



A Note on Two-Fold Neutrosophic and Fuzzy Topological Space Based on Real Numbers

Rabaa Al-Maita

Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Mutah University, Al-Karak, Jordan

rabaamaita@mutah.edu.jo

Abstract

The objective of this paper is to introduce for the first time the concept of two-fold neutrosophic and fuzzy topological space defined over real numbers, where we combine the two-fold neutrosophic sets with real numbers to get a novel topological space based on them. Also, we present many of its elementary properties and special subsets such as two-fold neutrosophic open sets, two-fold neutrosophic closed sets, and two-fold neutrosophic closure. Many examples and theorems will be provided to clarify the validity of our approach.

Keywords: Two-fold algebra; Two-fold neutrosophic topology; Two-fold neutrosophic open set; Two-fold neutrosophic closed set

1. Introduction

Two-fold neutrosophic algebras are new algebraic structures presented by Smarandache [1] by combining neutrosophic values of truth, falsity, and indeterminacy with classical algebraic sets. These ideas were used by many authors to generalize other famous algebraic structures such as two-fold fuzzy number theoretical systems [2-3], two-fold modules and spaces [4], and two-fold fuzzy rings [5]. Also, they were used in the study of some special two-fold complex functions such as Gamma function [7], and in extending n-refined neutrosophic rings [6]. Neutrosophic topological spaces and their related structures were studied by many authors, their special subsets such as closed and open sets have been discussed in details for many different types of neutrosophic topological spaces [8-13].

This has motivated us to introduce for the first time the concept of two-fold neutrosophic and fuzzy topological space defined over real numbers, where we combine the two-fold neutrosophic sets with real numbers to get a novel topological space based on them. Also, we present many of its elementary properties and special subsets such as two-fold neutrosophic open sets, two-fold neutrosophic closed sets, and two-fold neutrosophic closure. Many examples and theorems will be provided to clarify the validity of our approach.

2. Main Discussion

Definition 2.1

Let R be the real field, we define:

$$T_R = \{x_{(y, t_y, i_y, f_y)} ; x, y \in R, t_y, i_y, f_y \in [0, 1]\}.$$

The components (t_y, i_y, f_y) refer to neutrosophic values of truth, indeterminacy and falsity.

Definition 2.2

Let N be a topological space on $\mathbb{R}$, the corresponding two-fold neutrosophic topological space is defined as follows:

$$T_N = \{x_{(y,t_y,i_y,f_y)}; x \in N, y \in \mathbb{R}, t_y, i_y, f_y \in [0,1]\}.$$

Definition 2.3

Let $x_{(y,t_y,i_y,f_y)} = A, z_{(l,t_l,i_l,f_l)} = B \in T_N$, we define:

$$A \wedge B = (x \wedge z)_{(c,t_c,i_c,f_c)}; c = \min(y, l), t_c = \min(t_l, t_y), i_c = \max(i_y, i_l), f_c = \max(f_l, f_y).$$

$$A \vee B = (x \vee z)_{(c,t_c,i_c,f_c)}; c = \max(y, l), t_c = \max(t_l, t_y), i_c = \min(i_y, i_l), f_c = \min(f_l, f_y).$$

The complement of A is defined as follows:

$$A^c = (x^c)_{(y,f_y,1-i_y,t_y)}$$

The two-fold empty set is defined as:

$$\emptyset_N = \{(\emptyset)_{(y,0,1,1)} \mid y \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Definition 2.4

Consider $A = (x)_{(y,t_y,i_y,f_y)}, B = (z)_{(l,t_l,i_l,f_l)}$, then:

$$A \subseteq B \text{ if and only if: } \begin{cases} x \subseteq z \\ t_y \leq t_l, i_y \geq i_l, f_y \geq f_l \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.1

Let $A, B, C \in T_N$ with:

$$A = (x)_{(y,t_y,i_y,f_y)}, B = (z)_{(l,t_l,i_l,f_l)}, C = (k)_{(s,t_s,i_s,f_s)}, \text{ then:}$$

$$1) A \wedge A = A, A \wedge \emptyset_N = \emptyset_N$$

$$2) A \vee A = A, A \vee \emptyset_N = A$$

$$3) A \wedge B = B \wedge A, A \vee B = B \vee A$$

$$4) A \wedge (B \wedge C) = (A \wedge B) \wedge C, A \vee (B \vee C) = (A \vee B) \vee C$$

$$5) (A^c)^c = A$$

$$6) (A \vee B)^c = A^c \wedge B^c, (A \wedge B)^c = A^c \vee B^c$$

Definition 2.5

Open sets in T_N are:

$$O_{T_N} = \{A = (x)_{(y,t_y,i_y,f_y)}; x \in \tau_1, y \in \tau_2\}; \tau_1 \text{ is the topology defined over } N, \tau_2 \text{ is the neutrosophic topology defined over } \mathbb{R}.$$

