



## Pseudo Similarity of Neutrosophic Fuzzy matrices

M. Anandhkumar<sup>1\*</sup>, V. Kamalakannan<sup>2</sup>, S. M. Chithra<sup>3</sup>, Broumi Said<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, IFET College of Engineering (Autonomous), Villupuram, Tamilnadu, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Panimalar Engineering College, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, R.M.K College of Engineering and Technology

<sup>4</sup>Laboratory of Information Processing, Faculty of Science Ben M'Sik, University of Hassan II, Casablanca, Morocco

Emails: [anandhkumarmm@gmail.com](mailto:anandhkumarmm@gmail.com); [vkamalakannan@panimalar.ac.in](mailto:vkamalakannan@panimalar.ac.in); [chithra.sm@rmkcet.ac.in](mailto:chithra.sm@rmkcet.ac.in); [broumisaid78@gmail.com](mailto:broumisaid78@gmail.com)

### Abstract

In this paper, first we shall define Pseudo Similarity for Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrices and prove that Pseudo Similarity relation on pair of Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrices. Also, we derive some relation between Pseudo Similarity and Idempotent matrices. Finally, we give in varies inverse of Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrices.

**Keywords:** Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrices (NFM)s; G – inverse; Idempotent NFM)s; Pseudo Similarity NFM)s.

### 1. Introduction

It is well known that generalized inverses exist for a complex matrix. However, this is the failure for fuzzy matrices, that is for  $P \in F_{mm}$  under the max-min fuzzy operations the matrix equation  $PXP = P$  need not have a solution X. If P has a generalized inverse (g-inverse) then P is said to be regular. The concept of generalized inverse presents a very interesting area of research in matrix theory in the same way a regular matrix as one of which g-inverse exists, lays the foundation for research in fuzzy matrix theory.

Matrices play a prime role in several areas of science and engineering to represent any binary operation. Such as unpredictability are generally reality handled with the help of the topics like probability, fuzzy set, etc., Zadeh in 1965 [1] introduced concepts of fuzzy sets. Thomson [2] has developed fuzzy matrices and the convergence of the powers of fuzzy matrices. The theory of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets was initiated by Atanassov [3]. Pradhan and Pal [4] have discussed Some Results on Generalized Inverse of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrices. Pradhan and Pal [5] have Convergence of max arithmetic mean – min arithmetic mean powers of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices. Also, they found the interval-valued fuzzy matrices as a generalization of the fuzzy matrices was introduced and developed by Shyamal and Pal [6] established max–min operation in fuzzy algebra. Bhowmik, Pal [7] introduced some results of Intuitionistic fuzzy matrices. Meenakshi and Inbam [8] described about Minus Partial Order in fuzzy matrices. Theory of Moore – Penrose Inverse of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrices was investigated by Sriram and Murugadas [9]. The extension of intuitionistic fuzzy sets is neutrosophic sets which was introduced by Smarandache [10]. Uma et.al.[11] extend the results on the generalized Inverse of Fuzzy Neutrosophic Soft Matrices .

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: [anandhkumarmm@gmail.com](mailto:anandhkumarmm@gmail.com)

In this section, we define pseudo-similarity of NFM and exhibit the pseudo-similarity relation preserve idempotency and regularity of the NFM P and Q.

## 2. Preliminaries and Theorems

**Definition:2.1** The NFM  $P \in F_m$  and  $Q \in F_n$  are said to be pseudo-similar, denoted by  $P \approx Q$  if there exists an idempotent NFM  $L \in F_{m \times n}$  and  $M \in F_{n \times m}$  such that  $P = LQM$  and  $Q = MPL$ .

The NFM  $P = \begin{bmatrix} (0.4,0,0) & (0.4,0,0) \\ (0,1,1) & (0,1,1) \end{bmatrix}$  and  $Q = \begin{bmatrix} (0.4,0,0) & (0,1,1) \\ (0.4,0,0) & (0,1,1) \end{bmatrix}$  are pseudo-similar with respect to the idempotent NFM  $L = \begin{bmatrix} (1,0,0) & (0,0,1) \\ (0,0,1) & (0,0,1) \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Definition:2.2** The NFM  $P \in F_m$  and  $Q \in F_n$  are said to be semi-similar, denoted by  $P \approx Q$  if there exists NFM  $L \in F_{m \times n}$  and  $M \in F_{n \times m}$  such that  $P = LQM$  and  $Q = MPL$ .

