



The Decay of The Solutions of a Nonlinear Viscoelastic Hyperbolic Equation

Murtada Ali Maqdisi

College Of Pharmacy, AL-Farahidi University, Baghdad, Iraq

Email: maqdisidrmurtada@uofarahidi.edu.iq

Abstract

We study under some conditions on p , m and suitable conditions on g , the decay of solutions of the nonlinear viscoelastic hyperbolic equation in problem (P) as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, with Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N ($N > 1$), with smooth boundary Γ , and a , b , w are positive constants, $m \geq 2$, $P \geq 2$, and the function $g(t)$ satisfying some conditions. We show that the energy of solutions decays exponentially if $m = 2$ and polynomial if $m > 2$, provided that the initial data are small enough.

Keywords: Partial Differential equation; hyperbolic equation; nonlinear; viscoelastic

1. Introduction

In this article we consider the problem (P)

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u - w\Delta u_t + \int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(x,s)ds + a|u_t|^{m-2}u_t = b|u|^{P-2}u, x \in \Omega, t > 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), x \in \Omega \\ u_t(0, x) = u_1(x), x \in \Omega \\ u(t, x) = 0, x \in \Gamma, t > 0 \end{cases}$$

where the function $g(t)$ satisfying some conditions. In the physical point of view, this type of problems arise usually in viscoelasticity. This type of problems have been considered first by Dafermos [8], in 1970, where the general decay was discussed. A related problems to (P) have attracted a great deal of attention in the last decades, and many results have been appeared on the existence and long time behavior of solutions. See in this directions [2], [3], [4]-[7], [13], [20], [22], [23], [26] and references therein.

In the absence of the strong damping Δu_t , that is for $w = 0$, and when the function g vanishes identically (i.e: $g = 0$), then problem (P) can be reduced to the following initial boundary damped wave equation with nonlinear damping and nonlinear sources terms.

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + a|u_t|^{m-2}u_t = b|u|^{P-2}u \quad (1)$$

Some special cases of equation (1) arise in quantum field theory which describe the motion of charged mesons in an electromagnetic field. Equation (1) together with initial and boundary conditions of Dirichlet type, has been extensively studied and results concerning existence, blow up and asymptotic behavior of smooth, as well as weak solutions have been established by several authors.

For $b = 0$, that is in the absence of the source term, it is well known that the damping term $|u_t|^{m-2}u_t$ assures global existence and decay of the solution energy for arbitrary initial data (see [12] and [16]).

For $a = 0$, the source term causes finite-time blow-up of solutions with a large initial data (negative initial energy). That is to say, the norm of our solution $u(t, x)$ in the energy space reaches $+\infty$ when the time t approaches certain value T^* called " the blow up time", (see [1] and [15] for more details). The interaction between the damping term $|u_t|^{m-2}u_t$ and the source term $|u|^{P-2}u$ makes the problem more interesting. This

situation was first considered by Levine [17], [18] in the linear damping case ($m = 2$), where he showed that solutions with negative initial energy blow up in finite time T^* . The main ingredient used in [17] and [18] is the "concavity method" where the basic idea of this method is to construct a positive function $L(t)$ of the solution and show that for some $\gamma > 0$, the function $L(t)$ is a positive concave function of t . In order to find such γ , it suffices to verify that:

$$\frac{d^2 L^{-\gamma-2}(t)}{dt^2} = -\gamma L^{-\gamma-2}(t)[LL'' - (1 + \gamma)L'^2(t)] \leq 0, \forall t \geq 0$$

This is equivalent to prove that $L(t)$ satisfies the differential inequality

$$LL'' - (1 + \gamma)L'^2(t) \geq 0, \forall t \geq 0$$

Unfortunately, this method fails in the case of nonlinear damping term ($m > 2$). Georgiev and Todorova in their famous paper [10], extended Levine's result to the nonlinear damping case ($m > 2$). More precisely, in [10] and by combining the Galerkin approximation with the contraction mapping theorem, the authors showed that problem (1) in a bounded domain with initial and boundary conditions of Dirichlet type has a unique solution in the interval $[0, T)$ provided that T is small enough.

Also, they proved that the obtained solutions continue to exist globally in time if $m \geq p$ and the initial data are small enough. Whereas for $p > m$ the unique solution of problem (1) blows up in finite time provided that the initial data are large enough. (i. e: the initial energy is sufficiently negative). This later result has been pushed by Messaoudi in [24] to the situation where the initial energy $E(0) < 0$. For more general result in this direction, we refer the interested reader to the works of Vitillaro [28], Levine [19] and Messaoudi [21].

