



Some results on approximation in neutrosophic normed space

Alaa Adnan Auad^{1, *}, Mohammed A. Hilal²

¹Department of Mathematics, College of Education for pure science, University of Al-Anbar, Iraq

²Middle Technical University, Technical Institute of Baquba, Diyala, Iraq

Emails: alaa.adnan.auad@uoanbar.edu.iq; mohammed_azeez_hilal@mtu.edu.iq

Abstract

Neutrosophic normed linear spaces are the main significant notion in the study of classical functional analysis under a neutrosophic environment to handle indeterminate and inconsistent information. Where the neutrosophic norm function assigns to each vector in the linear space a neutrosophic number, which is a number with a truth, indeterminacy, and falsity component. The main aim of this work is to study and discuss the important properties of proximality of specific sets and new results for a large class in neutrosophic normed space. Moreover, we show some results closely related proximality of classes to the normed construction in the space. Also, we prove achieved for generalized sets in neutrosophic normed space, most marks on convexity and Cheby-shevity classes are considered.

Keywords: Neutrosophic set; proximality; Convexity class; Cheby-shevity class; Neutrosophic normed space

1. Introduction

Algebraic branches, both geometric and analytical, are of great importance in mathematics by creating algebraic structures that can be used to solve everyday problems. Neutrosophy or neutrosophic put forward by Smarandache [1] as a new branch of philosophy and as an extension of some fuzziness models [2]. Neutrosophic has influenced deeply all the different scientific fields such as in topology field [3, 4], complex field [5, 6], algebraic field [7, 8], and a lot of fields [9, 10]. The study of neutrosophic normed linear spaces is an active area of research in the field of neutrosophic mathematics, providing a flexible and comprehensive framework for dealing with uncertainty and indeterminacy in linear spaces. Researchers have explored topics like linear independence, completeness, Cauchy sequences, and convergence in the context of neutrosophic normed linear spaces.

Using the concepts of metric space and neutrosophic set Kristi [11] proposed neutrosophic metric space. Bera and Mahapatra [12] defined neutrosophic norm and neutrosophic norm soft linear space. Abobala [13] defined for the first time both concepts of inner product in a neutrosophic vector space and neutrosophic vector space. Şahin et.al [14] have shown to be true that neutrosophic vector space is isomorphic. N-refined neutrosophic vector space proved by Smarandache et.al [15]. Al-Sharqi et al [16, 17] used neutrosophic to product some algebraic with uncertainty vector space. Al-Quran et al. [18, 19] proposed some application on neutrosophic vector space in real field.

On the other hand, in the field of functional analysis the study of proximality of subclasses in spaces of functional analysis has been introduced by many authors [20, 21]. We presented the main results of Roshdi [22] and other works there where the problems are processed fully detailed in new space its normed space. Mazheri [23] discussed the concept of proximality briefly in metric space. Some results recently have been obtained which are relevant of proximality problem. Alaa et al. [24, 25] proposed some algebraic approximation of unbounded functions to introduce and investigate with best simultaneous approximation of unbounded functions. Indumathi and N.

Prakash in 2017 [26] they proved that E-proximality is unchanging by c -direct sum of complete normed space and given some example of E-proximality, Jayanarayanan in 2019 [27] introduced properties finite co-dimensional strappingly ball proximal subset of L^1 -predual space and prove that they exactly the finite co-dimensional strappingly proximal subsets, Auad and Al-sharqi in [28] presented some results of co-proximal and co-Chebyshev in weighted space and obtained of new characterizations of best co-proximal of unbounded mappings in the same space.

In this work, some new results which are closely related by proximality of classes to the neutrosophic normed spaces. Amongst other concepts that Mazheri result for a larger set of normed space. In addition, convexity neutrosophic normed spaces and Chebyshevity neutrosophic normed spaces are considered. Co-proximal neutrosophic normed subspace of the space is compact set. In the second section, a number of new definitions and results about neutrosophic normed spaces were presented and highlighted, and in the third section, a number of theories and results related to Best approximation in neutrosophic normed space were presented.

