVSS) over U where \hat{F} is a mapping given by

$$\hat{F}: A \rightarrow PNV(U)$$

and $PNV(U)$ denotes the set of all a pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague subsets of U .

Example 3.2: Let $U = \{r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4\}$ be a set of universe representing residential buildings. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\} = \{\text{large, small, medium}\}$ be a set of parameters defining the size of the residence. Define a mapping

$$\hat{F}a: A \rightarrow PNV(U),$$

$$\hat{F}(a_1) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{r_1}{\langle [0.1,0.3]; [0.2,0.8]; [0.1,0.9]; [0.3,0.7]; [0.2,0.8] \rangle} \frac{r_2}{\langle [0.1,0.7]; [0.2,0.5]; [0.3,0.9]; [0.4,0.6]; [0.5,0.6] \rangle} \\ \frac{r_3}{\langle [0.4,0.5]; [0.3,0.7]; [0.5,0.6]; [0.6,0.7]; [0.5,0.8] \rangle} \frac{r_4}{\langle [0,0.3]; [0.2,0.4]; [0.1,0.7]; [0.8,0.9]; [0.4,0.9] \rangle} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\hat{F}(a_2) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{r_1}{\langle [0.6,0.7]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.7,0.7]; [0.2,0.4] \rangle} \frac{r_2}{\langle [0.2,0.3]; [0.3,0.5]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.8,0.9]; [0.7,0.9] \rangle} \\ \frac{r_3}{\langle [0.3,0.4]; [0.6,0.8]; [0.4,0.6]; [0.7,0.8]; [0.6,0.9] \rangle} \frac{r_4}{\langle [0.1,0.3]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.2,0.7]; [0.2,0.9]; [0.4,0.6] \rangle} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\hat{F}(a_3) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{r_1}{\langle [0.3,0.4]; [0.2,0.2]; [0.1,0.2]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.1,0.8] \rangle} \frac{r_2}{\langle [0.2,0.8]; [0.5,0.7]; [0.4,0.5]; [0.6,0.7]; [0.5,0.9] \rangle} \\ \frac{r_3}{\langle [0.3,0.6]; [0,0.7]; [0.7,0.9]; [0.4,0.8]; [0.2,0.6] \rangle} \frac{r_4}{\langle [0.5,0.6]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.4,0.7]; [0.2,0.9] \rangle} \end{array} \right\}$$

Then we can write the pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft set (\hat{F}, A)

as the following collection of approximations:

$$(\hat{F}, A) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left(a_1, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{r_1}{\langle [0.1,0.3]; [0.2,0.8]; [0.1,0.9]; [0.3,0.7]; [0.2,0.8] \rangle} \frac{r_2}{\langle [0.1,0.7]; [0.2,0.5]; [0.3,0.9]; [0.4,0.6]; [0.5,0.6] \rangle} \\ \frac{r_3}{\langle [0.4,0.5]; [0.3,0.7]; [0.5,0.6]; [0.6,0.7]; [0.5,0.8] \rangle} \frac{r_4}{\langle [0,0.3]; [0.2,0.4]; [0.1,0.7]; [0.8,0.9]; [0.4,0.9] \rangle} \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ \left(a_2, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{r_1}{\langle [0.6,0.7]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.7,0.7]; [0.2,0.4] \rangle} \frac{r_2}{\langle [0.2,0.3]; [0.3,0.5]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.8,0.9]; [0.7,0.9] \rangle} \\ \frac{r_3}{\langle [0.3,0.4]; [0.6,0.8]; [0.4,0.6]; [0.7,0.8]; [0.6,0.9] \rangle} \frac{r_4}{\langle [0.1,0.3]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.2,0.7]; [0.2,0.9]; [0.4,0.6] \rangle} \end{array} \right\} \right) \\ \left(a_3, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{r_1}{\langle [0.3,0.4]; [0.2,0.2]; [0.1,0.2]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.1,0.8] \rangle} \frac{r_2}{\langle [0.2,0.8]; [0.5,0.7]; [0.4,0.5]; [0.6,0.7]; [0.5,0.9] \rangle} \\ \frac{r_3}{\langle [0.3,0.6]; [0,0.7]; [0.7,0.9]; [0.4,0.8]; [0.2,0.6] \rangle} \frac{r_4}{\langle [0.5,0.6]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.4,0.7]; [0.2,0.9] \rangle} \end{array} \right\} \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

Table 1 can be used to depict PNVSS. The entries are c_{ij} corresponding to the residence r_i , and the parameter a_j , where c_{ij} (true membership pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague value r_i , contradiction membership pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague value r_i , ignorance membership pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague value r_i , unknown membership pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague value r_i , false membership pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague value r_i) in $\hat{F}(a_j)$.

Table 1 shows the tabular form of the PNVSS (\hat{F}, A) .

Table 1: Representation of (\hat{F}, A)

U	a_1	a_2	a_3
(r_1)	$\langle [0.1,0.3]; [0.2,0.8]; [0.1,0.9]; [0.3,0.7]; [0.2,0.8] \rangle$	$\langle [0.6,0.7]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.7,0.7]; [0.2,0.4] \rangle$	$\langle [0.3,0.4]; [0.2,0.2]; [0.1,0.2]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.1,0.8] \rangle$
(r_2)	$\langle [0.1,0.7]; [0.2,0.5]; [0.3,0.9]; [0.4,0.6]; [0.5,0.6] \rangle$	$\langle [0.2,0.3]; [0.3,0.5]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.8,0.9]; [0.7,0.9] \rangle$	$\langle [0.2,0.8]; [0.5,0.7]; [0.4,0.5]; [0.6,0.7]; [0.5,0.9] \rangle$
(r_3)	$\langle [0.4,0.5]; [0.3,0.7]; [0.5,0.6]; [0.6,0.7]; [0.5,0.8] \rangle$	$\langle [0.3,0.4]; [0.6,0.8]; [0.4,0.6]; [0.7,0.8]; [0.6,0.9] \rangle$	$\langle [0.3,0.6]; [0,0.7]; [0.7,0.9]; [0.4,0.8]; [0.2,0.6] \rangle$
(r_4)	$\langle [0,0.3]; [0.2,0.4]; [0.1,0.7]; [0.8,0.9]; [0.4,0.9] \rangle$	$\langle [0.1,0.3]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.2,0.7]; [0.2,0.9]; [0.4,0.6] \rangle$	$\langle [0.5,0.6]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.4,0.7]; [0.2,0.9] \rangle$

Definition 3.3: Let (\hat{F}, A) and (\hat{G}, B) be two (PNVSSs) over a universe U . A (PNVSS) (\hat{F}, A) is a subset of (\hat{G}, B) and referred as $(\hat{F}, A) \subseteq (\hat{G}, B)$ if and only if

1. $A \subseteq B$, and 2. $\forall a \in A, \hat{F}(a)$ is a pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague subset of $\hat{G}(a)$.

Definition 3.4: Assume that (\hat{F}, A) and (\hat{G}, B) are (PNVSSs) over a universe U . A (PNVSS) (\hat{F}, A) is equal to (\hat{G}, B) and referred as $(\hat{F}, A) = (\hat{G}, B)$ if each one is a subset of other.

Definition 3.5: For a (PNVSS) (\hat{F}, A) over a universe U , (\hat{F}, A) is called a null (PNVSS) referred as ψ_A , if $T_{\hat{F}(a)}(m) = [0,0], C_{\hat{F}(a)}(m) = [0,0], G_{\hat{F}(a)}(m) = [1,1], U_{\hat{F}(a)}(m) = [1,1],$ and $F_{\hat{F}(a)}(m) = [1,1], \forall m \in U$ and $\forall a \in A$.

Definition 3.6: For a (PNVSS) (\hat{F}, A) over a universe U , (\hat{F}, A) is called an absolute (PNVSS) referred as $\hat{\Psi}$, if $\forall m \in U$ and $\forall a \in A, T_{\hat{F}(a)}(m) = [1,1], C_{\hat{F}(a)}(m) = [1,1], G_{\hat{F}(a)}(m) = [0,0], U_{\hat{F}(a)}(m) = [0,0],$ and $F_{\hat{F}(a)}(m) = [0,0]$.

4. Basic Operations

Here, we establish some fundamental (PNVSS) operations including complement, union, and intersection, as well as shown examples, and then we go over certain attributes.

Definition 4.1: Let (\hat{F}, A) be a (PNVSS) over a universe U . Then the complement of (\hat{F}, A) is referred as $(\hat{F}, A)^c$ and is defined as $(\hat{F}, A)^c = (\hat{F}^c, A)$, where $\hat{F}^c : A \rightarrow PNV(U)$ is a mapping given by

$$\hat{F}^c(\alpha) = \tilde{c}(\hat{F}(\alpha)), \forall \alpha \in A$$

where \tilde{c} is a pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague complement.