In the presence of the viscoelastic term ($g \neq 0$) and for $w=0$, our problem (P) becomes

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(x,s)ds + a|u_t|^{m-2}u_t = b|u|^{p-2}u, x \in \Omega, t > 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), x \in \Omega \\ u_t(0, x) = u_1(x), x \in \Omega \\ u(t, x) = 0, x \in \Gamma, t > 0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

For $a=0$, problem (2) has been investigated by Berrimi and Messaoudi [3]. They established the local existence result by using the Galerkin method together with the contraction mapping theorem. Also, they showed that for a suitable initial data, then the local solution is global in time and in addition, they showed that the dissipation given by the viscoelastic integral term is strong enough to stabilize the oscillations of the solution with the same rate of decaying (exponential or polynomial) of the kernel g . Also their result has been obtained under weaker conditions than those used by Cavalcanti et al[6], in which a similar problem has been addressed.

Messaoudi in [20], showed that under appropriate conditions between m, p and g blow up and global existence result, of course his work generalizes the result by Georgiev and Todorova [10]. One of the main direction of the research in this field it seems to find the minimal dissipation such that the solutions of problems similar to (2) decay uniformly to zero, as time goes to infinity. Consequently, several authors introduced different types of dissipative mechanisms to stabilize these problems. For example, a localized frictional linear damping of the form $a(x)u_t$ acting in sub-domain $\bar{w} \subset \Omega$ has been considered by Cavalcanti et al[6]. More precisely the authors in [5] looked in to the following problem

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(x,s)ds + a(x)u_t + |u|^\gamma u = 0 \quad (3)$$

for, $\gamma > 0$ g a positive function and $a: \Omega \rightarrow R^+$ a function, which may be null on a part of the domain Ω . By assuming $a(x) \geq a_0 > 0$ on the subdomain $\bar{w} \subset \Omega$, the authors showed a decay result of an exponential rate, provided that the kernel g satisfies

$$-\xi_1 g(t) \leq g'(t) \leq -\xi_2 g(t), t \geq 0, \quad (4)$$

And $\|g\|_{L^1(0,\infty)}$ is small enough

This later result has been improved by Berrimi and Messaoudi [2], in which they showed that the viscoelastic dissipation alone is strong enough to stabilize the problem even with an exponential rate. In many existing works on this field, the following conditions on the kernel

$$g'(t) \leq -\xi_2 g^P(t), t \geq 0, P \geq 1, \quad (5)$$

is crucial in the proof of the stability.

For a viscoelastic systems with oscillating kernels, we mention the work by Rivera et al[25]. In that work the authors proved that if the kernel satisfies $g(0) > 0$ and decays exponentially to zero, that is for $p=1$ in (5), then the solution also decays exponentially to zero. On the other hand, if the kernel decays polynomially, i.e. ($p > 1$) in the inequality (5), then the solution also decays polynomially with the same rate of decay. In the presence of the strong damping ($w > 0$) and in the absence of the viscoelastic term ($g = 0$), the problem (P) takes the following form

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u - w\Delta u_t + a|u_t|^{m-2}u_t = b|u|^{p-2}u, x \in \Omega, t > 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), x \in \Omega \\ u_t(0, x) = u_1(x), x \in \Omega \\ u(t, x) = 0, x \in \Gamma, t > 0 \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Problem (6) represents the wave equation with a strong damping Δu_t . When $m = 2$, this problem has been studied by Gazzola and Squassina[9]. In their work, the authors proved some results on well posedness and asymptotic behavior of solutions. They showed the global existence and polynomial decay property of solutions provided that the initial data is in the potential well. The proof in [9] is based on a method used in [14]. Unfortunately their decay rate is not optimal, and their result has been improved by Gerbi and Said-Houari [11], by using an appropriate modification of the energy method and some differential and integral inequalities. Introducing a strong damping term Δu_t makes the problem from that considered in [10], for this reason less results were known for the wave equation with strong damping and many problems remain unsolved.

In this paper, we investigated problem (P), in which all the damping mechanisms have been considered in the same time (i. e. $w > 0$, $g = 0$, and $m \geq 2$), these assumptions make our problem different from those studied in the literature, we show that the energy of solutions decays exponentially if $m = 2$ and polynomial if $m > 2$, provided that the initial data are small enough, using the arguments in Rivera [27].

2. Preliminaries

In our work, we consider a viscoelastic wave equation, with strong damping, polynomial nonlinear damping and source term. Namely we looked in to the following problem

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u - w\Delta u_t + \int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(x, s)ds + a|u_t|^{m-2}u_t = b|u|^{p-2}u, x \in \Omega, t > 0 \tag{7}$$

subjected to the following initial and boundary conditions

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), u_t(0, x) = u_1(x), x \in \Omega \tag{8}$$

$$u(t, x) = 0, x \in \Gamma, t > 0 \tag{9}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in R^N ($N > 1$), with smooth boundary Γ , and a, b and w are positive constants, $m \geq 2, p \geq 2$, and g is a nonnegative nonincreasing function. This type of problems are not only important from the theoretical point of view, but also arise in many physical applications and describe a great deal of models in applied science. One of the most important fields of such problems arise in the models of nonlinear viscoelasticity. Many authors studied these types of problems, and several results appeared in the literature.