Closed sets on T_N are:

$$C_{T_N} = \{A^c; A \in O_{T_N}\}.$$

Theorem 2.2

Let $A, B \in O_{T_N}$, then:

$$1) A \wedge B \in O_{T_N}$$

$$2) A \vee B \in O_{T_N}$$

Theorem 2.3

Let $A, B \in C_{T_N}$, then:

$$1] A \wedge B \in C_{T_N}$$

$$2] A \vee B \in C_{T_N}$$

Definition 2.6

Let $A \in T_N$, then we define:

$$int(A) = \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i ; B_i \in O_{T_N}, B_i \subseteq A.$$

Theorem 2.4

Let $A \in T_N$, then:

$$1] int(A) \subseteq A$$

$$2] int(A \wedge B) = int(A) \wedge int(B)$$

$$3] int(int(A)) = int(A)$$

$$4] A \subseteq B \Rightarrow int(A) \subseteq int(B)$$

$$5] int(A) \vee int(B) \subseteq int(A \vee B)$$

$$6] A \in O_{T_N} \Leftrightarrow A = int(A)$$

Definition 2.7

Let $A \in T_N$, then we define:

$$cl(A) = \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i ; B_i \in C_{T_N}, A \subseteq B_i.$$

Theorem 2.5

Let $A, B \in T_N$, then:

$$1] A \subseteq cl(A), cl(cl(A)) = cl(A).$$

$$2] A \subseteq B \Rightarrow cl(A) \subseteq cl(B)$$

$$3] cl(A \vee B) = cl(A) \vee cl(B)$$

$$4] cl(A \wedge B) \subseteq cl(A) \wedge cl(B)$$

$$5] A \in C_{T_N} \Leftrightarrow A = cl(A)$$

Theorem 2.6

Let $A \in T_N$, then:

$$1] int(A^c) = (cl(A))^c.$$

$$2] cl(A^c) = (int(A))^c.$$

Definition 2.8

Let $A \in T_N$, we define: $ext(A) = int(A^c)$.

Theorem 2.7

Let $A, B \in T_N$, then:

$$1] \text{ext}(A \vee B) = \text{ext}(A) \wedge \text{ext}(B)$$

$$2] \text{ext}(A) \vee \text{ext}(B) \subseteq \text{ext}(A \wedge B)$$

Definition 2.9

Let $A, B \in T_N$, we define:

$$fr(A) = cl(A) \cap cl(A^c)$$

Theorem 2.8

Let $A, B \in T_N$, then:

$$1] (fr(A))^c = \text{ext}(A) \vee \text{int}(A)$$

$$2] cl(A) = \text{int}(A) \vee fr(A)$$

$$3] fr(A) \wedge \text{int}(A) = \emptyset$$

$$4] fr(\text{int}(A)) \subseteq fr(A)$$

Proof of theorem (.21):

$$1] A \wedge A = (x)_{(y,t_y,i_y,f_y)} \wedge (x)_{(y,t_y,i_y,f_y)} = (x \wedge x)_{(y,t_y,i_y,f_y)} = (x)_{(y,t_y,i_y,f_y)} = A.$$

$$A \wedge \emptyset_N = \emptyset_N \text{ clearly.}$$

$$2] A \vee A = (x \vee x)_{(y,t_y,i_y,f_y)} = (x)_{(y,t_y,i_y,f_y)} = A.$$

$$A \vee \emptyset_N = \emptyset_N \text{ clearly.}$$

3] It holds directly from the definition.

$$4] A \wedge (B \wedge C) = (x \wedge y \wedge k)_{(m,t_m,i_m,f_m)}; m = \min(y, l, s), t_m = \min(t_y, t_l, t_s), i_m = \max(i_y, i_l, i_s), f_m = \max(f_y, f_l, f_s), \text{ thus } A \wedge (B \wedge C) = (A \wedge B) \wedge C.$$

The second formula can be proved by the same.