Example 4.2: Consider Example 3.2, then $(\hat{F}, A)^c$ is given by

$$(\hat{F}, A)^c = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{l} a_1, \left(\left(\frac{r_1}{\langle [0.7,0.9]; [0.2,0.8]; [0.1,0.9]; [0.3,0.7]; [0.2,0.8] \rangle}, \frac{r_2}{\langle [0.3,0.9]; [0.5,0.8]; [0.1,0.7]; [0.4,0.6]; [0.4,0.5] \rangle} \right), \right. \\ \left. \left(\frac{r_3}{\langle [0.5,0.6]; [0.3,0.7]; [0.4,0.5]; [0.3,0.4]; [0.2,0.5] \rangle}, \frac{r_4}{\langle [0.7,1]; [0.6,0.8]; [0.3,0.9]; [0.1,0.2]; [0.1,0.6] \rangle} \right) \right) \\ a_2, \left(\left(\frac{r_1}{\langle [0.3,0.4]; [0.2,0.7]; [0.1,0.5]; [0.3,0.3]; [0.6,0.8] \rangle}, \frac{r_2}{\langle [0.7,0.8]; [0.5,0.7]; [0.1,0.5]; [0.1,0.2]; [0.1,0.3] \rangle} \right), \right. \\ \left. \left(\frac{r_3}{\langle [0.6,0.7]; [0.2,0.4]; [0.4,0.6]; [0.2,0.3]; [0.1,0.4] \rangle}, \frac{r_4}{\langle [0.7,0.9]; [0.1,0.5]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.1,0.8]; [0.4,0.6] \rangle} \right) \right) \\ a_3, \left(\left(\frac{r_1}{\langle [0.6,0.7]; [0.8,0.8]; [0.8,0.9]; [0.2,0.7]; [0.2,0.9] \rangle}, \frac{r_2}{\langle [0.2,0.8]; [0.3,0.5]; [0.5,0.6]; [0.3,0.4]; [0.1,0.5] \rangle} \right), \right. \\ \left. \left(\frac{r_3}{\langle [0.4,0.7]; [0.3,1]; [0.1,0.3]; [0.2,0.6]; [0.4,0.8] \rangle}, \frac{r_4}{\langle [0.4,0.5]; [0.2,0.7]; [0.1,0.4]; [0.3,0.6]; [0.1,0.8] \rangle} \right) \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

Definition

4.3:

Let (\hat{F}, A) and (\hat{G}, B) be two (PNVSS) over a universe U . Then the union of (\hat{F}, A) and (\hat{G}, B) referred as $(\hat{F}, A) \cup (\hat{G}, B)$ and is defined as $(\hat{F}, A) \cup (\hat{G}, B) = (\hat{H}, C)$ such that

$$C = A \cup B \quad \forall a \in C \text{ is}$$

$$(\hat{H}, C) = \begin{cases} \hat{F}(a) & , \text{if } a \in A - B \\ \hat{G}(a) & , \text{if } a \in B - A \\ \hat{F}(a) \tilde{\cup} \hat{G}(a) & , \text{if } a \in A \cap B \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{\cup}$ denotes the pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague set union.

Example 4.4: Consider Example 3.2, (\hat{F}, A) and (\hat{G}, B) be two PNVSSs with tabular representation as in Table 2 and Table.

Table 2: Representation of (\hat{F}, A)

U	$a_1 = Large$	$a_2 = Medium$	$a_3 = Small$
(r_1)	$\langle [0.1,0.3]; [0.2,0.8]; [0.1,0.9]; [0.3,0.7]; [0.2,0.8] \rangle$	$\langle [0.6,0.7]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.7,0.7]; [0.2,0.4] \rangle$	$\langle [0.3,0.4]; [0.2,0.2]; [0.1,0.2]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.1,0.8] \rangle$
(r_2)	$\langle [0.1,0.7]; [0.2,0.5]; [0.3,0.9]; [0.4,0.6]; [0.5,0.6] \rangle$	$\langle [0.2,0.3]; [0.3,0.5]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.8,0.9]; [0.7,0.9] \rangle$	$\langle [0.2,0.8]; [0.5,0.7]; [0.4,0.5]; [0.6,0.7]; [0.5,0.9] \rangle$
(r_3)	$\langle [0.4,0.5]; [0.3,0.7]; [0.5,0.6]; [0.6,0.7]; [0.5,0.8] \rangle$	$\langle [0.3,0.4]; [0.6,0.8]; [0.4,0.6]; [0.7,0.8]; [0.6,0.9] \rangle$	$\langle [0.3,0.6]; [0,0.7]; [0.7,0.9]; [0.4,0.8]; [0.2,0.6] \rangle$
(r_4)	$\langle [0,0.3]; [0.2,0.4]; [0.1,0.7]; [0.8,0.9]; [0.4,0.9] \rangle$	$\langle [0.1,0.3]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.2,0.7]; [0.2,0.9]; [0.4,0.6] \rangle$	$\langle [0.5,0.6]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.4,0.7]; [0.2,0.9] \rangle$

Table 3: Representation of (\hat{G}, B)

U	$a_1 = Small$	$a_2 = X - Large$
(r_1)	$\langle [0.1,0.2]; [0.2,0.9]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.2,0.6]; [0.3,0.6] \rangle$	$\langle [0.2,0.7]; [0.5,0.6]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.7,0.9]; [0.1,0.4] \rangle$
(r_2)	$\langle [0.5,0.7]; [0.2,0.8]; [0.2,0.5]; [0.3,0.7]; [0.4,0.8] \rangle$	$\langle [0.3,0.5]; [0.5,0.7]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.1,0.8]; [0.2,0.3] \rangle$
(r_3)	$\langle [0.2,0.3]; [0.3,0.5]; [0.2,0.6]; [0.4,0.7]; [0.3,0.6] \rangle$	$\langle [0.1,0.3]; [0.3,0.6]; [0.5,0.7]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.3,0.3] \rangle$
(r_4)	$\langle [0.3,0.3]; [0.2,0.6]; [0.5,0.7]; [0.4,0.5]; [0.5,0.6] \rangle$	$\langle [0.4,0.6]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.1,0.3]; [0.5,0.8]; [0.3,0.5] \rangle$

The union of (\hat{F}, A) and (\hat{G}, B) can be represented as in Table 4.

Table 4: $(\hat{F}, A) \tilde{\cup} (\hat{G}, B)$

U	$a_1 = Large$	$a_2 = Medium$
(r_1)	$\langle [0.1,0.3]; [0.2,0.8]; [0.1,0.9]; [0.3,0.7]; [0.2,0.8] \rangle$	$\langle [0.6,0.7]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.7,0.7]; [0.2,0.4] \rangle$
(r_2)	$\langle [0.1,0.7]; [0.2,0.5]; [0.3,0.9]; [0.4,0.6]; [0.5,0.6] \rangle$	$\langle [0.2,0.3]; [0.3,0.5]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.8,0.9]; [0.7,0.9] \rangle$
(r_3)	$\langle [0.4,0.5]; [0.3,0.7]; [0.5,0.6]; [0.6,0.7]; [0.5,0.8] \rangle$	$\langle [0.3,0.4]; [0.6,0.8]; [0.4,0.6]; [0.7,0.8]; [0.6,0.9] \rangle$
(r_4)	$\langle [0,0.3]; [0.2,0.4]; [0.1,0.7]; [0.8,0.9]; [0.4,0.9] \rangle$	$\langle [0.1,0.3]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.2,0.7]; [0.2,0.9]; [0.4,0.6] \rangle$
U	$a_3 = Small$	$a_2 = X - Large$
(r_1)	$\langle [0.3,0.4]; [0.2,0.2]; [0.1,0.2]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.1,0.8] \rangle$	$\langle [0.2,0.7]; [0.5,0.6]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.7,0.9]; [0.1,0.4] \rangle$
(r_2)	$\langle [0.2,0.8]; [0.5,0.7]; [0.4,0.5]; [0.6,0.7]; [0.5,0.9] \rangle$	$\langle [0.3,0.5]; [0.5,0.7]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.1,0.8]; [0.2,0.3] \rangle$
(r_3)	$\langle [0.3,0.6]; [0,0.7]; [0.7,0.9]; [0.4,0.8]; [0.2,0.6] \rangle$	$\langle [0.1,0.3]; [0.3,0.6]; [0.5,0.7]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.3,0.3] \rangle$
(r_4)	$\langle [0.5,0.6]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.4,0.7]; [0.2,0.9] \rangle$	$\langle [0.4,0.6]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.1,0.3]; [0.5,0.8]; [0.3,0.5] \rangle$

Definition 4.5: Let (\hat{F}, A) and (\hat{G}, B) be two (PNVSSs) over a universe U . Then the intersection of (\hat{F}, A) and (\hat{G}, B) denoted by $(\hat{F}, A) \tilde{\cap} (\hat{G}, B)$ and is defined as $(\hat{F}, A) \tilde{\cap} (\hat{G}, B) = (\hat{K}, C)$ such that $C =$

$$A \cap B \quad \forall a \in C \text{ is } (\hat{K}, C) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \hat{F}(a) & , \text{if } a \in A - B \\ \hat{G}(a) & , \text{if } a \in B - A \\ \hat{F}(a) \tilde{\cap} \hat{G}(a) & , \text{if } a \in A \cap B \end{array} \right\}, \text{ where } \tilde{\cap} \text{ denotes the}$$

pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague set intersection.