The energy related to problem (P) is $2E(t) = \|u_t(t)\|_2^2 + 2J(t)$,

Where

$$J(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \int_0^t g(s)ds \right) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{b}{p} \|u(t)\|_p^p, \tag{10}$$

And

$$I(t) = \left(1 - \int_0^t g(s)ds \right) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + (g \circ \nabla u)(t) - b\|u(t)\|_p^p,$$

We assume that the kernel g satisfies the following conditions:

(G1) $g: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is a bounded C^1 -function such that

$$g(0) > 0, 1 - \int_0^t g(s)ds = l > 0.$$

(G2) $g(t) \geq 0, g'(t) \leq 0, g(t) \leq -\xi g'(t), \forall t \geq 0$.

Let us denote by $(g \circ \nabla u)(t) = \int_0^t g(t-s) \int_{\Omega} |u(s) - u(t)|^2 dx ds$.

We first state a local existence theorem that can be established by combining arguments of Georgiev and Todorova [10]. In fact this depends on the parameters values of the coefficients and the exponents m and p .

Let us introduce the following complete metric space

$$Y_1 = \{u: u \in C([0, T], H_0^1(\Omega)), u_t \in C([0, T], H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^m([0, T] \times \Omega)\} \tag{11}$$

Theorem 1. Let $(u_0, u_1) \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^2$ be given. Suppose that $\geq 2, P \geq 2$ be such that

$$\max\{m, p\} \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}, n \geq 3 \tag{12}$$

Then, under the conditions (G1) and (G2), the problem (P) has a unique local solution $u(x, t) \in Y_T$, for T small enough.

Now, we will state the global existence, for this purpose it suffices to prove that the norm of the solution is bounded, independently of t , in the following theorem. The existence of the source term $(|u|^{p-2}u)$ forces us to use the potential well depth method in which the concept of so called stable set appears. Let us introduce the stable set as:

$$W = \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega): J(y) < d, I(u) > 0\} \cup \{0\} \tag{13}$$

Where

$$d = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} J(\lambda u) \tag{14}$$

Theorem 2. Suppose that (G1), (G2) and (12) hold. If $u_0 \in W, u_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and

$$\frac{bc_*^p}{l} \left(\frac{2p}{(p-2)l} E(0) \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} < 1 \tag{15}$$

Where c_* is the best Poincaré's constant. Then the local solution $u(t, x)$ is global in time.

The following Lemma due to Nakao will play a decisive role in the proof of our aim result.

Lemma 3 [27]. Let $\Phi(t)$ be a nonincreasing and nonnegative function defined on $[0, T], T > 1$, satisfying $\Phi^{1+r}(t) \leq k_0(\Phi(t) - \Phi(t + 1)), t \in [0, T]$,

For $k_0 > 1$ and $r \geq 0$. Then we have, for each $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(t) \leq \Phi(0) \exp(-k[t - 1]^+), r = 0 \\ \Phi(t) \leq \{\Phi(0)^{-r} + k r [t - 1]^+\}^{-\frac{1}{r}}, r > 0 \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

Where $[t - 1]^+ = \max\{t - 1, 0\}$, and $k = \ln\left(\frac{k_0}{k_0 - 1}\right)$

The following technical lemma will play an important role in the sequel.

Lemma 4 [5]. For any $v \in C^1(0, T, H^2(\Omega))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g(t-s) \Delta v(s) v'(t) ds dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (g \circ \nabla v)(t) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_0^t g(s) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v(t)|^2 dx ds \right] - \frac{1}{2} (g' \circ \nabla v)(t) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} g(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v(t)|^2 dx ds \end{aligned}$$

3. Main result

The following lemma is very useful

Lemma 5. Suppose that (12) and (15) hold. Then

$$b \|u(t)\|_p^p \leq (1 - \eta) \left(1 - \int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 \tag{17}$$

Where $\eta = 1 - \beta$.

We can now state and prove the asymptotic behavior of the solution of our problem.

Theorem 6. Suppose that (G1), (G2) and (12) hold. Assume further that $u_0 \in W$ and $u_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ satisfying (15). Then the global solution satisfies

$$E(t) \leq E(0) \exp(-\lambda t), \forall t \geq 0 \text{ if } m = 2, \tag{18}$$

or

$$E(t) \leq (E(0)^{-r} + k_0 r t)^s, \forall t \geq 0 \text{ if } m > 2, \tag{19}$$

where λ and k_0 are constants independent of $t, r = \frac{m}{2} - 1$ and $s = \frac{2}{2-m}$.