$$5] (A^c)^c = (x^c)_{(y,t_y,i_y,f_y)}^c = (x)_{(y,t_y,i_y,f_y)} = A.$$

$$6] \text{ we have: } (x \vee z)^c = x^c \wedge z^c, (x \wedge z)^c = x^c \vee z^c, \text{ and:}$$

$$(A \vee B)^c = (x^c \wedge z^c)_{(m,t_m,i_m,f_m)}; (A \wedge B)^c = (x^c \vee z^c)_{(n,t_n,i_n,f_n)}$$

$$\text{So that: } (A \vee B)^c = A^c \wedge B^c, (A \wedge B)^c = A^c \vee B^c$$

Proof of theorem (.22):

$$1]-2] \text{ Since } x \wedge z, x \vee z \in \tau_1 \text{ and } \min(y, l), \max(y, l) \in \tau_2,$$

$$\begin{cases} \min(t_y, t_l), \max(t_y, t_l) \in [0,1] \\ \min(i_y, i_l), \max(i_y, i_l) \in [0,1] \\ \min(f_y, f_l), \max(f_y, f_l) \in [0,1] \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Hence } A \wedge B = (x \wedge z)_{(\min(y,l), \min(t_y,t_l), \max(i_y,i_l), \max(f_y,f_l))} \in O_{T_N},$$

$$A \vee B = (x \vee z)_{(\min(y,l), \max(t_y,t_l), \min(i_y,i_l), \min(f_y,f_l))} \in O_{T_N}.$$

Theorem (.23) can be proved by similar argument of **theorem (.22)**.

Proof of theorem (.24):

$$1] \text{ Since } B_i \subseteq A, \text{ then } \bigvee_{i \in I} B_i \subseteq A \text{ and } \text{int}(A) \subseteq A.$$

- 2] Since $\text{int}(x \wedge z) = \text{int}(x) \wedge \text{int}(z)$, we get the proof.
- 3] Since $\text{int}(x) = \text{int}(\text{int}(x))$, then $\text{int}(\text{int}(A)) = \text{int}(A)$.
- 4] $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow x \subseteq z$, hence $\text{int}(x) \subseteq \text{int}(z)$ so that: $\text{int}(A) \subseteq \text{int}(B)$.
- 5] $\text{int}(x) \vee \text{int}(z) \subseteq \text{int}(x \vee z)$, so that the proof holds.
- 6] $x \in O_N \Leftrightarrow x = \text{int}(x)$, thus $A \in O_{T_N} \Leftrightarrow A = \text{int}(A)$.

Proof of theorem (.25):

We have the following facts:

$$\begin{cases} x \subseteq \text{cl}(x), \text{cl}(\text{cl}(x)) = \text{cl}(x) \\ x \subseteq z \Rightarrow \text{cl}(x) \subseteq \text{cl}(z) \\ \text{cl}(x \vee z) = \text{cl}(x) \vee \text{cl}(z) \\ \text{cl}(x \wedge z) \subseteq \text{cl}(x) \wedge \text{cl}(z) \\ x \in C_N \Leftrightarrow x = \text{cl}(x) \end{cases}$$

From these facts, we get: the results from 1 to 5.

Proof of theorem (.26), (.27):

We have the following facts:

$$\begin{cases} \text{int}(x^c) = (\text{cl}(x))^c \\ \text{cl}(x^c) = (\text{int}(x))^c \\ \text{ext}(x \vee z) = \text{ext}(x) \wedge \text{ext}(z) \\ \text{ext}(x) \vee \text{ext}(z) \subseteq \text{ext}(x \wedge z) \end{cases}$$

Hence, we get the proof.

Proof of theorem (.28):

We have the following facts:

$$\begin{cases} (\text{fr}(x))^c = \text{ext}(x) \vee \text{int}(x) \\ \text{cl}(x) = \text{int}(x) \vee \text{fr}(x) \\ \text{fr}(x) \wedge \text{int}(x) = \emptyset \\ \text{fr}(\text{int}(x)) \subseteq \text{fr}(x) \end{cases}$$

These facts imply the desired proof.

Definition 2.10

Let R be the real field, we define:

$$T_R = \{x_{(y, t_y, f_y)} ; x, y \in R, t_y, f_y \in [0, 1]\}.$$

The components (t_y, f_y) refer to fuzzy values of truth and falsity.