2. Neutrosophic normed spaces

Definition 2.1: For $n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \in [0,1]$, then if the following operation $\diamond: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ has been satisfied the following point:

- i. $n_1 \diamond 1 = n_1$.
- ii. If $n_1 \leq n_3$ and $n_2 \leq n_4$ then $n_1 \diamond n_2 \leq n_3 \diamond n_4$.
- iii. \diamond is continuous.
- iv. \diamond is continuous and associative.

Then, we called the operation \diamond is continuous TN.

Definition 2.2: For $n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \in [0,1]$, then if the following operation $\star: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ has been satisfied the following point:

- i. $n_1 \star 0 = n_1$.
- ii. If $n_1 \leq n_3$ and $n_2 \leq n_4$ then $n_1 \star n_2 \leq n_3 \star n_4$.
- iii. \star is continuous.
- iv. \star is continuous and associative.

Then, we called the operation \diamond is continuous TCN.

Definition 2.3: Let \diamond and \star refer to continuous TN and continuous TCN and \mathbb{F} refer to vector space (VS). The structure $\mathcal{N} = \{ \langle w, T(w), I(w), F(w) \rangle : w \in \mathbb{F} \}$ be neutrosophic normed space such that $\mathcal{N}: \mathbb{F} \times R^+ \rightarrow [0,1]$. Then the four terms $K = (\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{N}, \diamond, \star)$ called neutrosophic normed space if satisfied the following points:

Definition 2.4: Let $(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|, \diamond, \star)$ be a neutrosophic normed space, for $n \in \mathcal{N}; \epsilon > 0$, we denoted of open ball by $\mathcal{O}_\epsilon(n)$ and given $\mathcal{O}_\epsilon(n) = \{m \in \mathcal{N}; \|m - n\| < \epsilon\}$, closed ball by $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(n)$ and given $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(n) = \{m \in \mathcal{N}; \|m - n\| \leq \epsilon\}$ and sphere $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon(n)$ define by $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon(n) = \{m \in \mathcal{N}; \|m - n\| = \epsilon\}$. We call $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ convex normed space if $\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon_1}(n_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\epsilon_2}(n_2) \neq \emptyset$ whenever $\|n_1 - n_2\| \leq \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$.

We denoted the set of points of the line segment connecting n_1 and n_2 by $\mathcal{K}(n_1, n_2)$.

Definition 2.5: A normed space $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ is said to be \mathcal{N} -normed space if every two vectors n_1 and n_2 in \mathcal{N} with $\|n_1 - n_2\| = \alpha$ and for every $\epsilon \in [0, \alpha]$, there is alone $m_\epsilon \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_\epsilon(n_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\alpha-\epsilon}(n_2) = \{m_\epsilon\}$.

If $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\alpha$, the vector m_ϵ is called midway between n_1 and n_2 , and denoted by $m_\epsilon = h(n_1, n_2)$.

For n_1 and n_2 are vectors in \mathcal{N} , the curve connected n_1 to n_2 in \mathcal{N} is the image under a injective continuous function \mathcal{F} of $[u, v]$ into \mathcal{N} such that $\mathcal{F}(n_1) = u$ and $\mathcal{F}(n_2) = v$. If \mathcal{F} is a line connected n_1 to n_2 in \mathcal{N} , then the distance of \mathcal{F} is given $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}) = \lim_{\Delta_m \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=1}^n \|\mathcal{F}(u_{k-1}) - \mathcal{F}(u_k)\|$,

where $\Delta_m = \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} |u_k - u_{k-1}|$, $\{u = u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n = v\}$ is a segmentation of $[u, v] = [\mathcal{F}^{-1}(n_1), \mathcal{F}^{-1}(n_2)]$.