Proof of Theorem 6.

Multiplying the first equation in (P), by u_t and integrate over Ω to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) + w \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 + a \|u_t\|_m^m = \frac{1}{2} (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) - \frac{1}{2} g(t) \|\nabla u\|_2^2.$$

Then, integrate the last equality over $[t, t + 1]$ to get

$$E(t + 1) - E(t) + w \int_t^{t+1} \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 ds + a \int_t^{t+1} \|u_t\|_m^m ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} (g' \circ \nabla u)(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} g(s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds.$$

Therefore,

$$E(t) - E(t + 1) = F^m(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} (g' \circ \nabla u)(s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} g(s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds \tag{20}$$

Where

$$F(t)^m = w \int_t^{t+1} \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 ds + a \int_t^{t+1} \|u_t\|_m^m ds \tag{21}$$

Using Poincaré's inequality to find

$$\int_t^{t+1} \|u_t\|_2^2 ds \leq c(\Omega) \int_t^{t+1} \|u_t\|_m^2 ds \tag{22}$$

Exploiting Holder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+1} \|u_t\|_m^2 ds &\leq \left(\int_t^{t+1} ds \right)^{\frac{m-2}{m}} \left(\int_t^{t+1} (\|u_t\|_m^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} ds \right)^{\frac{2}{m}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_t^{t+1} (\|u_t\|_m^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} ds \right)^{\frac{2}{m}} \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Combining (21), (22) and (23) we obtain, for a constant c_1 , depending on Ω

$$\int_t^{t+1} \|u_t\|_2^2 ds \leq c_1 F(t)^2, c_1 > 0 \tag{24}$$

By applying the mean value theorem, we get for some $t_1 \in [t, t + \frac{1}{4}]$, $t_2 \in [t + \frac{3}{4}, t + 1]$

$$\|u_t(t_i)\|_2 \leq 2c(\Omega)^{1/2} F(t), i = 1, 2. \tag{25}$$

Hence, by (G2) and since

$$\int_t^{t+1} \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 ds \leq c_2 F(t)^2, c_2 > 0 \tag{26}$$

there exist $t_1 \in [t, t + \frac{1}{4}]$, $t_2 \in [t + \frac{3}{4}, t + 1]$ such that

$$\|\nabla u_t(t_i)\|_2^2 \leq 4c(\Omega) F(t)^2, i = 1, 2 \tag{27}$$

Next, we multiply the first equation in (P) by u and integrate over $\Omega \times [t_1, t_2]$ to obtain

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} [(1 - \int_0^t g(r) dr) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds - b \|u\|_p^p] ds = - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} uu_{tt} dx ds - w \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_t dx ds - a \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} u|u_t|^{m-2} u_t dx ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} g(s-r) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(s) [\nabla u(r) - \nabla u(s)] dx dr ds$$

Obviously,

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} I(s) ds = - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} uu_{tt} dx ds - w \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_t dx ds - a \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} u|u_t|^{m-2} u_t dx ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} g(s-r) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(s) [\nabla u(r) - \nabla u(s)] dx dr ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (g \circ \nabla u)(s) ds \tag{28}$$

Note that by integrating by parts, to obtain

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} uu_{tt} dx ds \right| = \left| \left[\int_{\Omega} uu_t dx \right]_{t_1}^{t_2} - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx ds \right| = \left| \int_{\Omega} u(t_2)u_t(t_2) dx - \int_{\Omega} u(t_1)u_t(t_1) dx - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx ds \right|,$$

Using Holder's and Poincaré's inequalities, we get

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} uu_{tt} dx ds \right| \leq c_*^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\nabla u_t(t_i)\|_2 \|\nabla u(t_i)\|_2 + c_*^2 \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 dt \tag{29}$$

By using Holder's inequality once again, we have

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_t dx ds \right| \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\nabla u\|_2 \|\nabla u_t\|_2 ds \tag{30}$$

Furthermore, by equation (27), we have

$$\|\nabla u_t(t_i)\|_2 \|\nabla u(t_i)\|_2 \leq c_3 (c(\Omega))^{1/2} F(t) \sup_{t_1 \leq s \leq t_2} E(s)^{1/2}, \tag{31}$$

where, $c_3 = 2 \left(\frac{2P}{l(P-2)} \right)^{1/2}$ We have by Holder's inequality

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\nabla u_t\|_2 \|\nabla u\|_2 dt \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} E(s)^{1/2} \left(\frac{2P}{l(P-2)} \right)^{1/2} \|\nabla u_t\|_2 ds \leq \frac{1}{2} c_3 \sup_{t_1 \leq s \leq t_2} E(s)^{1/2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\nabla u_t\|_2 ds,$$

Which implies

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\nabla u_t\|_2 dt \leq \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} 1 dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{3c_2}}{2} F(t)$$