Definition 2.11

Let N be a topological space on $\mathbb{R}$, the corresponding two-fold fuzzy topological space is defined as follows:

$$T_N = \{x_{(y, t_y, f_y)} ; x \in N, y \in R, t_y, f_y \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Definition 2.12

Let $x_{(y, t_y, f_y)} = A, z_{(l, t_l, f_l)} = B \in T_N$, we define:

$$A \wedge B = (x \wedge z)_{(c, t_c, f_c)} ; c = \min(y, l), t_c = \min(t_l, t_y), f_c = \max(f_l, f_y).$$

$$A \vee B = (x \vee z)_{(c, t_c, i_c, f_c)} ; c = \max(y, l), t_c = \max(t_l, t_y), f_c = \min(f_l, f_y).$$

The complement of A is defined as follows:

$$A^c = (x^c)_{(y, f_y, t_y)}$$

The two-fold empty set is defined as:

$$\emptyset_N = \{(\emptyset)_{(y, 0, 1)} \mid \forall y \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Definition 2.13

Consider $A = (x)_{(y, t_y, f_y)}, B = (z)_{(l, t_l, f_l)}$, then:

$$A \subseteq B \text{ if and only if: } \begin{cases} x \subseteq z \\ t_y \leq t_l, f_y \geq f_l \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.9

Let $A, B, C \in T_N$ with:

$$A = (x)_{(y, t_y, f_y)}, B = (z)_{(l, t_l, f_l)}, C = (k)_{(s, t_s, f_s)}, \text{ then:}$$

- 1] $A \wedge A = A, A \wedge \emptyset_N = \emptyset_N$
- 2] $A \vee A = A, A \vee \emptyset_N = A$
- 3] $A \wedge B = B \wedge A, A \vee B = B \vee A$
- 4] $A \wedge (B \wedge C) = (A \wedge B) \wedge C, A \vee (B \vee C) = (A \vee B) \vee C$
- 5] $(A^c)^c = A$
- 6] $(A \vee B)^c = A^c \wedge B^c, (A \wedge B)^c = A^c \vee B^c.$

Definition 2.14

Open sets in T_N are:

$$O_{T_N} = \{A = (x)_{(y, t_y, f_y)} ; x \in \tau_1, y \in \tau_2\}; \tau_1 \text{ is the topology defined over } N, \tau_2 \text{ is the neutrosophic topology defined over } \mathbb{R}.$$

Closed sets on T_N are:

$$C_{T_N} = \{A^c ; A \in O_{T_N}\}.$$

Theorem 2.10

Let $A, B \in O_{T_N}$, then:

- 1] $A \wedge B \in O_{T_N}$
- 2] $A \vee B \in O_{T_N}$

Theorem 2.11

Let $A, B \in C_{T_N}$, then:

- 1] $A \wedge B \in C_{T_N}$
- 2] $A \vee B \in C_{T_N}$

Definition 2.15

Let $A \in T_N$, then we define:

$$\text{int}(A) = \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i ; B_i \in O_{T_N}, B_i \subseteq A.$$

Theorem 2.12

Let $A \in T_N$, then:

- 1] $\text{int}(A) \subseteq A$
- 2] $\text{int}(A \wedge B) = \text{int}(A) \wedge \text{int}(B)$
- 3] $\text{int}(\text{int}(A)) = \text{int}(A)$
- 4] $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \text{int}(A) \subseteq \text{int}(B)$
- 5] $\text{int}(A) \vee \text{int}(B) \subseteq \text{int}(A \vee B)$
- 6] $A \in O_{T_N} \Leftrightarrow A = \text{int}(A)$

Definition 2.16

Let $A \in T_N$, then we define:

$$\text{cl}(A) = \bigcap_{i \in I} B_i ; B_i \in C_{T_N}, A \subseteq B_i.$$

Theorem 2.13

Let $A, B \in T_N$, then:

- 1] $A \subseteq \text{cl}(A), \text{cl}(\text{cl}(A)) = \text{cl}(A).$
- 2] $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \text{cl}(A) \subseteq \text{cl}(B)$
- 3] $\text{cl}(A \vee B) = \text{cl}(A) \vee \text{cl}(B)$
- 4] $\text{cl}(A \wedge B) \subseteq \text{cl}(A) \wedge \text{cl}(B)$
- 5] $A \in C_{T_N} \Leftrightarrow A = \text{cl}(A).$

Theorem 2.14

Let $A \in T_N$, then:

- 1] $\text{int}(A^c) = (\text{cl}(A))^c.$
- 2] $\text{cl}(A^c) = (\text{int}(A))^c.$