If $\|n_1 - n_2\| = \alpha$ and $\{m\} = \mathcal{O}_{(1-q)\alpha}(n_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_{q\alpha}(n_2)$, $0 \leq q \leq 1$, then $m = qn_1 + (1 - q)n_2$.

Now, we give sufficient description of \mathcal{N} -normed space through the following two theorems.

Theorem 2.6: Let $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ be an neutrosophic normed spaces and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{N}$. Then $\mathcal{K}(n_1, n_2)$ smallest length of n_1 to n_2 .

Theorem 2.7: Let $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a neutrosophic normed spaces. Then $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a neutrosophic normed spaces if and only if any two vectors $n_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ can connect them with a unique straight line.

The proofs of theorem 2.6 and theorem 2.7 are easy and the reader can see to the [15].

3. Best approximation in \mathcal{N} -normed space

Definition 3.1: Let $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and \mathcal{S} a closed subset of \mathcal{N} , we can define the following set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}, n) = \inf\{m \in \mathcal{S}; \|m - n\|\}$. Then \mathcal{S} is called proximal set in \mathcal{N} if $\mathcal{P}(n, \mathcal{S})$ is non-empty and its Chebyshev if $\mathcal{P}(n, \mathcal{S})$ includes just one point. Many research has been complete determine the proximality of closed subset of metric space. It doesn't our interest to search on this problematic. The characterization of the norm function in conditionally of proximality and Chebyshevity of some subspace in \mathcal{N} have been considered.

Theorem 3.2: If $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ neutrosophic normed spaces, then the next relationships are equivalents

- i. $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ is \mathcal{N} - normed space.
- ii. $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m)$ is Chebyshev for all $m \in \mathcal{N}, \epsilon > 0$.
- iii. $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}, n_1) \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}, n_2) = \emptyset$ for $n_1 \neq n_2$ and \mathcal{C} subset in \mathcal{N} .

Proof: Now to prove (i) \rightarrow (ii) .

Let $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m)$ be any closed ball and $n_1 \in \mathcal{N} - \mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m)$ and $\|n_1 - m\| = \epsilon + \alpha$. Then $\inf\|\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m) - n_1\| = \alpha$ and from (i) we have $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m) \cap \mathcal{C}_\alpha(n_1) = \{n_2\}$ for some $n_2 \in \mathcal{N}$. Clearly $\inf\|\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m) - n_1\| = \{\alpha\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m)$ is Chebyshev set.

(i) \rightarrow (iii) : If $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}, n_1) \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}, n_2) \neq \emptyset$ for some closed ball $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m)$ and for some $n_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ then, there is $n_3 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}, n_1) \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}, n_2)$ such that

$\inf\|\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m) - n_1\| = \|n_3 - n_1\| = \|n_3 - n_2\|$. This is contradiction with (ii).

(i) \rightarrow (iii) : Assume that $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ not neutrosophic normed spaces with $n_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{N}$

and $\|n_2 - n_1\| = \alpha$. From convexity of $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ there is r such that

$\mathcal{C}_{(1-r)\alpha}(n_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_{r\alpha}(n_2) \neq \emptyset$. If there are $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{(1-r)\alpha}(n_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_{r\alpha}(n_2)$

with $m_1 \neq m_2$, then

$n_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_{r\alpha}(n_2), m_1) \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_{r\alpha}(n_2), m_2) \neq \emptyset$.

This contradiction with (iii).

Hence $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ neutrosophic normed spaces

Definition 3.3: A set \mathcal{S} subset of \mathcal{N} is called convex neutrosophic normed spaces if for each $n_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\mathcal{C}_{(1-r)\alpha}(n_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_{r\alpha}(n_2) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ for all $0 \leq r \leq 1$, where $\|n_1 - n_2\| = \alpha$.

A convex normed space $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ is called finely convex neutrosophic normed spaces if, for $n_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m)$ with $\alpha = \|n_1 - n_2\|$, then $\mathcal{C}_{(1-r)\alpha}(n_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_{r\alpha}(n_2) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m)$ for all $0 \leq r \leq 1$.