Then,

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\nabla u_t\|_2 \|\nabla u\|_2 dt \leq c_4 \sup_{t_1 \leq s \leq t_2} E(s)^{1/2}, \tag{32}$$

where $c_4 = \frac{c_3 \sqrt{3c_2}}{4}$. Therefore equation (29), becomes

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} uu_{tt} dx ds \right| \leq 2c_*^2 c_3 F(t) \sup_{t_1 \leq s \leq t_2} E(s)^{1/2} + c_*^2 c_2 F(t)^2. \tag{33}$$

We then exploit Young's inequality to estimate

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g(s-\tau) \nabla u(t) [\nabla u(s) - \nabla u(t)] d\tau dx dt \leq \delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^t g(s-\tau) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 d\tau ds + \frac{1}{4\delta} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (g \circ \nabla u) dt, \forall \delta > 0.$$

Now, the third term in the right-hand side of equation (28), can be estimated as follows

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} |u_t|^{m-2} u_t u dx ds \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} |u| |u_t|^{m-1} dx ds.$$

By Holder's inequality, we find

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} |u| |u_t|^{m-1} dx ds \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} |u_t|^m \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^m dx \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \right] ds = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_t\|_m^{m-1} \|u\|_m ds.$$

By Sobolev-Poincaré's inequality, we have

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_t\|_m^{m-1} \|u\|_m ds \leq c(\Omega) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_t\|_m^{m-1} \|\nabla u\|_2 ds,$$

For $2 < m \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}, n \geq 3$ or $2 < m < \infty, n = 1, 2$.

Using Holder's inequality, and since $t_1, t_2 \in [t, t + 1]$ and $E(t)$ decreasing in time, we conclude from the last inequality and (21), that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_t\|_m^{m-1} \|u\|_m ds &\leq c(\Omega) \left(\frac{2P}{l(P-2)}\right)^{1/2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_t\|_m^{m-1} (J(t))^{1/2} ds \\ &\leq c(\Omega) \left(\frac{2P}{l(P-2)}\right)^{1/2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_t\|_m^{m-1} (E(t))^{1/2} ds \\ &\leq c(\Omega) \left(\frac{2P}{l(P-2)}\right)^{1/2} \sup_{t_1 \leq s \leq t_2} E(s)^{1/2} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_t\|_m^m ds\right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \left(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} ds\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \quad (34) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} c(\Omega) \sup_{t_1 \leq s \leq t_2} E(s)^{1/2} \left(\frac{2P}{l(P-2)}\right)^{1/2} F(t)^{m-1} \end{aligned}$$

Then, taking in to account (33) and (34), estimate (28) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} I(t) dt &\leq \left(2c_*^2 \frac{\sqrt{3c_2}}{4} w\right) c_3 F(t) \sup_{t_1 \leq s \leq t_2} E(s)^{1/2} \\ &+ c_*^2 c_2 F(t)^2 + \frac{a^{1/m}}{2} c_3 c(\Omega) \sup_{t_1 \leq s \leq t_2} E(s)^{1/2} F(t)^{m-1} \quad (35) \\ &+ \delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^t g(t-s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds dt + \left(\frac{1}{4\delta} + 1\right) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 + J(t) \quad (36) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 + \left(\frac{P-2}{2P}\right) \left(1 - \int_0^t g(s) ds\right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \left(\frac{P-2}{2P}\right) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{1}{P} I(t) \end{aligned}$$

By integrating (36) over $[t_1, t_2]$, we obtain

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} E(t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_t\|_2^2 dt + \left(\frac{P-2}{2P}\right) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(1 - \int_0^t g(s) ds\right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 dt + \left(\frac{P-2}{2P}\right) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) dt + \frac{1}{P} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} I(t) dt, \quad (37)$$

which implies by exploiting (24)

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} E(t) dt = \frac{c_1}{2} (F(t))^2 + \left(\frac{P-2}{2P}\right) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(1 - \int_0^t g(s) ds\right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 dt + \left(\frac{P-2}{2P}\right) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) dt + \frac{1}{P} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} I(t) dt \quad (38)$$

By using Lemma (5), we see that

$$\left(1 - \int_0^t g(s) ds\right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{\eta} I(t). \quad (39)$$

Therefore, (38), takes the form

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} E(t) dt \leq \frac{c(\Omega)}{2} (F(t))^2 + \left(\frac{P-2}{2P}\right) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) dt + \left(\frac{1}{P} + \frac{P-2}{2P\eta}\right) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} I(t) dt \quad (40)$$

Again an integration of $E'(t)$ over $[s, t_2], s \in [0, t_2]$ gives

$$E(s) = E(t_2) + a \int_s^{t_2} \|u_t\|_m^m dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_s^{t_2} g(t) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_s^{t_2} (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) dt + w \int_s^{t_2} \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 dt \quad (41)$$