**Definition :2.3** If  $P \in F_{mn}$  and  $K \in F_{nm}$  are NFM which satisfies the conditions  $PKP = P$  and  $(PK)^T = PK$ , then K is called least square generalized inverse of P (or)  $P\{1,3\}$  inverse of P.

**Definition : 2.4** If  $P \in F_{mn}$  and  $K \in F_{nm}$  are NFM which satisfies the conditions  $PKP = P$  and  $(KP)^T = KP$ , then K is called minimum norm generalized inverse of P (or)  $P\{1,4\}$  inverse of P.

### Definition2.5 Sum and product of a Neutrosophic Fuzzy Set

Let X be a non - empty set. A neutrosophic fuzzy sets P and Q is of the form  $P = \{x, \mu_P(x), \sigma_P(x), \nu_P(x) : x \in X\}$  and  $Q = \{x, \mu_Q(x), \sigma_Q(x), \nu_Q(x) : x \in X\}$  then, the sum, and product of two Neutrosophic fuzzy sets is defined by,

$$P+Q = \{x, (\mu_P(x) \vee \mu_Q(x), \sigma_P(x) \vee \sigma_Q(x), \nu_P(x) \wedge \nu_Q(x))\}$$

$$PQ = \{x, (\mu_P(x) \wedge \mu_Q(x), 1 - \sigma_P(x) \wedge 1 - \sigma_Q(x), \nu_P(x) \vee \nu_Q(x))\}$$

**Theorem:2.1** Let P and Q be two NFM of order  $m \times n$ . Then the below conditions are equivalent

- (i)  $P \approx Q$
- (ii) If P and Q be two NFM. Such that  $P = LQM$ ,  $Q = MPL$  and  $LM \in F_m$  is idempotent.
- (iii) If P and Q be two NFM. Such that  $P = LQM$ ,  $Q = MPL$  and  $ML \in F_n$  is idempotent.

**Proof:** (i) implies (ii) and (i) implies (iii) are trivial.

Since  $L = LQL$  implies  $LM \in F_m$  are both idempotent matrices.

(ii) implies (i)  $P = LQM = (LM)P(LM) = (LML)Q(MLM)$ .

Similarly  $Q = MPL = (MLM)P(LML)$

Put  $L' = LML$  and  $M' = MLM$

Then  $P = L'QM'$ . and  $M' = MLM$

Further using LM is idempotent, we get

$$L'M' = (LML)(MLM) = LM$$

$$\text{and } (L'M')(L'M') = (LM)(LM) = L'M'$$

Thus  $L'M'$  is idempotent.

Set  $L'' = L'M'L'$  and  $M'' = M'L'M'$ .

Then  $P = L'QM' = L'M'PL'M' = (L'M'L')(M'L'M')$ .

Therefore  $P = L''QM''$ .

Similarly  $Q = M'PL' = (M'L'M')P(L'M'L') = M''PL''$ .

By using  $L'M'$  is idempotent. We have  $L''M''L'' = (L'M'L')(M'L'M')(L'M'L') = L'M'L' = L''$ .

Therefore,  $P \approx Q$

Therefore (i) holds

(iv) Implies (i) Can be proved in the same manner.

**Example:2.1** Consider the NFM's

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} (0.4,0,0) & (0.4,0,0) \\ (0,1,1) & (0,1,1) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } Q = \begin{bmatrix} (0.4,0,0) & (0,1,1) \\ (0.4,0,0) & (0,1,1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\text{Then, } L = \begin{bmatrix} (1,0,0) & (0,0,1) \\ (0,0,1) & (0,0,1) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } M = \begin{bmatrix} (1,1,0) & (1,1,0) \\ (1,1,0) & (0,1,1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} LQM &= \begin{bmatrix} (1,0,0) & (0,0,1) \\ (0,0,1) & (0,0,1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (0.4,0,0) & (0,1,1) \\ (0.4,0,0) & (0,1,1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (1,1,0) & (1,1,0) \\ (1,1,0) & (0,1,1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (0.4,0,0) & (0.4,0,0) \\ (0,1,1) & (0,1,1) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$LQM = P.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} MPL &= \begin{bmatrix} (1,1,0) & (1,1,0) \\ (1,1,0) & (0,1,1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (0.4,0,0) & (0.4,0,0) \\ (0,1,1) & (0,1,1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (1,0,0) & (0,0,1) \\ (0,0,1) & (0,0,1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (1,1,0) & (1,1,0) \\ (1,1,0) & (0,1,1) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$MPL = Q \text{ holds.}$$

Thus P and Q are Pseudo – Similar, hence  $P \approx Q$ .