Theorem 3.4: Let $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ be an neutrosophic normed spaces. The following are equivalent

- i. Shut- in balls in $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ are convex neutrosophic normed spaces.
- ii. If \mathcal{S} is shut- in convex set in $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ and $n_1 \notin \mathcal{S}$, then $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}, n_1)$ is convex.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii). Let \mathcal{S} be a shut- in convex set in $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ and $n_1 \notin \mathcal{S}$. If $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}, n_1)$ is empty set, then clearly its convex.

If $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}, n_1)$ is a non-empty set, implies there are $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}, n_1)$,

$\|m_1 - m_2\| = \alpha$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}, n_1) = \mathcal{C}_\epsilon(n_1)$. Since \mathcal{S} is convex set, then all points of the line connecting m_1 and m_2 lie within $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}, n_1)$.

So, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}, n_1)$ convex.

(ii)→(i). Let $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m_1)$ be shut- in ball in $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m_1)$.

If $\{\mathcal{C}_{(1-r)\alpha}(n_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_{r\alpha}(n_2)\} \not\subseteq \mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m)$ where $\alpha = \|n_1 - n_2\|$ for some

$0 \leq r \leq 1$.

From theorem 3.4 vectors n_1 and n_2 can be connected only one curve of α . If \mathcal{F} is again curve which connected between n_1 and n_2 also from theorem \mathcal{F} is a convex in \mathcal{N} . From connectedness of \mathcal{F} there are two points m_1 and m_2 such that $\{m_1, m_2\} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(m)$. Then m_1 and m_2 belongs in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}, m)$, we obtain $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}, m)$ not convex, this contradiction with (ii).

So, $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m_1)$ convex.

Theorem 3.5: Let $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ be an neutrosophic normed spaces and for each proximal convex set is Cheby-shev set. Then $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m)$ is convex, for $m \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$.

Poof: Let $n_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m)$, $\alpha = \|n_1 - n_2\|$ and for some $0 \leq r \leq 1$

$\{\mathcal{C}_{(1-r)\alpha}(n_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_{r\alpha}(n_2)\} \not\subseteq \mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m)$.

From theorem 2.3, there are two different vectors $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon(m)$ such that \mathcal{F} is not consisted in $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m)$, we see that \mathcal{F} is proximal but $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}, m)$ this contradiction with Chebyshev set of \mathcal{F} .

Since \mathcal{F} is convex implies $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m)$ is convex.

Theorem 3.6: Let $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a finely convex normed space. Then $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ is neutrosophic normed spaces.

Proof: Let $n_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{N}$, $\alpha = \|n_1 - n_2\|$ and from convexity of $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ we have for all $0 < r < 1$ then $\mathcal{C}_{(1-r)\alpha}(n_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_{r\alpha}(n_1) \neq \emptyset$.

If we can take two vectors m_1, m_2 belong to the set $\mathcal{C}_{(1-r)\alpha}(n_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_{r\alpha}(n_1)$. From the definition of finely convex of $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$, we obtain

$\mathcal{C}_{(1-u)\beta}(m_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_{u\beta}(m_2) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{(1-u)\beta}(n_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_{r\alpha}(n_1)$, for all $0 < u < 1$, where $\beta = \|m_1 - m_2\|$. This is available just in case $m_1 = m_2$.

Implies, $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a neutrosophic normed spaces.

Theorem 3.7: Let $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a finely convex neutrosophic normed spaces. Then proximal convex set in $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ is Cheby-shev.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ be a convex proximal set. If we can take two vector $m \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ such that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}, m)$ includes more than one item.

Let $= \|S - m\|$, $\{m_1, m_2\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}, m)$ and $\alpha = \|m_1 - m_2\|$.

Since, $\{m_1, m_2\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m)$ and from the finely convexity of $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ that

$\mathcal{C}_{(1-r)\alpha}(m_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_{r\alpha}(m_2) \subset \mathcal{O}_\epsilon(m)$.