By using the fact that $t_2 - t_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} E(s) ds \geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} E(t_2) ds \geq \frac{1}{2} E(t_2). \quad (42)$$

The fourth term in (35), can be handled as

$$\int_0^t g(t-s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \int_0^t g(t-s) ds \leq \frac{2P(1-l)}{l(P-2)} E(t) \quad (43)$$

Thus,

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^t g(t-s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds dt \leq \frac{2P(1-l)}{l(P-2)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} E(t) dt \leq \frac{P(1-l)}{l(P-2)} E(t_1) \leq \frac{P(1-l)}{l(P-2)} E(t) \quad (44)$$

Hence, by (44), we obtain from (35)

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} I(t) dt \leq \left(2c_*^2 \frac{\sqrt{3c_2}}{4} w\right) c_3 F(t) \sup_{t_1 \leq s \leq t_2} E(s)^{1/2}$$

$$+c_*^2 c_2 F(t)^2 + \frac{a^{1/m}}{2} c_3 c(\Omega) \sup_{t_1 \leq s \leq t_2} E(s)^{1/2} F(t)^{m-1} \tag{45}$$

$$+ \delta \frac{P(1-l)}{l(P-2)} E(t_1) + \left(\frac{1}{4\delta} + 1\right) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) dt.$$

From (41) and (42) we have

$$E(t) \leq 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} E(t) dt + a \int_t^{t+1} \|u_t\|_m^m ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} g(s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} (g' \circ \nabla u)(s) ds + w \int_t^{t+1} \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 ds \tag{46}$$

Obviously, (40) and (46) give us

$$E(t) \leq 2 \left(\frac{c(\Omega)}{2} (F(t))^2 + \left(\frac{P-2}{2P}\right) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) dt + \left(\frac{1}{P} + \frac{P-2}{2P\eta}\right) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} I(t) dt \right) + a \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_t\|_m^m ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} g(s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (g' \circ \nabla u)(s) ds + w \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 ds$$

Consequently, plugging the estimate (45) into the above estimate, we conclude that

$$E(t) \leq c(\Omega) (F(t))^2 + \left(\frac{P-2}{P}\right) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) dt + 2 \left(\frac{1}{P} + \frac{P-2}{2P\eta}\right) \left[\left(2c_*^2 \frac{\sqrt{3c_2}}{4} w\right) c_3 F(t) \sup_{t_1 \leq s \leq t_2} E(s)^{1/2} + c_*^2 c_2 F(t)^2 \right] + \left(\frac{1}{P} + \frac{P-2}{2P\eta}\right) [a^{1/m} c_3 c(\Omega) \sup_{t_1 \leq s \leq t_2} E(s)^{1/2} F(t)^{m-1}] \tag{47}$$

$$+ 2 \left(\frac{1}{P} + \frac{P-2}{2P\eta}\right) \left[\delta \frac{P(1-l)}{l(P-2)} E(t) + \left(\frac{1}{4\delta} + 1\right) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) dt \right] + F(t)^m + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} g(s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (g' \circ \nabla u)(s) ds + w \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 ds.$$

We also have, by the Poincaré's inequality

$$\|u\|_2 \leq c \|\nabla u\|_2 \leq c \left(\frac{2P}{l(P-2)}\right)^{1/2} E(t)^{1/2} \tag{48}$$

Choosing δ small enough so that

$$1 - 2 \left(\frac{1}{P} + \frac{P-2}{2P\eta}\right) \delta \frac{P(1-l)}{l(P-2)} > 0 \tag{49}$$

we can deduce, from (47) that there exists $k > 0$, such that

$$E(t) \leq k [F(t)^2 + E(t)^{1/2} F(t) + E(t)^{1/2} F(t)^{m-1} + F(t)^m] + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} g(s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds \tag{50}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} (g' \circ \nabla u) ds + \left[\left(\frac{P-2}{P}\right) + 2 \left(\frac{1}{4\delta} + 1\right) \left(\frac{1}{P} + \frac{P-2}{2P\eta}\right)\right] \int_t^{t+1} (g \circ \nabla u) ds$$

Using (G2) again we can write

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) dt \leq -\xi \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) dt, \xi > 0.$$

Thus, we obtain, from (50),

$$E(t) \leq k [F(t)^2 + E(t)^{1/2} F(t) + E(t)^{1/2} F(t)^{m-1} + F(t)^m] + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} g(s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds - \left(\xi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_t^{t+1} (g' \circ \nabla u) ds \tag{51}$$

Where $\xi_1 = \xi \left[\left(\frac{P-2}{P}\right) + 2 \left(\frac{1}{4\delta} + 1\right) \left(\frac{1}{P} + \frac{P-2}{2P\eta}\right)\right]$.