Again we satisfy the conditions,

$$LM = \begin{bmatrix} (1,0,0) & (1,0,0) \\ (0,0,1) & (0,0,1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (1,1,0) & (1,1,0) \\ (1,1,0) & (0,1,1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (1,0,0) & (1,0,0) \\ (0,0,1) & (0,0,1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } (LM)^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} (1,0,0) & (1,0,0) \\ (0,0,1) & (0,0,1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (1,1,0) & (1,1,0) \\ (1,1,0) & (0,1,1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (1,0,0) & (1,0,0) \\ (0,0,1) & (0,0,1) \end{bmatrix} = LM. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ML &= \begin{bmatrix} (1,1,0) & (1,1,0) \\ (1,1,0) & (0,1,1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (1,0,0) & (1,0,0) \\ (0,0,1) & (0,0,1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (1,0,0) & (1,0,0) \\ (0,0,1) & (0,0,1) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus, } (ML)^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} (1,1,0) & (1,1,0) \\ (1,1,0) & (0,1,1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (1,0,0) & (1,0,0) \\ (0,0,1) & (0,0,1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (1,0,0) & (1,0,0) \\ (0,0,1) & (0,0,1) \end{bmatrix} = ML. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $P \in F_m$  and  $Q \in F_n$  be two NFM's such that  $P \approx Q$ . Then P is idempotent if and only if Q is idempotent.

**Proof:** Since  $P \approx Q$  then from the definition there exists idempotent NFM's  $L \in F_{m \times n}$  and  $M \in F_{n \times m}$  such that  $P = LQM$ ,  $Q = MPL$  and  $LML=L$ . That is  $LMP = LMLQM = LQM = P$

Suppose P is idempotent .Then  $P^2 = P$

$$\text{Now } Q^2 = (MPL)(MPL) = MP(LMP)L = MP^2L = MPL = Q$$

Therefore, Q is idempotent.

**Theorem 2.3** If  $P \in F_n$  be a symmetric and idempotent NFM then P itself a  $\{1,3\}$  inverse .

**Proof :** Since P is idempotent , $P^T = P$  and P is idempotent  $P^2 = P$

$$\text{Now } KP = P \text{ if } K = I_n$$

$$\text{Then } PKP = PP = P^2 = P$$

$$\text{i.e) } P \in P\{1\}$$

$$\text{Now } (PL)^T = L^T P^T = L^T P = P^T P$$

(Taking  $L = P$ , as P itself a g –inverse )

$$= PP = PL$$

Therefore,  $P \in P\{1,3\}$ .

**Theorem 2.4** If  $P \in F_n$  be a symmetric and idempotent NFM then P itself a  $\{1,4\}$  inverse .

**Proof :** Since P is idempotent , $P^T = P$  and P is idempotent  $P^2 = P$

Now  $KP = P$  if  $K = I_n$

Then  $PKP = PP = P^2 = P$

i.e)  $P \in P\{1\}$

Now  $(LP)^T = P^T L^T = PL^T = P P^T$

(Taking  $L = P$ , as P itself a g –inverse )

$= PP = LP$

Therefore,  $P \in P\{1,4\}$ .

**Example 2.2** Consider the NFMs

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P^2 = \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P^2 = P \text{ and } P^T = P$$

$$K = I_n, K = \begin{bmatrix} (1, 1, 0) & (0, 0, 1) \\ (0, 0, 1) & (1, 1, 0) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$KP = \begin{bmatrix} (1, 1, 0) & (0, 0, 1) \\ (0, 0, 1) & (1, 1, 0) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.1) \end{bmatrix}$$

This shows that P is symmetric and idempotent satisfy the condition  $PLP = P$  for  $L = P$ , itself. Again,  $(PP)^T = (P^2)^T = P = PP$ .

Therefore,  $P \in P\{1,3\}$  and  $P\{1,4\}$

**Theorem:2.5** Let  $P, Q \in F_{m \times n}$  be two NFMs. If P is regular then

(i)  $R(Q) \subseteq R(P)$  iff  $Q = QP^-P$  for each  $P^- \in P\{1\}$

(ii)  $C(Q) \subseteq C(P)$  iff  $Q = PP^-Q$  for each  $P^- \in P\{1\}$

Proof: (i)  $R(Q) \subseteq R(P)$  then every row of Q is linear combination of the row of P.