Example 4.6: By using basic pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague set intersection in Example (4.4), we can show that $(\hat{F}, A) \tilde{\cap} (\hat{G}, B) = (\hat{K}, C)$, where the tabular representation of (\hat{K}, C) is as in Table 5.

Table 5: $(\hat{F}, A) \tilde{\cap} (\hat{G}, B)$

U	$a_1 = \text{Large}$	$a_2 = \text{Medium}$
(r_1)	$\langle [0.1,0.3]; [0.2,0.8]; [0.1,0.9]; [0.3,0.7]; [0.2,0.8] \rangle$	$\langle [0.6,0.7]; [0.3,0.8]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.7,0.7]; [0.2,0.4] \rangle$
(r_2)	$\langle [0.1,0.7]; [0.2,0.5]; [0.3,0.9]; [0.4,0.6]; [0.5,0.6] \rangle$	$\langle [0.2,0.3]; [0.3,0.5]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.8,0.9]; [0.7,0.9] \rangle$
(r_3)	$\langle [0.4,0.5]; [0.3,0.7]; [0.5,0.6]; [0.6,0.7]; [0.5,0.8] \rangle$	$\langle [0.3,0.4]; [0.6,0.8]; [0.4,0.6]; [0.7,0.8]; [0.6,0.9] \rangle$
(r_4)	$\langle [0.0,0.3]; [0.2,0.4]; [0.1,0.7]; [0.8,0.9]; [0.4,0.9] \rangle$	$\langle [0.1,0.3]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.2,0.7]; [0.2,0.9]; [0.4,0.6] \rangle$
U	$a_3 = \text{Small}$	$a_2 = X - \text{Large}$
(r_1)	$\langle [0.1,0.2]; [0.2,0.9]; [0.5,0.9]; [0.2,0.6]; [0.3,0.6] \rangle$	$\langle [0.2,0.7]; [0.5,0.6]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.7,0.9]; [0.1,0.4] \rangle$
(r_2)	$\langle [0.5,0.7]; [0.2,0.8]; [0.2,0.5]; [0.3,0.7]; [0.4,0.8] \rangle$	$\langle [0.3,0.5]; [0.5,0.7]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.1,0.8]; [0.2,0.3] \rangle$
(r_3)	$\langle [0.2,0.3]; [0.3,0.5]; [0.2,0.6]; [0.4,0.7]; [0.3,0.6] \rangle$	$\langle [0.1,0.3]; [0.3,0.6]; [0.5,0.7]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.3,0.3] \rangle$
(r_4)	$\langle [0.3,0.3]; [0.2,0.6]; [0.5,0.7]; [0.4,0.5]; [0.5,0.6] \rangle$	$\langle [0.4,0.6]; [0.6,0.9]; [0.1,0.3]; [0.5,0.8]; [0.3,0.5] \rangle$

Proposition 4.7: For any two (PNVSSs) (\hat{K}, A) and (\hat{L}, B) over a universe U , we get:

1. Idempotency Laws:
 - a. $(\hat{K}, A) \tilde{\cup} (\hat{K}, A) = (\hat{K}, A)$
 - b. $(\hat{K}, A) \tilde{\cap} (\hat{K}, A) = (\hat{K}, A)$
2. Commutative Laws:
 - a. $(\hat{K}, A) \tilde{\cup} (\hat{L}, B) = (\hat{L}, B) \tilde{\cup} (\hat{K}, A)$
 - b. $(\hat{K}, A) \tilde{\cap} (\hat{L}, B) = (\hat{L}, B) \tilde{\cap} (\hat{K}, A)$

Proof: The proof is straightforward and thus omitted.

Proposition 4.8: For any three (PNVSSs) (\hat{K}, A) , (\hat{L}, B) and (\hat{M}, C) over a universe U , we get:

1. $(\hat{K}, A) \tilde{\cup} ((\hat{L}, B) \tilde{\cup} (\hat{M}, C)) = ((\hat{K}, A) \tilde{\cup} (\hat{L}, B)) \tilde{\cup} (\hat{M}, C)$
2. $(\hat{K}, A) \tilde{\cap} ((\hat{L}, B) \tilde{\cap} (\hat{M}, C)) = ((\hat{K}, A) \tilde{\cap} (\hat{L}, B)) \tilde{\cap} (\hat{M}, C)$
3. $(\hat{K}, A) \tilde{\cap} ((\hat{L}, B) \tilde{\cup} (\hat{M}, C)) = ((\hat{K}, A) \tilde{\cap} (\hat{L}, B)) \tilde{\cup} ((\hat{K}, A) \tilde{\cap} (\hat{M}, C))$

$$4. (\tilde{R}, A) \tilde{\cup} ((\tilde{L}, B) \tilde{\cap} (\tilde{M}, C)) = ((\tilde{R}, A) \tilde{\cup} (\tilde{L}, B)) \tilde{\cap} ((\tilde{R}, A) \tilde{\cup} (\tilde{M}, C))$$

Proof: The proof is obvious.

5. Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Vague Soft Multiset

Definition 5.1: Assume $\{U_i: i \in I\}$ is a collection of universe such that $\bigcap_{i \in I} U_i = \emptyset$ and let $\{E_{U_i}: i \in I\}$ be a collection of sets of parameters. Let $U = \prod_{i \in I} PNV(U_i)$ where $PNV(U_i)$ denotes the set of all pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague subsets of U_i , $E = \prod_{i \in I} E_{U_i}$ and $A \subseteq E$. A pair (F, A) is said to be a pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multiset (PNVSM) over U , where $F: A \rightarrow U$.

Definition 5.2:

For any (PNVSM) (F, A) , a pair $(e_{U_{i,j}}, F_{e_{U_{i,j}}})$ is said to be a U_i pentapartitioned vague soft multiset part $\forall e_{U_{i,j}} \in a_k$ and $F_{e_{U_{i,j}}} \subseteq F(A)$ is a pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague approximate value set, where $a_k \in A$, $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$.

Definition 5.3: For two (PNVSMs) (G, A) and (H, B) over U , (G, A) is said to be a pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multisubset of (H, B) , if

1. $A \subseteq B$, and
2. $\forall e_{U_{i,j}} \in a_k$, $(e_{U_{i,j}}, G_{e_{U_{i,j}}})$ is a pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague subset of $(e_{U_{i,j}}, H_{e_{U_{i,j}}})$ where $a_k \in A$, $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. This
3. Relationship is referred as $(G, A) \subseteq (H, B)$. In this case (H, B) , is called a pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multisuperset of (G, A) .

Definition 5.4: Let (G, A) and (H, B) be (PNVSMs) over U . They are said to be equal if each one is a pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multisuperset of other.

Definition 5.5: A (PNVSM) (G, A) over U is said to be a null (PNVSM) and referred as $(G, A)_\emptyset$ if all of the pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multiset parts of (G, A) equal \emptyset .

Definition 5.6: The complement of a pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multiset (G, A) is referred as $(G, A)^c$ and is defined by $(G, A)^c = (G^c, A)$ where $G^c: A \rightarrow U$ is a mapping given by $G^c(\alpha) = c(G(\alpha))$, $\forall \alpha \in A$ where c is pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague complement.

Proposition 5.7: If (G, A) is a pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multiset over U , then

1. $((G, A)^c)^c = (G, A)$
2. $(G, A)^c \Phi = (G, A)_U$
3. $(G, A)^c U = (G, A)_\Phi$

Proof: The proof is straightforward.

Definition 5.8: The union of two pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multisets (G, A) and (H, B) over U , referred as $(G, A) \cup (H, B)$ is a pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multiset (I, D) where $I = A \cup B$, and $\forall \alpha \in D$,

$$I(\alpha) = \begin{cases} G(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in A - B \\ H(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in B - A \\ G(\alpha) \cup H(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in A \cup B \end{cases}$$

and \cup denotes the pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague set union.

Definition 5.9: The intersection of two pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multisets (G, A) and (H, B) over U , referred as $(G, A) \cap (H, B)$ is a pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multiset (I, D) where, $D = A \cap B$, and $\forall \alpha \in D$,

$$I(\alpha) = \begin{cases} G(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in A - B \\ H(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in B - A \\ G(\alpha) \cap H(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in A \cap B \end{cases}$$

and \cap denotes the pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague set intersection.

Proposition 5.10: If (G, A) , (H, B) and (I, C) are three pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multisets over U , then

1. $(G, A) \cup ((H, B) \cup (I, C)) = ((G, A) \cup (H, B)) \cup (I, C)$
2. $(G, A) \cup (G, A) = (G, A)$
3. $(G, A) \cup (H, A)_{\Phi} = (G, A)$
4. $(G, A) \cup (H, A)_{\cup} = (H, A)_{\cup}$

Proof: The proof is straightforward.