Definition 2.17

Let $A \in T_N$, we define: $\text{ext}(A) = \text{int}(A^c).$

Theorem 2.15

Let $A, B \in T_N$, then:

- 1] $\text{ext}(A \vee B) = \text{ext}(A) \wedge \text{ext}(B)$
- 2] $\text{ext}(A) \vee \text{ext}(B) \subseteq \text{ext}(A \wedge B)$

Definition 2.18

Let $A, B \in T_N$, we define:

$$\text{fr}(A) = \text{cl}(A) \cap \text{cl}(A^c)$$

Theorem 2.16

Let $A, B \in T_N$, then:

- 1] $(\text{fr}(A))^c = \text{ext}(A) \vee \text{int}(A)$

$$2] cl(A) = int(A) \vee fr(A)$$

$$3] fr(A) \wedge int(A) = \emptyset$$

$$4] fr(int(A)) \subseteq fr(A)$$

Proof of theorem (.29):

$$1] A \wedge A = (x)_{(y,t_y,f_y)} \wedge (x)_{(y,t_y,f_y)} = (x \wedge x)_{(y,t_y,f_y)} = (x)_{(y,t_y,f_y)} = A.$$

$$A \wedge \emptyset_N = \emptyset_N \text{ clearly.}$$

$$2] A \vee A = (x \vee x)_{(y,t_y,f_y)} = (x)_{(y,t_y,f_y)} = A.$$

$$A \vee \emptyset_N = \emptyset_N \text{ clearly.}$$

3] It holds directly from the definition.

$$4] A \wedge (B \wedge C) = (x \wedge y \wedge k)_{(m,t_m,f_m)}; m = \min(y, l, s), t_m = \min(t_y, t_l, t_s), f_m = \max(f_y, f_l, f_s), \text{ thus } A \wedge (B \wedge C) = (A \wedge B) \wedge C.$$

The second formula can be proved by the same.

$$5] (A^c)^c = (x^c)_{(y,t_y,f_y)}^c = (x)_{(y,t_y,f_y)} = A.$$

$$6] \text{ we have: } (x \vee z)^c = x^c \wedge z^c, (x \wedge z)^c = x^c \vee z^c, \text{ and:}$$

$$(A \vee B)^c = (x^c \wedge z^c)_{(m,t_m,f_m)}; (A \wedge B)^c = (x^c \vee z^c)_{(n,t_n,f_n)}$$

$$\text{So that: } (A \vee B)^c = A^c \wedge B^c, (A \wedge B)^c = A^c \vee B^c$$

Proof of theorem (2.10):

$$1]-2] \text{ Since } x \wedge z, x \vee z \in \tau_1 \text{ and } \min(y, l), \max(y, l) \in \tau_2,$$

$$\begin{cases} \min(t_y, t_l), \max(t_y, t_l) \in [0,1] \\ \min(f_y, f_l), \max(f_y, f_l) \in [0,1] \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Hence } A \wedge B = (x \wedge z)_{(\min(y,l), \min(t_y,t_l), \max(f_y,f_l))} \in O_{TN},$$

$$A \vee B = (x \vee z)_{(\min(y,l), \max(t_y,t_l), \min(f_y,f_l))} \in O_{TN}.$$

Theorem (2.11) can be proved by similar argument of **theorem (210).**

Proof of theorem (.212):

$$1] \text{ Since } B_i \subseteq A, \text{ then } \bigvee_{i \in I} B_i \subseteq A \text{ and } int(A) \subseteq A.$$

$$2] \text{ Since } int(x \wedge z) = int(x) \wedge int(z), \text{ we get the proof.}$$

$$3] \text{ Since } int(x) = int(int(x)), \text{ then } int(int(A)) = int(A).$$

$$4] A \subseteq B \Rightarrow x \subseteq z, \text{ hence } int(x) \subseteq int(z) \text{ so that: } int(A) \subseteq int(B).$$

$$5] int(x) \vee int(z) \subseteq int(x \vee z), \text{ so that the proof holds.}$$

$$6] x \in O_N \Leftrightarrow x = int(x), \text{ thus } A \in O_{TN} \Leftrightarrow A = int(A).$$

Proof of theorem (.213):

We have the following facts:

$$\begin{cases} x \subseteq cl(x), cl(cl(x)) = cl(x) \\ x \subseteq z \Rightarrow cl(x) \subseteq cl(z) \\ cl(x \vee z) = cl(x) \vee cl(z) \\ cl(x \wedge z) \subseteq cl(x) \wedge cl(z) \\ x \in C_N \Leftrightarrow x = cl(x) \end{cases}$$

From these facts, we get: the desired results

Proof of theorem (.214), (.215):

We have the following facts:

$$\begin{cases} int(x^c) = (cl(x))^c \\ cl(x^c) = (int(x))^c \\ ext(x \vee z) = ext(x) \wedge ext(z) \\ ext(x) \vee ext(z) \subseteq ext(x \wedge z) \end{cases}$$

Hence, we get the proof.