The convexity of \mathcal{S} implies $\mathcal{C}_{(1-r)\alpha}(m_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_{r\alpha}(m_2) \subset \mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m)$.

This is contradiction with $m_1 \neq m_2$.

So, $m_1 = m_2$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}, m)$ contains only one vector.

Implies \mathcal{S} is Chebyshev.

Theorem 3.8: Let $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a neutrosophic normed spaces. Then the next relationships are equivalents:

- i. $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ is finely convex neutrosophic normed spaces.
- ii. Every proximal convex set is Cheby-shev neutrosophic normed spaces.

Proof: It be adequate to prove that if every proximal convex subset of \mathcal{N} is Cheby-shev then every shut- in ball is finely convex.

Let $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon(m)$ be any ball and it's not finely convex. Then there are x, y, z that are vectors of $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon(m)$ such that x belong to the set of points of the line segment connecting y and z and dented its (y, z) . From the proof of theorem 3.4, then $\mathcal{K}(y, z)$ is proximal implies its Cheby-shev set and thus by close interconnectedness of $\mathcal{K}(y, z)$ and norm function is continuous, there are vectors $n_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{K}(y, z)$ such that x belong to the set of points of the line segment connecting n_1 and n_2 and dented its $\mathcal{K}(n_1, n_2)$ with

$$\|m - n_2\| = \|m - n_1\| = \eta < \|m - x\| .$$

So, $\notin \mathcal{K}(m, \eta)$ implies $\mathcal{K}(m, \eta)$ is not convex, this is contradiction with theorem 3.4. we obtain

In $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ be an nutrosophic normed space, every proximal convex set is Cgeby-shev set if and only if $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ is finely convex.

Theorem 3.9:

If \mathcal{S} is sub space of the nutrosophic normed space $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ and $0 \neq n \in \mathcal{N}$, then

(i) \mathcal{S} is a co-proximal subspace of $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|) \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|) = \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(0)$

(ii) \mathcal{S} is a co-chebyshev subspace of $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|) \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|) = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(0)$

Proof: (i) Assume That \mathcal{S} is co-proximal, $n \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ and $m \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(n, m)$, then, $n - m \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(0)$.

So, $n = m + (n - m) \in \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(0)$

Since n is random mapping in $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$, Hence $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|) = \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(0)$.

Conversely. Now assume $n \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|) = \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(0)$, and to prove \mathcal{S} is aproximal so $n = m + \sigma, m \in \mathcal{S}, \sigma \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(0)$, and $0 \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(\sigma, m) = \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(n - m, p)$

Since: $\|(p - (n - m) - \sigma)\|$ is continuous mapping.

Thus, $\|(m - n) - \sigma\| \geq \|((m + p) - n) - \sigma\|$

Where $p + n \in \mathcal{S}$; hence $n \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(n, m)$, Therefor \mathcal{S} is co-proximal.

(ii) Suppose that \mathcal{S} is co-chebyshev nutrosophic normed subspace, $n \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$, and

$$n = p + q = r + s$$

Where $p, r \in \mathcal{S}$ and $q, s \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(0)$

We show that $p = r$ and $q = s$

Since,

$$n = p + q = r + s .$$

Then

$n - p = q, n - r = s$. This implies that

$p, r \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(n, m)$, therefor $p = r$ Thus $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|) = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(0)$ conversly. Suppose that

$(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|) = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(0)$ and $n \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$. There exist, $r \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(n, m)$. Then $n - p, n - r \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}^{-1}(0)$

And therefor, $n = p + q = r + s$

Where $n - p, s = n - q$, it follows p, r and $q = s$.

Implies, \mathcal{S} is co-chebsher nutrosophic normed subspace of $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$.