Proposition 5.11: If (G, A) , (H, B) and (I, C) are three pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multisets over U , then

1. $(G, A) \cap ((H, B) \cap (I, C)) = ((G, A) \cap (H, B)) \cap (I, C)$
2. $(G, A) \cap (G, A) = (G, A)$
3. $(G, A) \cap (H, A)_{\Phi} = (H, A)_{\Phi}$
4. $(G, A) \cap (H, A)_{\cup} = (G, A)$

Proof: The proof is straightforward.

An Application in Decision Making

In the following paragraphs, we will review the approach that was created to solve a pentapartitioned neutrosophic soft set. Based on the technique for fuzzy soft multiset provided by Alkhazaleh and Salleh (2012), we also offer a new algorithm to address pentapartitioned neutrosophic ambiguous soft multiset decision-making issues. Then, to solve decision – making difficulties, we apply this new technique to the pentapartitioned neutrosophic hazy soft multiset model. Maji's Algorithm (Maji,2013) will be abbreviated MA, and Roy and Maji's Algorithm (Roy & Maji,2007) will be abbreviated RMA. Maji (2013) solved a decision – making problem using the following algorithm.

1. input the pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Soft Set (G, A) .
2. input P , the choice parameters which is a subset of P .
3. consider the PNSS (G, P) and write it in tabular form.

4. compute the comparison matrix of the PNSS (G, P) .
5. compute the score S_i of $h_i, \forall i$.
6. find $S_k = \max_i S_i$.
7. if k has more than one value then any one of h_i could be the preferable choice.

Alkhezaleh and Salleh (2012) proposed the following algorithm for fuzzy soft multiset.

1. Input the fuzzy soft multiset (M, C) which is introduced by making any operations between (G, A) and (I, B) .
2. Apply *RMA* to the first fuzzy soft multiset part in (M, C) to get the decision S_{k_1} .
3. Redefine the fuzzy soft multiset (M, C) by keeping all values in each row where S_{k_1} is maximum and replacing the values in the other rows by zero to get $(M, C)_1$.
4. Apply *RMA* to the second fuzzy soft multiset part in $(M, C)_1$ to get the decision S_{k_2} .
5. Redefine the fuzzy soft multiset $(G, A)_1$ by keeping the first and second parts and apply the method in step 3 to the third part to get $(M, C)_2$.
6. Apply *RMA* to the third fuzzy soft multiset part in $(M, C)_2$ to get the decision S_{k_3} .
7. The decision is $(S_{k_1}, S_{k_2}, S_{k_3})$.

Now we construct a *(PNVSM)* decision – making method by the following algorithm. Input the *(PNVSM)* which (M, C) is introduced by making any operation between (G, A) and (I, B) .

1. Apply *MA* to the first pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multiset part in (M, C) to get the decision S_{k_1} .
2. Redefine the pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multiset (M, C) by keeping all values in each row where S_{k_1} is maximum and replacing the values in other rows by zero to get $(M, C)_1$.
3. Apply *MA* to the second pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multiset part in $(M, C)_1$ to get the decision S_{k_2} .
4. Redefine the pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multiset $(M, C)_1$ by keeping the first and second parts and apply the method in step 3 to the third part to get $(M, C)_2$.
5. Apply *MA* to the third pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multiset part in $(M, C)_2$ to get the decision S_{k_3} .
6. The decision is $(S_{k_1}, S_{k_2}, S_{k_3})$.

We will apply this algorithm to the pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multiset model to solve a decision making problem in the following example.

Example 5.12: Let $U_1 = \{h_1, h_2, h_3\}$, $U_2 = \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$, and $U_3 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ be the sets of “houses”, “cars”, and “hotels” respectively.

Let $\{E_{U_1}, E_{U_2}, E_{U_3}\}$ be a collection of sets of decision parameters related to the above universes, where

$$E_{U_1} = \{e_{U_1,1} = \text{Bungalow}, e_{U_1,2} = \text{Apartment}, e_{U_1,3} = \text{Cottage}\}$$

$$E_{U_2} = \{e_{U_2,1} = \text{Coupe}, e_{U_2,2} = \text{Hatchback}, e_{U_2,3} = \text{Convertible}\}$$

$$E_{U_3} = \{e_{U_3,1} = \text{Resort}, e_{U_3,2} = \text{Suite}, e_{U_3,3} = \text{Motel}\}$$

$$\text{Let } A = \{a_1 = (e_{U_1,1}, e_{U_2,1}, e_{U_3,1}), a_2 = (e_{U_1,2}, e_{U_2,2}, e_{U_3,2}), a_3 = (e_{U_1,3}, e_{U_2,3}, e_{U_3,3})\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{b_1 = (e_{U_1,1}, e_{U_2,1}, e_{U_3,1}), b_2 = (e_{U_1,2}, e_{U_2,2}, e_{U_3,2}), b_3 = (e_{U_1,3}, e_{U_2,3}, e_{U_3,3})\}$$

Suppose a person wants to choose objects from the sets of given objects with respect to the sets of choice parameters. Let there be two observations (G, A) and (I, B) by two experts X_1 and X_2 respectively. Suppose

$$(G, A) =$$

$$\left(\left(\begin{array}{l} a_1, \left(\begin{array}{l} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_1} \\ [0.2,0.5],[0.3,0.6],[0.4,0.7],[0.4,0.8],[0.2,0.3] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_2} \\ [0.4,0.6],[0.5,0.5],[0.6,0.7],[0.2,0.9],[0.2,0.4] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_3} \\ [0.3,0.7],[0.6,0.9],[0.2,1],[0.1,0.2],[0.5,0.8] \end{array} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_1} \\ [0.4,0.9],[0.7,0.7],[0.3,0.7],[0.1,0.4],[0.5,0.6] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_2} \\ [0.2,0.4],[0.3,0.9],[0.7,0.7],[0.8,0.9],[0.4,0.6] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_3} \\ [0.4,0.8],[0.3,0.8],[0.1,0.6],[0.4,0.7],[0.4,0.6] \end{array} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{v_1} \\ [0.5,0.7],[0.6,0.8],[0.2,0.7],[0.3,0.4],[0.8,0.9] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{v_2} \\ [0.4,0.6],[0.2,0.5],[0.7,0.8],[0.4,0.7],[0.1,0.8] \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right) \\ a_2, \left(\begin{array}{l} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_1} \\ [0.3,0.6],[0.4,0.7],[0.5,0.8],[0.5,0.9],[0.2,0.2] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_2} \\ [0.5,0.7],[0.6,0.7],[0.7,0.9],[0.3,1],[0.5,0.7] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_3} \\ [0.2,0.7],[0.4,0.5],[0.3,0.5],[0.6,0.8],[0.7,0.8] \end{array} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_1} \\ [0.1,0.8],[0.2,0.7],[0.3,6],[0.4,0.5],[0.6,0.6] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_2} \\ [0.3,0.9],[0.4,0.8],[0.5,0.7],[0.6,0.9],[0.2,0.5] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_3} \\ [0.2,0.4],[0.4,0.6],[0.6,0.8],[0.3,0.5],[0.5,0.6] \end{array} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{v_1} \\ [0.4,0.8],[0.1,0.2],[0.2,0.4],[0.5,0.5],[0.3,0.6] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{v_2} \\ [0.6,0.7],[0.7,0.9],[0.5,0.8],[0.3,0.6],[0.2,0.3] \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right) \\ a_3, \left(\begin{array}{l} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_1} \\ [0.4,0.8],[0.5,0.6],[0.3,0.9],[0.7,0.8],[0.2,0.3] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_2} \\ [0.2,0.4],[0.7,0.8],[0.4,0.5],[0.1,0.9],[0.3,0.5] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_3} \\ [0.5,0.8],[0.3,0.7],[0.1,0.3],[0.4,0.6],[0.6,0.9] \end{array} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_1} \\ [0.2,0.6],[0.3,0.6],[0.4,0.7],[0.2,0.5],[0.4,0.7] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_2} \\ [0.2,0.5],[0.5,0.9],[0.2,0.3],[0.6,0.8],[0.5,0.9] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_3} \\ [0.2,0.6],[0.1,0.8],[0.2,0.7],[0.5,0.8],[0.3,0.8] \end{array} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{v_1} \\ [0.2,0.5],[0.3,0.7],[0.4,0.6],[0.6,0.7],[0.4,0.9] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{v_2} \\ [0.1,0.5],[0.2,0.6],[0.4,0.6],[0.8,0.8],[0.4,0.9] \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