Hence  $Q_i = \sum l_{ij} P_j$ , where  $l_{ij} \in \langle F \rangle$ .

That is ,  $Q = LP$  (for some  $L \in F_m$ )

or,  $Q = LPP^-P$  (since  $P = PP^-P$ )

or,  $Q = QP^-P$

Conversely, if  $Q = QP^-P$ , then  $Q = LPP^-P$  (for some  $L \in F_m$ )

or,  $Q = LP$  (since  $P = PP^-P$ )

This implies that  $R(Q) \subseteq R(P)$

(ii)  $C(Q) \subseteq C(P)$

That is,  $Q = PM$  (for some  $M \in F_n$ )

or,  $Q = PP^-PM$  (since  $P = PP^-P$ )

or,  $Q = P^-PQ$

Conversely, if  $Q = P^-PQ$ , then  $Q = PP^-PM$  (for some  $M \in F_n$ )

or,  $Q = PM$  (since  $P = PP^-P$ )

This implies that  $C(Q) \subseteq C(P)$

**Example 2.3** Let us consider NFM's

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \end{bmatrix}$$

One of the g-inverse of P is  $P^- = \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.1) \end{bmatrix}$  for which  $Q = QP^-P$  holds.

Also  $Q = LA$  for  $L = \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \end{bmatrix}$  holds.

So,  $R(Q) \subseteq R(P)$

Similarly, The result is true for column space also.

**Theorem: 2.6** Let  $P \in F_{m \times n}$  be a regular NFM's and L be a g-inverse of P then PL and LP are idempotent.

**Proof:** Since  $(PL)(PL) = (PLP)L$   
 $= PL$  (as  $PLP = P$ )

Also,  $(LP)(LP) = (LPL)P$   
 $= LP$  (as  $LPL = L$ )

**Example 2.4** Let us consider NFM's

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \\ (0.7, 0.5, 0.4) & (0.4, 0.5, 0.1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$PL = \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \\ (0.7, 0.5, 0.4) & (0.4, 0.5, 0.1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(PL)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(PL)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.4) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.1) \end{bmatrix} = PL$$

$$LP = \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(LP)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(LP)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) & (0.5, 0.5, 0.2) \end{bmatrix} = LP$$

### 3. Conclusion

In this paper, we discussed the properties of Pseudo – Inverse, Semi – Similar of Idempotent Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrices. The concept of group – inverse of Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrices is traduced by using the definition of g – inverse in Idempotent Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrices. Future we shall planned to find the related properties of g – inverse Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrices.

**Acknowledgement.** I render my heartfelt thanks to Prof. Dr. (Mrs.) AR. Meenakshi, Former AICTE - Emeritus Professor of Mathematics, Annamalai University, for her expert guidance and Dr. D. Jayashree, Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics. Government Arts and Science College, Hosur

### References

- [1] Zadeh L.A., Fuzzy Sets, Information and control., 8, pp. 338-353 ,1965.
- [2] Thomson M.G, Convergence of powers of a fuzzy matrix, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Appilcations 57(1977) 476 – 480.
- [3] Atanassov, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol.20, 87 – 96 (1986).
- [4] Pradhan R and Pal M, Some Results on Generalized Inverse of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrices, ISSN 1616- 8658 (2014).
- [5] Pradhan R and Pal M, Convergence of max arithmetic mean – min arithmetic mean powers of intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, International Journal Fuzzy Mathematical Archive 2 (2013) 58-69.
- [6] Shymal S.K, Pal M, Interval – valued fuzzy matrices, The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics and 14(3) (2006) 584 – 604.
- [7] Bhowmik M, Pal M, Generalized Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrices, Far - East Journal of Mathematics 14(3) (2006) 583 – 604.
- [8] Meenakshi AR and Inbam, The Minus Partial Order in Fuzzy Matrices, The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics. Vol.12, No. 3, 695-700(2004).
- [9] Sriram S and Murugadas P, The Moore – Penrose Inverse of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrices. Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, vol.4, 2010, no.36, 1779 – 1786.
- [10] Smarandache F (2005), “Neutrosophic set – a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets”, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 24, 287-297.
- [11] Uma R , Murugadas P and Sriram S, Generalized Inverse of Fuzzy Neutrosophic Soft Matrices, Joournal of Linear and Topogical Alebra, vol. 06, No. 02, 2017, 109 – 123.