An appropriate use of Young's inequality in (51), we can find $k_1 > 0$, such That

$$E(t) \leq k_1 [F(t)^2 + F(t)^{2(m-1)} + F(t)^m] + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} g(s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds - \left(\xi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_t^{t+1} (g' \circ \nabla u) ds \tag{52}$$

For k_1 a positive constant. Using (G2) again to get

$$E(t) \leq k_1 [F(t)^2 + F(t)^{2(m-1)} + F(t)^m] + (1 + 2\xi_1) \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} g(s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} (g' \circ \nabla u) ds\right], \tag{53}$$

at this end we can distinguish between two cases:

Case 1: For $m = 2$. In this case we use (20) and (53), we can find $k_2 > 0$, such that

$$E(t) \leq k_1 F(t)^2 + (1 + 2\xi_1) \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} g(s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} (g' \circ \nabla u) ds\right] \leq k_2 [E(t) - E(t+1)]. \tag{54}$$

Since $E(t)$ is nonincreasing and nonnegative function, an application of Lemma 3 yields

$$E(t) \leq k_2 [E(t) - E(t+1)], t \geq 0, \tag{55}$$

Which implies that

$$E(t) \leq k(0) \exp(-\lambda[t-1]^+) \text{ on } [0, \infty) \tag{56}$$

Where $\lambda = \ln\left(\frac{k_2}{k_2-1}\right)$.

Case 2: For $m > 2$. In this case we, again use (20) and (53) to arrive at

$$F(t)^2 = [(E(t) - E(t + 1)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} (g' \circ \nabla u) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} g(s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds]^{\frac{2}{m}} \tag{57}$$

We then use the algebraic inequality

$$(a + b)^{\frac{m}{2}} \leq 2^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(a^{\frac{m}{2}} + b^{\frac{m}{2}} \right), m \geq 2 \tag{58}$$

To infer from (53), and by using (58), that

$$\begin{aligned} [E(t)]^{\frac{m}{2}} &\leq k_3 [1 + F(t)^{2(m-2)} + F(t)^{m-2}]^{\frac{m}{2}} F(t)^m \\ &+ 2^{\frac{m}{2}} (1 + 2\xi_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} (g' \circ \nabla u) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} g(s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds \right]^{\frac{m}{2}} \\ &\leq k_3 [1 + F(t)^{2(m-2)} + F(t)^{m-2}]^{\frac{m}{2}} \times [E(t) - E(t + 1)] \\ &+ 2^{\frac{m}{2}} (1 + 2\xi_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} (g' \circ \nabla u) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} g(s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds \right]^{\frac{m}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Where $k_3 = 2^{\frac{m}{2}} k_1$. We use (20) to obtain

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} (g' \circ \nabla u) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+1} g(s) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 ds \right]^{\frac{m}{2}} \leq [E(t) - E(t + 1)]^{\frac{m}{2}} \tag{60}$$

A combination of (59), (60) yields

$$\begin{aligned} [E(t)]^{\frac{m}{2}} &\leq k_3 [1 + F(t)^{2(m-2)} + F(t)^{m-2}]^{\frac{m}{2}} \times [E(t) - E(t + 1)] \\ &+ 2^{\frac{m}{2}} (1 + 2\xi_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} [E(t) - E(t + 1)]^{\frac{m}{2}-1} [E(t) - E(t + 1)] \\ &\leq [k_3 [1 + F(t)^{2(m-2)} + F(t)^{m-2}]^{\frac{m}{2}} + 2^{\frac{m}{2}} (1 + 2\xi_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} [E(t) - E(t + 1)]^{\frac{m}{2}-1}] [E(t) - E(t + 1)] \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

By using (53), the estimate (61) takes the form

$$[E(t)]^{\frac{m}{2}} \leq \left[k_3 2^m [1 + E(0)^{(m-2)} + E(0)^{\frac{m}{2}-1}] + 2^{\frac{m}{2}} (1 + 2\xi_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} E(0)^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \right] \times [E(t) - E(t + 1)] \leq k_0 [E(t) - E(t + 1)] \tag{62}$$

Again, using Lemma 3, we conclude

$$E(t) \leq [E(0)^{-r} + k_0 r [t - 1]^+]^s \tag{63}$$

with $r = \frac{m}{2} - 1 > 0, s = \frac{2}{2-m}$ and k_0 is some given positive constant.

This completes the proof.