$$(I, B) =$$

$$\left(\left(\begin{array}{l} b_1, \left(\begin{array}{l} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_1} \\ [0.4,0.9],[0.8,0.8],[0.4,0.6],[0.2,0.8],[0.1,0.5] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_2} \\ [0.4,0.9],[0.6,0.7],[0.4,0.6],[0.3,0.7],[0.4,0.5] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_3} \\ [0.4,0.8],[0.5,0.8],[0.3,0.7],[0.2,0.8],[0.3,0.6] \end{array} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_1} \\ [0.5,0.8],[0.6,0.7],[0.2,0.3],[0.5,0.9],[0.2,0.5] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_2} \\ [0.4,0.7],[0.2,0.5],[0.4,0.7],[0.3,0.6],[0.5,0.8] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_3} \\ [0.6,0.9],[0.4,0.6],[0.1,0.3],[0.5,0.8],[0.3,0.7] \end{array} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{v_1} \\ [0.3,0.5],[0.1,0.9],[0.4,0.5],[0.7,0.8],[0.2,0.4] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{v_2} \\ [0.2,0.3],[0.7,0.7],[0.3,0.9],[0.5,0.6],[0.4,0.8] \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right) \\ b_2, \left(\begin{array}{l} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_1} \\ [0.2,0.5],[0.3,0.6],[0.7,0.9],[0.6,0.7],[0.4,0.5] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_2} \\ [0.3,0.6],[0.5,0.6],[0.2,0.4],[0.1,0.2],[0.4,0.8] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_3} \\ [0.5,0.6],[0.3,0.5],[0.6,0.8],[0.4,0.6],[0.2,0.4] \end{array} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_1} \\ [0.2,0.5],[0.6,0.9],[0.5,0.6],[0.3,0.8],[0.3,0.9] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_2} \\ [0.5,0.9],[0.3,0.5],[0.4,0.6],[0.2,0.7],[0.1,0.8] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_3} \\ [0.7,0.8],[0.6,0.6],[0.3,0.5],[0.4,0.5],[0.2,0.7] \end{array} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{v_1} \\ [0.5,0.7],[0.3,0.4],[0.7,0.9],[0.6,0.7],[0.5,0.7] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{v_2} \\ [0.2,0.2],[0.5,0.9],[0.7,0.9],[0.4,0.7],[0.3,0.6] \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right) \\ b_3, \left(\begin{array}{l} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_1} \\ [0.5,0.6],[0.4,0.7],[0.7,0.8],[0.1,0.6],[0.5,0.7] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_2} \\ [0.8,0.9],[0.3,0.4],[0.2,0.7],[0.6,0.8],[0.5,0.7] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{h_3} \\ [0.4,0.6],[0.8,0.9],[0.2,0.6],[0.3,0.8],[0.2,0.7] \end{array} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_1} \\ [0.4,0.7],[0.7,0.8],[0.1,0.7],[0.6,0.7],[0.3,0.9] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_2} \\ [0.5,0.6],[0.1,0.4],[0.3,0.7],[0.1,0.5],[0.4,0.8] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{c_3} \\ [0.5,0.8],[0.1,0.2],[0.4,0.6],[0.6,0.9],[0.4,0.6] \end{array} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{v_1} \\ [0.3,0.4],[0.2,0.9],[0.6,0.7],[0.3,0.8],[0.5,0.6] \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{v_2} \\ [0.2,0.3],[0.4,0.8],[0.3,0.7],[0.4,0.5],[0.1,0.3] \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

$$(M, D) =$$

$$\left(\left(\begin{array}{l} d_1, \left(\begin{array}{l} \left\{ \frac{h_1}{[0.4,0.9],[0.8,0.8],[0.4,0.6],[0.2,0.8],[0.1,0.3]}, \frac{h_2}{[0.4,0.9],[0.6,0.7],[0.4,0.6],[0.2,0.7],[0.2,0.4]}, \frac{h_3}{[0.4,0.8],[0.6,0.9],[0.2,0.7],[0.1,0.2],[0.3,0.6]} \right\}, \\ \left\{ \frac{c_1}{[0.5,0.9],[0.6,0.7],[0.2,0.3],[0.1,0.4],[0.2,0.5]}, \frac{c_2}{[0.4,0.7],[0.3,0.9],[0.4,0.7],[0.3,0.6],[0.4,0.6]}, \frac{c_3}{[0.6,0.9],[0.4,0.8],[0.1,0.3],[0.4,0.7],[0.3,0.6]} \right\}, \\ \left\{ \frac{v_1}{[0.5,0.7],[0.6,0.9],[0.2,0.5],[0.3,0.4],[0.2,0.4]}, \frac{v_2}{[0.4,0.6],[0.7,0.7],[0.3,0.8],[0.4,0.6],[0.1,0.8]} \right\} \end{array} \right) \\ d_2, \left(\begin{array}{l} \left\{ \frac{h_1}{[0.3,0.6],[0.4,0.7],[0.5,0.8],[0.5,0.7],[0.2,0.2]}, \frac{h_2}{[0.5,0.7],[0.6,0.7],[0.2,0.4],[0.1,0.2],[0.4,0.7]}, \frac{h_3}{[0.5,0.7],[0.4,0.5],[0.3,0.5],[0.4,0.6],[0.2,0.4]} \right\}, \\ \left\{ \frac{c_1}{[0.2,0.8],[0.6,0.9],[0.3,0.6],[0.3,0.5],[0.3,0.6]}, \frac{c_2}{[0.5,0.9],[0.4,0.8],[0.4,0.6],[0.2,0.7],[0.1,0.5]}, \frac{c_3}{[0.7,0.8],[0.6,0.6],[0.3,0.5],[0.3,0.5],[0.2,0.6]} \right\}, \\ \left\{ \frac{v_1}{[0.5,0.8],[0.3,0.4],[0.2,0.4],[0.5,0.5],[0.3,0.6]}, \frac{v_2}{[0.6,0.7],[0.7,0.9],[0.5,0.8],[0.3,0.6],[0.2,0.3]} \right\} \end{array} \right) \\ d_3, \left(\begin{array}{l} \left\{ \frac{h_1}{[0.5,0.8],[0.5,0.7],[0.3,0.8],[0.1,0.6],[0.2,0.3]}, \frac{h_2}{[0.8,0.9],[0.7,0.8],[0.2,0.5],[0.1,0.8],[0.3,0.5]}, \frac{h_3}{[0.5,0.8],[0.8,0.9],[0.1,0.3],[0.3,0.6],[0.2,0.7]} \right\}, \\ \left\{ \frac{c_1}{[0.4,0.7],[0.7,0.8],[0.1,0.7],[0.2,0.5],[0.3,0.7]}, \frac{c_2}{[0.5,0.6],[0.5,0.9],[0.2,0.3],[0.1,0.5],[0.4,0.8]}, \frac{c_3}{[0.5,0.8],[0.1,0.8],[0.2,0.6],[0.5,0.8],[0.3,0.6]} \right\}, \\ \left\{ \frac{v_1}{[0.3,0.5],[0.3,0.9],[0.4,0.6],[0.3,0.7],[0.4,0.6]}, \frac{v_2}{[0.2,0.5],[0.4,0.8],[0.3,0.6],[0.4,0.5],[0.1,0.3]} \right\} \end{array} \right) \\ d_4, \left(\begin{array}{l} \left\{ \frac{h_1}{[0.4,0.5],[0.1,0.4],[0.5,0.6],[0.2,0.7],[0.3,0.4]}, \frac{h_2}{[0.2,0.3],[0.2,0.5],[0.7,0.8],[0.2,0.9],[0.4,0.6]}, \frac{h_3}{[0.4,0.5],[0.6,0.6],[0.5,0.6],[0.4,0.7],[0.3,0.4]} \right\}, \\ \left\{ \frac{c_1}{[0.5,0.5],[0.2,0.4],[0.5,0.5],[0.1,0.4],[0.2,0.6]}, \frac{c_2}{[0.7,0.8],[0.5,0.9],[0.2,0.3],[0.3,0.6],[0.1,0.7]}, \frac{c_3}{[0.4,0.7],[0.4,0.5],[0.3,0.6],[0.2,0.4],[0.6,0.7]} \right\}, \\ \left\{ \frac{v_1}{[0.2,0.5],[0.5,0.9],[0.5,0.8],[0.3,0.4],[0.2,0.7]}, \frac{v_2}{[0.4,0.6],[0.8,0.9],[0.4,0.6],[0.3,0.7],[0.6,0.8]} \right\} \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

Now we apply MA to the first pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft multiset part in (M, D) to take the decision from the availability set U_1 and find the values of $T_*(x_i) = \bar{T}(x_i) - \bar{F}(x_i)$ for interval truth-membership part $\hat{T}_{APNV}(x_i) = [\bar{T}(x_i), T^+(x_i)]$, where $T^+(x_i) = 1 - \bar{F}(x_i), \forall x_i \in U_1, F_*(x_i) = \bar{F}(x_i) - \bar{T}(x_i)$ for interval falsity-membership part $\hat{F}_{APNV}(x_i) = [\bar{F}(x_i), F^+(x_i)]$, where $F^+(x_i) = 1 - \bar{T}(x_i), \forall x_i \in U_1$ and take the arithmetic average $C_*(x_i), G_*(x_i)$ and $U_*(x_i)$ of the end points of the interval indeterminacy – membership part $\hat{C}_{APNV}(x_i) = [\bar{C}(x_i), C^+(x_i)], \hat{G}_{APNV}(x_i) = [\bar{G}(x_i), G^+(x_i)]$ and $\hat{U}_{APNV}(x_i) = [\bar{U}(x_i), U^+(x_i)]$. Then find the values of $T_*(x_i) + C_*(x_i) + G_*(x_i) + U_*(x_i) - F_*(x_i) \forall x_i \in U_1$.