Theorem 3.10:

If $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ is subset of the nutrosophic normed space $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ and $0 \neq m \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$, then

(i) $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}+z}(n + p, m) = \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}(n, m) + p$ for every $n, p \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$,

(ii) $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{L}n, m) = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{S}(n, m)$ for every $n \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ and $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{R}/\{0\}$

(iii) \mathcal{S} is co-proximal (respectively co-chebyshev) $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{S} + p$ is co-proximal (respectively co-chebyshev), for any $p \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$.

(iv) \mathcal{S} is co-proximal (respectively co-chebyshev) iff \mathcal{bS} is $|\mathcal{b}|$ co-proximal (respectively $|\mathcal{b}|$ co-chebyshev) , $\forall \beta \in \mathcal{b} \in \mathcal{R}/\{0\}$.

Proof:- (i) For $m, p \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$, and $0 \neq p$,

$$r \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}+z}(u + v, w) \text{ iff } \left\| \left((r - s + q) - m \right) \right\| \geq \left\| (n + p - (s + p)) - m \right\| \text{ for all } (s + \mathcal{S}) \in \mathcal{S} + p \Leftrightarrow \left\| (r - p) - s + m \right\| \geq \left\| (n - s) - m \right\|_{p,w} \forall s \in \mathcal{S} , \Leftrightarrow r - p \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}(fn, m),$$

such that, $r \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}(n, m) + p$.

(ii) For each $n \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$, $\mathcal{b} \in \mathcal{R}/\{0\}$ and $m \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{bS}}(\mathcal{b}n, m) = \mathcal{bH}_{\mathcal{S}}(n, m) \Leftrightarrow$

$$\left\| (r - \mathcal{b}s) - m \right\| \geq \left\| (\mathcal{b}n - \mathcal{b}s) - \mathcal{b}m \right\| \text{ for all } \mathcal{b} \in \mathcal{A} \text{ iff}$$

$$\left\| \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{b}} r - s \right) - m \right\| \geq \left\| (n - s) - m \right\| \text{ for all } s \in \mathcal{S} \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\mathcal{b}} r \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}(n, m) \Leftrightarrow$$

$r \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}(n, m)$, there fore, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{bS}}(\mathcal{b}n, m) = \mathcal{bH}_{\mathcal{S}}(n, m)$.

(iii) The of this part immediately consequence from (i) .

(iv The of this part immediately consequence from (ii) .

Theorem 3.11:

Let \mathcal{S} be co-proximal nutrosophic normed subspace of the space $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$, and $0 \neq m \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$

Then

(i) If $\mathcal{H}^{-1}_m(0)$ is compact set , then \mathcal{A} is quasi co-chebyshev .

(ii) If $\mathcal{H}^{-1}_m(0)$ is a closed , then $\mathcal{H}^{-1}_m(n, m)$ is closed for every $n \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$.

Proof :-

(i) suppose $n \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ and $\{m_n\}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{H}^{-1}_m(n, m)$, since $n - m_n \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}_m(0)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{-1}_m(0)$ is a compact set , there exists a sub sequence $\{n - m_{nk}\}$ that convergence to $n - m_o \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}_m(0)$. consequently , $\{m_n\}$ has a sub sequence $m_{nk} \rightarrow g_o \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}_m(n, m)$ and hence \mathcal{S} is quasi co- chebyshev .

(ii) suppose $n \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ and $\{m_n\}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{H}^{-1}_m(n, m)$, since $n - m_n \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}_m(0)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{-1}_m(0)$ is a closed set , there exists a sub sequence $\{n - m_{nk}\}$ that convergence to $n - m_o \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}_m(0)$.

Consequently , $\{m_n\}$ has a sub sequence $m_{nk} \rightarrow m_o \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}_s(n, m)$ because $\mathcal{H}^{-1}_s(0)$ is closed and hence $\mathcal{H}^{-1}_s(n, m)$ is closed .