References

[1] Ball, J. (1977). "Remarks on blow up and nonexistence theorems for nonlinear evolutions equations". Quart. J. Math. Oxford, (2) 28. 473-486.
 [2] Berrimi, S. & Messaoudi, S. (2004). "Exponential decay of solutions to a viscoelastic equation with nonlinear localized damping". Electronic journal of differential equations. 88. 1-10.
 [3] Berrimi, S. & Messaoudi, S. (2006). "Existence and decay of solutions of a viscoelastic equation with a nonlinear source". Nonlinear analysis. 64. 2314-2331.
 [4] Cavalcanti, M. M. Calvalcanti, V. N. D. & Soriano, J. A. (2002). "Exponential decay for the solutions of semilinear viscoelastic wave equations with localized damping". Electronic journal of differential equations. 44. 1-44.
 [5] Cavalcanti, M. M. & Oquendo, H. P. (2000). "Frictional versus viscoelastic damping in a semilinear wave equation". SIAM journal on control and optimization. 42(4). 1310-1324.
 [6] Cavalcanti, M. M. Cavalcanti D. V, N. & Ferreira, J. (2001). "Existence and uniform decay for nonlinear viscoelastic equation with strong damping". Math. Meth. Appl. Sci, 24. 1043-1053.
 [7] Cavalcanti, M. M. Cavalcanti, D. V. N, P. J. Filho, S. & Soriano, J. A. (2001). "Existence and uniform decay rates for viscoelastic problems with nonlinear boundary damping". Differential and integral equations, 14(1). 85-116.
 [8] Dafermos, C. M. (1970). "Asymptotic stability in viscoelasticity". Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 37. 297-308.
 [9] Gazzola, F. & Sequassina, M. (2006). "Global solution and finite time blow up for damped semilinear wave equation". Ann. I. H. Pointcaré-An 23185-207.
 [10] Georgiev, V. & Todorova, G. (1994). "Existence of solution of the wave equation with nonlinear damping and source terms". Journal of differential equations 109. 295-308.
 [11] Gerbi, S. & Said-Houari, B. (2010). "Exponential decay for solutions to semilinear damped wave equation". Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems.
 [12] Haraux, A. & Zuazua, E. (1988). "Decay estimates for some semilinear damped hyperbolic problems". Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 150. 191-206.
 [13] Hrusa, W. J. & Renardy, M. March (1988). "A model equation for viscoelasticity with a strongly singular kernel" SIAMJ. Math. Anal. 19(2).

- [14] Ikehata, R. (1996). "Some remarks on the wave equations with nonlinear damping and source terms". *Nonlinear Analysis*. 27(10). 1165-1175.
- [15] Kalantarov, V. K. & Ladyzhenskaya, O. A. (1978). "The occurrence of collapse for quasilinear equation of parabolic and hyperbolic type". *J. Soviet Math*. 10. 53-70.
- [16] Kopackova, M. (1989). "Remarks on bounded solutions of a semilinear dissipative hyperbolic equation". *Comment Math. Univ. Carolin*, 30(4). 713-719.
- [17] Levine, H. A. (1974). "Instability and nonexistence of global solutions of nonlinear wave equation of the form $Pu_{tt} = Au + F(u)$ ". *Trans. Amer. Math. Sci*, 192. 1-21.
- [18] Levine, H. A. (1974). "Some additional remarks on the nonexistence of global solutions of nonlinear wave equation" *SIAMJ. Math. Anal*. 5. 138-146.
- [19] Levine, H. A. & Serrin, J. (1997). "A global nonexistence theorem for quasilinear evolution equation with dissipative". *Arch. Rational Mech Anal*. 137. 341-361.
- [20] Messaoudi, S. (2003). "Blow up and global existence in a nonlinear viscoelastic wave equation". *Maths Nachr*. 260. 58-66.
- [21] Messaoudi, S. & Said-Houari, B. (2004). "Global nonexistence of solutions of a class of wave equations with nonlinear damping and source terms". *Math. Meth. Appl. Sc*. 27. 1687-1696.
- [22] Messaoudi, S. & Tatar, N-E. (2003). "Global existence and asymptotic behavior for a nonlinear viscoelastic problem". *Mathematical. Sciences research journal*.7(4). 136-149.
- [23] Messaoudi, S. & Tatar, N-E. (2007). "Global existence and uniform stability of a solutions for quasilinear viscoelastic problem". *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci*. 30. 665-680.
- [24] Messaoudi, S. (2001). "Blow up in a nonlinearly damped wave equation" *Math. Nachr*. 231. 1-7.
- [25] Munoz Rivera, J. E. & Naso, M. G. (2006). "On the decay of the energy for systems with memory and indefinite dissipation". *Asymptote. anal*. 49(34). 189204.
- [26] Pata, Vi. September (2006). "Exponential stability in viscoelasticity". *Quarterly of applied mathematics* volume LXIV. number3. 499-513.
- [27] Rivera, J. E. M. & Lapa, E. C. & Barreto, R. K. (1996). "Decay rates for viscoelastic plates with memory" *Journal of elasticity* 44. 61-87.
- [28] Vittilaro, E. (1999). "Global nonexistence theorems for a class of evolution equations with dissipation". *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal*. 149. 155-182.