The (PNVSM) is a generalization of vague soft multiset. It can explain the universal U in more detail with three membership functions, especially when there are many parameters involved, whereas vague soft multiset can tell us limited information about the universal U . It can only handle the incomplete information considering both the truth – membership and falsity – membership values, while (PNVSM) can handle problems involving imprecise, indeterminacy and inconsistent data, which makes it more accurate and realistic than vague soft multiset. Furthermore, vague set is an intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) (Atanassov, 1986) which is a generalization of fuzzy set, therefore (PNVSM) can better handle the elements of imprecision and uncertainty compared to soft multiset, fuzzy soft multiset, vague soft multiset and intuitionistic fuzzy multiset.

6. Possibility Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Vague Soft Set

In this part, we introduce the notion of possibility pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft sets as an extension of (PNVSSs) and describe certain operations that can be performed on them, namely, subset, equality, null, absolute, complement, union, intersection,

Definition 6.1: Let $U = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be the universal set of elements and $E = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be the universal set of parameters. Let $F: E \rightarrow PNV(U)$ where $PNV(U)$ is the collection of all

pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague subsets of U and μ be a fuzzy subset of E , that is $\mu : E \rightarrow I^U$, where I^U is the collection of all fuzzy subsets of U . Let $F_\mu : E \rightarrow PNV(U) \times I^U$ be a function defined as follows:

$$F_\mu(e) = (F(e)(x), \mu(e)(x)), \forall x \in U.$$

Then F_μ is called a possibility pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft set (PPNVSS) over the soft universe (U, E) . For any parameter $(e_i, F_\mu(e_i)(F(e_i)(x), \mu(e_i)(x)))$ indicates not only the degree of belongingness of the elements of U in $F(e_i)$, but also the degree of possibility of belongingness of the elements of U in $F(e_i)$, which is represented by $\mu(e_i)$. So we can write $F_\mu e_i$ as follows:

$$F_\mu(e_i) = \left\{ \left(\frac{x_1}{F(e_i)(x_1)}, \mu(e_i)(x_1) \right), \left(\frac{x_2}{F(e_i)(x_2)}, \mu(e_i)(x_2) \right), \dots \dots \left(\frac{x_n}{F(e_i)(x_n)}, \mu(e_i)(x_n) \right) \right\}.$$

We can write F_μ as (F_μ, E) . If $A \subseteq E$, we can also have a PPNVSS (F_μ, A) .

Example 6.2: Let $U = \{x_1, x_2\}$ be a universe set. Let $H = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$ be a set of parameters and let $\mu : H \rightarrow I^U$.

We define a function $F_\mu : H \rightarrow PNV(U) \times I^U$ as follows:

$$F_\mu(e_1) = \left\{ \left(\frac{x_1}{([0.2,0.4], [0.4,0.6], [0.5,0.7], [0.3,0.8], [0.3,0.5])}, 0.7 \right), \left(\frac{x_1}{([0.5,0.7], [0.2,0.6], [0.3,0.9], [0.4,0.5], [0.1,0.7])}, 0.5 \right) \right\}$$

$$F_\mu(e_2) = \left\{ \left(\frac{x_1}{([0.3,0.4], [0.5,0.7], [0.7,0.8], [0.2,0.9], [0.5,0.5])}, 0.2 \right), \left(\frac{x_1}{([0.1,0.3], [0.4,0.7], [0.7,0.9], [0.3,0.6], [0.5,0.8])}, 0.3 \right) \right\}$$

$$F_\mu(e_3) = \left\{ \left(\frac{x_1}{([0.7,0.8], [0.4,0.5], [0.2,0.3], [0.5,0.8], [0.4,0.6])}, 0.9 \right), \left(\frac{x_1}{([0.3,0.4], [0.6,0.8], [0.3,0.7], [0.4,0.8], [0.2,0.7])}, 0.6 \right) \right\}$$

$$F_\mu(e_4) = \left\{ \left(\frac{x_1}{([0.4,0.5], [0.5,0.7], [0.3,0.3], [0.4,0.6], [0.5,0.8])}, 0.4 \right), \left(\frac{x_1}{([0.1,0.4], [0.4,0.7], [0.5,0.6], [0.2,0.6], [0.4,0.6])}, 0.7 \right) \right\}$$

$$F_\mu(e_5) = \left\{ \left(\frac{x_1}{([0.3,0.6], [0.2,0.4], [0.4,0.8], [0.1,0.2], [0.5,0.5])}, 0.5 \right), \left(\frac{x_1}{([0.4,0.6], [0.2,0.5], [0.6,0.7], [0.4,0.9], [0.3,0.8])}, 0.8 \right) \right\}$$

Then F_μ is a PPNVSS over (U, H) . In matrix notation we can write

$$F_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} [0.2,0.4], [0.4,0.6], [0.5,0.7], [0.3,0.8], [0.3,0.5], 0.7 & [0.5,0.7], [0.2,0.6], [0.3,0.9], [0.4,0.5], [0.1,0.7], 0.5 \\ [0.3,0.4], [0.5,0.7], [0.7,0.8], [0.2,0.9], [0.5,0.5], 0.2 & [0.1,0.3], [0.4,0.7], [0.7,0.9], [0.3,0.6], [0.5,0.8], 0.3 \\ [0.7,0.8], [0.4,0.5], [0.2,0.3], [0.5,0.8], [0.4,0.6], 0.9 & [0.3,0.4], [0.6,0.8], [0.3,0.7], [0.4,0.8], [0.2,0.7], 0.6 \\ [0.4,0.5], [0.5,0.7], [0.3,0.3], [0.4,0.6], [0.5,0.8], 0.4 & [0.1,0.4], [0.4,0.7], [0.5,0.6], [0.2,0.6], [0.4,0.6], 0.7 \\ [0.3,0.6], [0.2,0.4], [0.4,0.8], [0.1,0.2], [0.5,0.5], 0.5 & [0.4,0.6], [0.2,0.5], [0.6,0.7], [0.4,0.9], [0.3,0.8], 0.8 \end{pmatrix}$$

Definition 6.3: let F_μ and G_δ be PPNVSSs over (U, E) . Then F_μ is said to be possibility pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague soft subset of G_δ then $F_\mu \subseteq G_\delta$ if

- a) $\mu(e)$ is a fuzzy subset of $\delta(e), \forall e \in E$
- b) $F(e)$ is a pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague subset of $G(e), \forall e \in E$

Proposition 6.4: Let F_μ, G_δ, H_ν be any three PPNVSSs over (U, E) . Then the following results are true:

- a) $F_\mu \tilde{\cup} G_\delta = G_\delta \tilde{\cup} F_\mu$
- b) $F_\mu \tilde{\cap} G_\delta = G_\delta \tilde{\cap} F_\mu$
- c) $F_\mu \tilde{\cup} (G_\delta \tilde{\cup} H_\nu) = (F_\mu \tilde{\cup} G_\delta) \tilde{\cup} H_\nu$
- d) $F_\mu \tilde{\cap} (G_\delta \tilde{\cap} H_\nu) = (F_\mu \tilde{\cap} G_\delta) \tilde{\cap} H_\nu$

Proof: The proofs can be obtained by the fact that pentapartitioned neutrosophic vague sets are commutative and associative.

Definition 6.5: let F_μ and G_δ be PPNVSSs over (U, E) . We say that F_μ and G_δ are significantly similar if

$$S(G_\delta) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proposition 6.6: F_μ and G_δ be PPNVSSs over (U, E) such that F_μ or G_δ is a non-zero PPNVSS. Then the following holds:

- a. $S(F_\mu, G_\delta) = S(G_\delta, F_\mu)$
- b. $0 \leq S(F_\mu, G_\delta) \leq 1$
- c. $F_\mu = G_\delta \Rightarrow S(F_\mu, G_\delta) = 1.$
- d. $F_\mu \subseteq G_\delta \subseteq H_\lambda \Rightarrow S(F_\mu, H_\lambda) \leq S(G_\delta, H_\lambda)$
- e. $F_\mu \tilde{\cap} G_\delta = \emptyset \Rightarrow S(F_\mu, G_\delta) = 0$

Proof: The proof is straightforward and follows from the definition.

7. Conclusion

By applying the notion of Soft Set to Pentaprtitioned Neutrosophic Vague Set, we came up with the concept of the Pentaprtitioned Neutrosophic Vague Set. We have established the essential operations on the Pentaprtitioned Neutrosophic Vague Soft Set, namely subset, complement, union, and intersection, Pentaprtitioned Neutrosophic Vague multiset, and Possibility Pentaprtitioned Neutrosophic Vague sets, with the aid of several instances.

The basic properties of these operations also were proven. A generalized algorithm is introduced and applied to solve a hypothetical decision making problem. With the help of this new extension, existing theories for handling issues involving imprecise, indeterminacy, and inconsistent data will be significantly improved.

References

- [1] L. Zadeh, Fuzzy set. Information and Control, 8(3), 338–353, (1965).
- [2] A. Ahmadian, N. Senu, S. Salahshour, and M. Suleiman, , Nearest interval-valued approximation of interval-valued fuzzy numbers. Malaysian Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 10(S), 325–336, (2016).