Proof of theorem (.216):

We have the following facts:

$$\begin{cases} (fr(x))^c = ext(x) \vee int(x) \\ cl(x) = int(x) \vee fr(x) \\ fr(x) \wedge int(x) = \emptyset \\ fr(int(x)) \subseteq fr(x) \end{cases}$$

These facts imply the desired proof.

3. Conclusion

In this paper, we have introduced for the first time the concept of two-fold neutrosophic and fuzzy topological space defined over real numbers, where we combined the two-fold neutrosophic sets with real numbers to get a novel topological space based on them. Also, we presented many of its elementary properties and special subsets such as two-fold neutrosophic open sets, two-fold neutrosophic closed sets, and two-fold neutrosophic closure. Many examples and theorems have been provided to clarify the validity of our approach.

References

- [1] Florentine Smarandache. "Neutrosophic Two-Fold Algebra", Plithogenic Logic and Computation, Vol.1, No.1 2024. PP.11-15.
- [2] Mohammad Abobala. "On The Foundations of Fuzzy Number Theory and Fuzzy Diophantine Equations." Galoitica: Journal of Mathematical Structures and Applications, Vol. 10, No. 1, 2023, PP. 17-25. (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/GJMSA.0100102>).
- [3] Mohammad Abobala. (2023). On a Two-Fold Algebra Based on the Standard Fuzzy Number Theoretical System. Journal of Neutrosophic and Fuzzy Systems, 7 (2), 24-29 (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/JNFS.070202>).
- [4] Ahmed Hatip, Necati Olgun. (2023). On the Concepts of Two- Fold Fuzzy Vector Spaces and Algebraic Modules. Journal of Neutrosophic and Fuzzy Systems, 7 (2), 46-52 (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/JNFS.070205>).
- [5] Abdallah Shihadeh, Khaled Ahmad Mohammad Matarneh, Raed Hatamleh, Randa Bashir Yousef Hijazeen, Mowafaq Omar Al-Qadri, Abdallah Al-Husban. (2024). An Example of Two-Fold Fuzzy Algebras Based On Neutrosophic Real Numbers, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 67.
- [6] Abdallah Shihadeh, Khaled Ahmad Mohammad Matarneh, Raed Hatamleh, Mowafaq Omar Al-Qadri, Abdallah Al-Husban, On The Two-Fold Fuzzy n-Refined Neutrosophic Rings For $2 \leq n \leq 3$, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 68, 2024, pp. 8-25. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.11406449
- [7] Nabil Khuder Salman, On the Special Gamma Function Over the Complex Two-Fold Algebras, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 68, 2024, pp. 26-38. (DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.11406461](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11406461)).

- [8] T.Qawasmeh, A. Qazza, R. Hatamleh, M.W. Alomari, R. Saadeh. (2023). Further accurate numerical radius inequalities. *Axiom-MDPI*, 12 (8), 801.
- [9] AL-Nafee, A. B., Al-Hamido, R. K., & Smarandache, F. (2019). Separation axioms in neutrosophic crisp topological spaces. *Infinite Study*.
- [10] M., A. "A Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Approach for Piston Material Selection under Single-Valued Trapezoidal Neutrosophic Sets," *Journal of Neutrosophic and Information Fusion*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 23-43, 2023. (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/NIF.020102>).
- [11] Al-Husban, A., Amourah, A., & Jaber, J. J. (2020). Bipolar complex fuzzy sets and their properties. *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 43,754-761.
- [12] Mahmoud, H. Abdelhafeez, A. "Spherical Fuzzy Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Approach for Risk Assessment of Natech," *Journal of Neutrosophic and Information Fusion*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 59-68, 2023. (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54216/NIF.020105>).
- [13] Al-Husban, A., Al-Qadri, M. O., Saadeh, R., Qazza, A., & Almomani, H. H. (2022). Multi-fuzzy rings. *WSEAS Trans. Math*, 21, 701-706.