Theorem 3.12 :

Let \mathcal{S} be a nutrosophic normed subspace of the space $(\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$, if $\mathcal{S} \perp n - m_o$, then

$$m_o \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}_s(n, m) , \text{ and } 0 \neq m \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|).$$

Proof:- Assume $n \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|)$ & $\mathcal{S} \perp n - m_o$. then

$$\left\| (m + \alpha(n - m_o) - p) \right\| \leq \left\| m - p \right\| \forall m \in \mathcal{S} \text{ \& } \alpha \in \mathcal{R}, \alpha \neq 0.$$

$$\text{So, } \left\| (n - m_o + \alpha^{-1}m) - p \right\|_{p,w} \leq \left\| (\alpha^{-1}m - p) \right\|_{p,w}$$

$$\text{Hence } \left\| n - m_1 - p \right\| \leq \left\| m_o - m_1 - p \right\|.$$

Where $m_1 = m_o - \alpha^{-1}m$, Now

$$\left\| (m_3 - m_o) - p \right\| \geq \left\| (n - m_3) - p \right\| \forall m_3 \in \mathcal{S} , \text{ \& } m_o \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}_s(n, m) \text{ \& and } 0 \neq m \in (\mathcal{N}, \|\cdot\|).$$

4. Conclusion

The proximality, Cheby-shev and convexity have been studied in neutrosophic normed space. Through these paper, we conclude some new results which are closely related by proximality sets, Cheby-shev neutrosophic normed sets and convexity concept in neutrosophic normed space also every proximinal set is Chebyshev set. Furthermore, finely convex normed space implies neutrosophic normed space and has been conclude there is equivalent relation between finely convex neutrosophic normed spaces with every proximinal convex set is Cheby-shev. Finally, in future studies, we will work to develop this mathematical structure by integrating and crushing it with other flexible algebraic concepts [29, 30] of a complex nature.

References

- [1] Smarandache, F. A Unifying Field in Logics. Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Lgics, American Research Press, Reoboth, NM, USA, 1998.
- [2] Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information Control*, 8(3): 338-353.
- [3] Jamiatun Nadwa Ismail et al. The Integrated Novel Framework: Linguistic Variables in Pythagorean Neutrosophic Set with DEMATEL for Enhanced Decision Support. *Int. J. Neutrosophic Sci.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 129-141, 2023.
- [4] Abed, M. M., Al-Jumaili, A. F., Al-sharqi, F. G. Some mathematical structures in a topological group. *Journal of Algebra and Applied Mathematics*. 2018, 16(2), 99-117.
- [5] Ashraf Al-Quran, Faisal Al-Sharqi, Zahari Md. Rodzi, Mona Aladil, Rawan A. shlaka, Mamika Ujjanita Romdhini, Mohammad K. Tahat, Obadah Said Solaiman. (2023). The Algebraic Structures of Q-Complex Neutrosophic Soft Sets Associated with Groups and Subgroups. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 22 (1), 60-76.
- [6] F. Al-Sharqi, A.G. Ahmad, A. Al-Quran, Interval-valued neutrosophic soft expert set from real space to complex space, *Computer Modeling in Engineering and Sciences*, vol. 132(1), pp. 267–293, 2022.
- [7] Hammad, F. N., & Abed, M. M. (2021, March). A new results of injective module with divisible property. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1818, No. 1, p. 012168). IOP Publishing.
- [8] Zail, S. H., Abed, M. M., & Faisal, A. S. (2022). Neutrosophic BCK-algebra and Ω -BCK-algebra. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, 19(3), 8-15.
- [9] M. U. Romdhini, F. Al-Sharqi, A. Nawawi, A. Al-Quran and H. Rashmanlou, Signless Laplacian Energy of Interval-Valued Fuzzy Graph and its Applications, *Sains Malaysiana* 52(7), 2127-2137, 2023
- [10] Abdelhafeez, A. M., M. "Neutrosophic MCDM Model for Assessment Factors of Wearable Technological Devices to Reduce Risks and Increase Safety: Case Study in Education," *Journal of International Journal of Advances in Applied Computational Intelligence*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 41-52, 2023. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/IJAACI.030104>
- [11] Sankari, H., and Abobala, M., "Solving Three Conjectures About Neutrosophic Quadruple Vector Spaces", *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, Vol., pp., 2020.
- [12] Bera, T., & Mahapatra, N. K. (2020). Continuity and convergence on neutrosophic soft normed linear spaces. *International Journal of Fuzzy Computation and Modelling*, 3(2), 156-186.
- [13] Zaki, S. M., M. M., M. "Interval Valued Neutrosophic VIKOR Method for Assessment Green Suppliers in Supply Chain," *Journal of International Journal of Advances in Applied Computational Intelligence*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 15-22, 2022. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/IJAACI.020102>
- [14] Şahin, M., Kargın, A., & Yıldız, İ. (2020). Neutrosophic triplet field and neutrosophic triplet vector space based on set valued neutrosophic quadruple number. *Quadruple Neutrosophic Theory and Applications*, 1, 52.
- [15] Smarandache F., and Abobala, M., " n-Refined Neutrosophic Vector Spaces", *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol. 7, pp. 47-54, 2020.
- [16] Al-Sharqi F, Ahmad AG, Al-Quran A. Similarity measures on interval-complex neutrosophic soft sets with applications to decision making and medical diagnosis under uncertainty. *Neutrosophic Sets. Syst.* 2022; 51:495-515.
- [17] F. Al-Sharqi, A. Al-Quran and Z. M. Rodzi, Multi-Attribute Group Decision-Making Based on Aggregation Operator and Score Function of Bipolar Neutrosophic Hypersoft Environment, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 61(1), 465-492, 2023.
- [18] A. Al-Quran, F. Al-Sharqi, A. U. Rahman and Z. M. Rodzi, The q-rung orthopair fuzzy-valued neutrosophic sets: Axiomatic properties, aggregation operators and applications. *AIMS Mathematics*, 9(2), 5038-5070, 2024.