- [3] A. Paul, and B. Bhattacharya, New types of functions and separation axiom by γ -open sets in fuzzy bitopological spaces. *Malaysian Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 9(3), 397–407, (2015).
- [4] L. Jaber, and S. Mahmood, "New Category of Equivalence Classes of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Delta-Algebras with Their Applications," *Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies* 302, 651-663 (2022). doi: 10.1007/978-981-19-2541-2_54.
- [5] S. Khalil, and F. Hameed, "Applications of Fuzzy ρ -Ideals in ρ -Algebras," *Soft Computing* 24, 13997-14004 (2020). doi: 10.1007/s00500-020-04773-3.
- [6] A. E. Kadhm, S. Khalil, N. A. Hussein, Some results on intuitionistic E-algebra fuzzy metric like spaces, *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 13188, (MIEITS 2024), 1318805,(2024). <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.3030816>
- [7] S. M. Noori, A. G. Ahmad, S. Khalil, The decision-making process in learners' failure using fuzzy scale, *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, 26 (7), 1533–1540, (2023).
- [8] M. Al-Labad, S. Khalil, A. N. Hassan, The Chemical Elements with Their Applications in Fuzzy Delta-Algebraic Systems. In: Vlachos, D. (eds) *Mathematical Modeling in Physical Sciences. ICMSQUARE 2023. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics*, 446, 689-703, (2024). Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-52965-8_51,
- [9] S. M. Khalil, "Decision Making Using New Category of Similarity Measures and Study Their Applications in Medical Diagnosis Problems," *Afrika Matematika*, 32, 865–878, (2021). doi: 10.1007/s13370-020-00866-2.
- [10] A. A. Atshan, S.M. Khalil, On fuzzy normal RHO-filters and usual RHO-filters of RHO-algebras, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 2834, 080036, (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0161936>
- [11] A. A. Atshan, S. Khalil, "On Complete Intuitionistic Fuzzy Roh-Ideals in Roh-Algebras", *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 64(7), 3458–3467, (2023), [doi: 10.24996/ijs.2023.64.7.27](https://doi.org/10.24996/ijs.2023.64.7.27).
- [12] S. Khalil, and M. Sharqi, "Some applications in decision-making using cosine maps and the relevance of the Pythagorean fuzzy," *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 122, 106089 (2023).
- [13] S. A. Abdul-Ghani, S. Mahmood, M. Abd Ulrazaq, and A. F. Al-Musawi, "New Branch of Intuitionistic Fuzzification in Algebras with Their Applications," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 2018, 6, (2018). doi: 10.1155/2018/5712676.
- [14] S. Khalil, A. Hassan, H. Alaskar, W. Khan, and A. Hussain, "Fuzzy Logical Algebra and Study of the Effectiveness of Medications for COVID-19," *Mathematics*, 9(22), 28-38, (2021).
- [15] S. Mahmood, "New category of the fuzzy d- algebras," *Journal of Taibah University for Science* 12(2), 143-149, (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/16583655.2018.1451059>.
- [16] S. Mahmood and M. H. Hasab, "Decision Making Using New Distances of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Study Their Application in The Universities, INFUS," *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, 1197, 390-396, (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-51156-2_46.

- [17] S. Noori, A. G. Ahmad, and S. M. Khalil, "New Class of Doubt Bipolar Fuzzy Sub Measure Algebra," *Computer Modeling in Engineering & Sciences*, 135(1), 293-300 (2023). doi: 10.32604/cmcs.2022.021887.
- [18] S. M. Khalil, A. N. Hassan, "New Class of Algebraic Fuzzy Systems Using Cubic Soft Sets with their Applications," *IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 928, 042019, (2020). doi 10.1088/1757-899X/928/4/042019.
- [19] M. S. Sharqi and S. Mahmood, " New Structures of Soft Permutation in Commutative Q-Algebras," *Iraqi Journal for Computer Science and Mathematics*, 5(3), 263-274, (2024). doi.org/10.52866/ijcsm.2024.05.03.014.
- [20] M. A. Hasan, S. Khalil, and N. M. Ali Abbas, "Characteristics of the Soft-(1 2) - gprw-Closed Sets in Soft Bi-Topological Spaces," *Conference IT-ELA 2020*, 9253110, 103-108, (2020). doi: 10.1109/IT-ELA50150.2020.9253110.
- [21] M. A. Hasan, N. Ali Abbas, and S. Khalil, "On Soft α^* -Open Sets and Soft Contra α^* -Continuous Mappings in Soft Topological Spaces," *J. Interdiscip. Math.*, 24, 729–734, (2021). doi: 10.1080/09720502.2020.1861786
- [22] S. M. Khalil, and F. Hameed, "Applications on Cyclic Soft Symmetric Groups," *IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics* 1530, 012046 (2020). doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1530/1/012046.
- [23] A. F. Al-Musawi, S. Mahmood, M. Abd Ulrazaq, "Soft (1,2)-Strongly Open Maps in Bi-Topological Spaces," *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 571, 012002, (2019). doi:10.1088/1757-899X/571/1/012002
- [24] N. M. A. Abbas, S. Mahmood, and A. A. Hamza,, "On α^* -Continuous and Contra α^* -Continuous Mappings in Topological Spaces with Soft Setting," *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, 12, 1107–1113, (2021). doi:10.22075/ijnaa.2021.23061.2471.
- [25] S. Khalil, and F. Hameed, "An algorithm for the generating permutation algebras using soft spaces," *Journal of Taibah University for Science*, 12(3), 299-308, (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1080/16583655.2018.1468671>
- [26] S. M. Noori, S. Khalil, A. G. Ahmad, Multiple Fuzzy Soft Graphs Based on Maps and Consider Their Applications in Decision-Making. In: Vlachos, D. (eds) *Mathematical Modeling in Physical Sciences. ICMSQUARE 2023. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics*, 446, 273-298, (2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-52965-8_23,
- [27] S. Mahmood, M. Ulrazaq, S. Abdul-Ghani, and A. F. Al-Musawi, " σ -Algebra and σ -Baire in Fuzzy Soft Setting," *Advances in Fuzzy Systems* 2018, 10, (2018). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2018/5731682>.
- [28] S. Khalil, and A. Hassan, "Applications of Fuzzy Soft ρ -Ideals in ρ -Algebras," *Fuzzy Information and Engineering*, 10(4), 467-475, (2018). doi: 10.1080/16168658.2020.1799703.
- [29] S. Mahmood, "Dissimilarity Fuzzy Soft Points and their Applications," *journal of Fuzzy Information and Engineering*, 8, 281-294, (2016).

- [30] S. Khalil, and A. Hassan, A. N., "New Class of Algebraic Fuzzy Systems Using Cubic Soft Sets with their Applications," IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 928, 042019, (2020). doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/928/4/042019.
- [31] S. Mahmood, "Decision making using algebraic operations on soft effect matrix as new category of similarity measures and study their application in medical diagnosis problems," Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems, 37, 1865-1877, (2019). doi: 10.3233/JIFS-179249.
- [32] S. M. Khalil, S. A. Abdul-Ghani, "Soft M-Ideals and Soft S-Ideals in Soft S-Algebras," IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics, 1234, 012100, (2019). doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1234/1/012100
- [33] E. Suleiman, A. F. Al-Musawi, S. Khalil, New Classes of the Quotient Permutation BN-Algebras in Permutation BN-Algebras, Trends in Mathematics, (ICRDM 2022, 13-23, (2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-37538-5_2,
- [34] N. M. Ali Abbas, S. Khalil, E. Suleiman, On Permutation Distributive BI-Algebras, Trends in Mathematics, ICRDM 2022, 143-153, (2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-37538-5_14
- [35] S. M. Khalil, E. Suleiman, and M. M. Torki, "Generated New Classes of Permutation I/B-Algebras," Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, 25(1), 31-40 (2022). doi: 10.1080/09720529.2021.1968110.
- [36] S. M. Khalil, E. Suleiman, and N. M. Ali Abbas,, "New Technical to Generate Permutation Measurable Spaces," 2021 1st Babylon International Conference on Information Technology and Science (BICITS), 160-163 (2021). doi: 10.1109/BICITS51482.2021.9509892.
- [37] S. Alsalem, A. F. Al Musawi, and E. Suleiman, "On Permutation Upper and Transitive Permutation BE-Algebras," 2022 14th International Conference on Mathematics, Actuarial Science, Computer Science and Statistics (MACS), Karachi, Pakistan, 1-6, (2022). doi: 10.1109/MACS56771.2022.10022454.
- [38] N. M. Ali Abbas, S. Alsalem, and E. Suleiman, "On Associative Permutation BM-Algebras," 2022 14th International Conference on Mathematics, Actuarial Science, Computer Science and Statistics (MACS), Karachi, Pakistan, 1-5, (2022). doi: 10.1109/MACS56771.2022.10022691.
- [39] S. Alsalem, A. F. Al Musawi, and E. Suleiman, "On permutation G-part in permutation Q-algebras," Proc. SPIE 12616, International Conference on Mathematical and Statistical Physics, Computational Science, Education, and Communication (ICMSCE 2022), 1261604, (2023). doi:[10.1117/12.2674993](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2674993).
- [40] S. Alsalem, A. F. Al Musawi, and E. Suleiman, "On Permutation B-center and Derived Permutation B-algebras," IEEE Xplore, 46-50, (2022). doi: 10.1109/MCSI55933.2022.00014.
- [41] S. Alsalem, A. F. Al Musawi, and E. Suleiman, "On maximal permutation BH-ideals of Permutation BH-Algebras," IEEE Xplore, 40-45, (2022). doi: 10.1109/MCSI55933.2022.00013.
- [42] M. S. Sharqi and S. Mahmood, "On Permutation Commutative Q-Algebras with Their Ideals," IEEE Xplore, 108-112, (2023). doi: 10.1109/ACA57612.2023.10346689.

- [43] H. T. Fakher, S. M. Khalil, On cubic dihedral permutation d/BCK-algebras, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 2834, 080031 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0161935>
- [44] M. Al-Labadi, S. Khalil, E. Suleiman, New structure of d-algebras using permutations, *Proceedings of the SPIE*, 12936, id. 129360M 9, (2023). doi: [10.1117/12.3011428](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.3011428).
- [45] M. Al-Labadi, S. Khalil, E. Suleiman, N. Yerima, On {1}-commutative permutation BP-algebras, *Proceedings of the SPIE*, 12936, id. 129361N 8, (2023). doi: [10.1117/12.3011417](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.3011417).
- [46] A. F. Al-Musawi, S. Khalil, E. Suleiman, On Permutation Quotient Object in Permutation G-Sets, *Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies*, 351, 435-444, (2023). Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-2468-4_33
- [47] M. S. Sharqi and S. M. Khalil, On Permutation Rho-Transitive in Permutation Rho-Algebras, *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 65(7), (2024). doi:10.24996/ij.s.2024.65.7.32.
- [48] S. Mahmood. and F. Hameed, "An algorithm for generating permutations in symmetric groups using spaces with general study and basic properties of permutations spaces", *J Theor Appl Inform Technol.*, 96(9), 2445-2457, (2018).
- [49] S. M. Khalil, and N. M. Abbas, "Applications on New Category of the Symmetric Groups," *AIP Conference Proceedings* 2290, 040004, (2020). doi: [10.1063/5.0027380](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0027380) .
- [50] M. M. Torki, and S. M. Khalil,, "New Types of Finite Groups and Generated Algorithm to Determine the IntegerFactorization by Excel," *AIP Conference Proceedings* 2290, 040020, (2020). doi: [10.1063/5.0027691](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0027691).
- [51] S. M. Khalil, and A. Rajah, "Solving the Class Equation $x^d = \beta$ in an Alternating Group for each $\beta \in H \cap C \alpha$ and $n \notin \theta$," *Arab J. Basic Appl. Sci.* 10, 42–50, (2011). doi.org/10.1016/j.jaubas.2011.06.006.
- [52] H. T. Fakher, and S. Mahmood, "The Cubic Dihedral Permutation Groups of Order $4k$," *ECS Transactions* 107(1), 3179 (2022). doi: [10.1149/10701.3179ecst](https://doi.org/10.1149/10701.3179ecst).
- [53] S. M. Khalil, and A. Rajah, "Solving Class Equation $x^d = \beta$ in an Alternating Group for all $n \in \theta$ & $\beta \in H \cap C \alpha$," *Arab J. Basic Appl. Sci.* 16, 38–45 (2014). doi.org/10.1016/j.jaubas.2013.10.003.
- [54] S. Mahmood, and N. M. Ali Abbas, "Characteristics of the Number of Conjugacy Classes and P-Regular Classes in Finite Symmetric Groups," *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* 571, 012007 (2019). doi:10.1088/1757-899X/571/1/012007.
- [55] S. Khalil, and N. M. A. Abbas, "On Nano with Their Applications in Medical Field," *AIP Conference Proceedings* 2290, 040002, (2020). doi:10.1063/5.0027374.
- [56] N. Abbas, A. Al Musawi, and S. Alsalem, "A study of neutrosophic regular generalized b-closed sets in neutrosophic topological spaces," *Proc.SPIE* 12616, 1261605, (2023).
- [57] A. A. Atshan, and S. Khalil, "Neutrosophic RHO –Ideal with Complete Neutrosophic RHO– Ideal in RHO–Algebras," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 55, 300-315, (2023).

- [58] S. M. Khalil, "On Neutrosophic Delta-Generated Per-Continuous Functions in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 48, 122-141, (2022).
- [59] A. R. Nivetha, M. Vigneshwaran, N. M. Ali Abbas, and S. M. Khalil, "On $N^*\alpha$ -continuous in topological spaces of neutrosophy," *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, 24(3), 677-685, (2021).
- [60] A. F. Al-Musawi and S. Khalil, The characteristics of neutrosophic π -generated regular-closed sets in Neutrosophic topological spaces, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 2820, 040001, (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0150477>
- [61] K. Damodharan, M. Vigneshwaran, and S. M. Khalil, " $N\delta^*\alpha$ -Continuous and Irresolute Functions in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces," *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 38(1), 439-452, (2020).
- [62] N. M. Ali Abbas, and S. M. Khalil, "On New Classes of Neutrosophic Continuous and Contra Mappings in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces," *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, 12(1), 719-725, (2021).
- [63] N. M. Ali Abbas, S. M. Khalil, and M. Vigneshwaran, "The Neutrosophic Strongly Open Maps in Neutrosophic Bi-Topological Spaces," *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics* 24(3), 667-675 (2021).
- [64] M. Al-Labadi, S. M. Khalil, Properties of Idealization Graph Over a Ring, Algorithms for Intelligent Systems, (ICIS 2023), 365-373, (2024) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-8976-8_31
- [65] N. M. Abbas, and S. Mahmood, "On α^* -Open Sets in Topological Spaces," *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 571, 012021, (2019). doi:10.1088/1757-899X/571/1/012021.
- [66] N. M. Abbas, S. Khalil, N_{α} - Separation Axioms in Topological Spaces, *Trends in Mathematics*, (ICRDM 2022), 923-928, (2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-41420-6_79
- [67] M. Al-Labadi, S. M. Khalil, The idealization ring, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 3097, 080008 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0209920>
- [68] M. A. Hasan Hasab, S. Khalil, and A. F. Al-Musawi, The English language and its encoding in topological spaces, *Proc. SPIE*, 13188, (MIEITS 2024), 1318814, (2024). doi: 10.1117/12.3030829.
- [69] M. Al-Labadi, S. Khalil, and E. Almohur, Some properties of the circulant graphs, *The International Society for Optical Engineering*, vol. 12936, (ICMSCE 2023), 129361A, (2023). doi: 10.1117/12.3011425.
- [70] S. M. Khalil, and A. Hassan, "The Characterizations of δ -Algebras with their Ideals," *IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics*, 012108, (2021). doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1999/1/012108.
- [71] A. A. Atshan, S.M. Khalil, On regular ρ -algebras with strongly $\bar{\rho}$ -ideals in ρ -algebras, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 2834, 080004, (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0161546>.
- [72] S. Saied, and S. Khalil, "Gamma ideal extension in gamma systems, *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography* 24(6), 1675-1681, (2021).

- [73] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory-First results. *Computer and Mathematics with Applications*, 37(2), 19–31, (1999).
- [74] S. Alkhazaleh, A. R. Salleh, and N. Hassan, Possibility fuzzy soft set. *Advances in Decision Sciences*, Article ID 479756, 2011.
- [75] A. R. Salleh, S. Alkhazaleh, N. Hassan, and A. G. Ahmad, Multiparameterized soft set. *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 8(1), 92–97, (2012).
- [76] A. Al-Quran and N. Hassan, Fuzzy parameterized single valued neutrosophic soft expert set theory and its application in decision making, *International Journal of Applied Decision Sciences*, 9(2), 212–227, (2016).
- [78] S. Broumi and F. Smarandache, Intuitionistic neutrosophic soft set. *Journal of Information and Computing Science*, 8(2), 130–140, (2013).
- [79] I. Deli, Interval-valued neutrosophic soft sets and its decision making, *International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics*, 2015. doi:10.1007/s13042-015-0461-3.
- [80] F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic set, a generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Inter. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 24, 287-297, (2005).
- [81] W.L. Gau, D.J. Buehrer, Vague sets. *IEEE Trans. Systems Man and Cybernet*, vol. 23(3), 610–614, (1993).
- [82] S. Alkhazaleh, Neutrosophic vague set theory, *Critical Review*, vol.10, pp. 29–39, 2015.
- [83] R. Mallick, S. Pramanik, Pentapartitioned neutrosophic set and its Properties, 36, 184-192, (2020).
- [84] V. R. Radhika, .K . Mohana, Pentapartitioned Neutrosophic Vague sets and its Topological Spaces.(Communicated)