- [19] A. Al-Quran, F. Al-Sharqi, K. Ullah, M. U. Romdhini, M. Balti and M. Alomai, Bipolar fuzzy hypersoft set and its application in decision making, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 65-77, 2023.
- [20] Auad, A. A and Fayyadh, M. H. (2021). The direct and converse theorems for best approximation of algebraic polynomial in l_p , $\alpha(x)$. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series (Vol. 1879, No. 3, p. 032010). IOP Publishing.
- [21] Auad, A. A., & Hussein, A. A. (2019, July). Best simultaneous approximation in weighted space. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series (Vol. 1234, No. 1, p. 012111). IOP Publishing.
- [22] K. Roshdi, Best approximation in metric spaces, American mathematical society, Vol. 103 (1988), No. 2, 579-586.
- [23] H. Mazheri, S.M.S. Modarres: Some Results Concerning Proximality and co-Proximality, Nonlinear Anal., 62, (2005), 1123-1126.
- [24] Auad, A. A., Hilal, M. A., & Khalaf, N. S. (2023). Best approximation of unbounded functions by modulus of smoothness. European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 16(2), 944-952.
- [25] A. Auad and A. KlEIF, Best co-proximal and Co-chebyshev of unbounded functions, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2322 (2022) 012033.
- [26] V. Indumathi and N. Prakash, E-proximinal subspaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 450, (2017), 1–11.
- [27] C. R. Jayanarayanan. Characterization of strong ball proximality in L_1 -predual spaces. J. Convex Anal., 26(2), (2019), 537–542.
- [28] Auad, A. A., & Al-Sharqi, F. (2023). Identical Theorem of Approximation Unbounded Functions by Linear Operators. Journal of Applied Mathematics & Informatics, 41(4), 801–810.
- [29] Abed, M. M.; Al-Sharqi, F. G. Classical Artinian module and related topics. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018, 1003(1), 012065.
- [30] Abed, M. M., Hassan, N., and Al-Sharqi, F. On neutrosophic multiplication module. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems. 2022, 47, 198-208.